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# BALTIMORE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS <br> Joe A. Hairston, Superintendent <br> 6901 Charles Street Towson, MD • 21204-3711 

Dear Reader:

It is my pleasure to present Baltimore County Public Schools' 2008-2009 Report on Results. This annual report measures the school system's progress in achieving the goals of our foundational document, the Blueprint for Progress, which describes the quality of education we are committed to providing to all students.

The Blueprint for Progress was implemented nine years ago prior to the mandates of Maryland's Bridge to Excellence and the federal No Child Left Behind acts. The Blueprint for Progress offers solid, measurable objectives and reflects the same beliefs and values that parents/guardians expect from their children's education. As partners in education, we all must accept as true the belief that every child will learn and achieve at a level that ensures success.

The 2008-2009 Report on Results is created and published as a means to measure and communicate the system's successes, progress, and efforts as well as to identify the system's next steps in response to what the data reflect. Baltimore County Public Schools remains dedicated to providing a quality and comprehensive education and will continue to move forward in accelerating the achievement of all students. The Blueprint for Progress will continue to provide the vision, and the Report on Results will continue to provide the drive for ongoing improvement as we continue to deliver high-quality instructional programs and positive educational experiences for all children.

Sincerely,

Joe A. Hairston
Superintendent

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Each year since 2001 the Report on Results has been published to summarize the progress made toward achieving the performance goals, indicators, and key strategies outlined in the Blueprint for Progress, the foundational document that highlights the vision, mission, and belief statements of the school system.

The Blueprint for Progress contains a set of accountability standards that reflect the principles all parents/guardians would want for their children's academic experience. The Blueprint for Progress was developed nearly ten years ago by the superintendent of Baltimore County Public Schools (BCPS) and was approved by the Board of Education. Since its original adoption by the Board of Education on November 21, 2000, the Blueprint for Progress has undergone a number of revisions. In 2002-2003, the Blueprint was revised to include the requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act, the Bridge to Excellence in Public Schools Act, and the recommendations of the Visionary Panel for Better Schools. Since 2004-2005, the Blueprint has undergone additional revisions to reflect changes in the Maryland Accountability Plan.

The Blueprint for Progress contains eight broadly defined performance goals and sixty performance indicators. The goals and standards are based upon state and BCPS standards. Goals two through eight were developed to support goal one. Goal 1 states that by 2012, all students will reach high standards, as established by the Baltimore County Public Schools and state performance level standards, in English/reading/writing, mathematics, science, and social studies. The performance indicators are measurable objectives that underlie and support the achievement of the eight performance goals.

This year's 2008-2009 Report on Results presents trend data across multiple years and multiple cohorts of students. The graphs presented in the report summarize system level results in percentages and numbers. Additional analyses of student subgroup performance are available in other systemwide reports such as the Minority Achievement Report and the Maryland School Performance Program Report/Maryland Report Card.

The Report on Results systematically analyzes each performance indicator against measurable criteria to determine the degree of progress achieved for each school year. In addition, the Report on Results examines the major goals and performance indicators through disaggregation of data by racial/ethnic groups and the following student subgroups: economically disadvantaged students receiving free and reduced price meal services (FARMS), students receiving special education services, and English language learners (ELL) demonstrating limited English proficiency. In addition, BCPS disaggregates achievement data based on students enrolled in gifted and talented programs.

When disaggregated data are presented in the Report on Results, the data reflect the Maryland Accountability Plan format and No Child Left Behind Act requirements. The information in the Report on Results is presented in both graphic and narrative formats. The cumulative numbers of students that correspond to the percentages presented in the graphs are available in the 2008-2009 Report on Results Supplemental Data.

## VARIABLES THAT INFLUENCE PUBLIC EDUCATION



Public school systems are influenced by a wide range of external variables including international, national, local, political, and cultural influences. BCPS understands the "big picture" and focuses on how these shifting demographics, social and economic variables affect students and community and examines generational trends, increasing diversity, changing workforce, and demands of a global economy. The mission of BCPS is to produce graduates who have the content knowledge, skills, and attitudes to enter a workforce that is far more demanding and competitive than previous generations faced. BCPS believes that when given the necessary tools of a rigorous curriculum, highly qualified teachers, and proven strategies for learning all students can and will be lifelong learners and lifelong earners.

The Report on Results provides insight into how the school system has moved forward in attaining the goals of the Blueprint for Progress. While the multiple variables that influence public education are ever changing, BCPS continually assesses student achievement data to monitor progress, identify strengths and weaknesses, inform instructional decisions, and involve parents/guardians in the educational process while making the necessary adjustments so that all students are prepared and can succeed. The system strives on a daily basis to positively influence the lives of its students, families, and community. BCPS remains focused on its mission, values, expectations, and consistent and continuous improvement.

A brief summary of the highlights of the 2008-2009 Report on Results and opportunities for growth follows.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

HIGHLIGHTS OF 2008-2009 RESULTS

Goal 1 - By 2012, all students will reach high standards, as established by the Baltimore County Public Schools and state performance level standards, in English/reading/ writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.

## MSA and Alt-MSA

Significant improvements in student performance on the Maryland School Assessments (MSA) have been achieved. Elementary and middle school reading and mathematics MSA scores have risen to their highest levels in five years.

At the elementary school level the percentage of all student subgroups achieving proficient or advanced on the reading and mathematics MSA reached the highest levels in five years with the exception of the Hispanic student subgroup on the reading MSA, ELL on the reading and mathematics MSA, and students receiving special education services on the mathematics MSA.

The percentage of all student subgroups at the middle school level achieving proficient or advanced on the reading and mathematics MSA reached the highest levels since 2004-2005 with the exception of ELL on reading MSA and the American Indian student subgroup on the mathematics MSA. The American Indian and gifted and talented student subgroups performed at the same level on the reading MSA in 2008-2009 as in 2007-2008, which was the highest level since 2004-2005.

In 2008-2009, the percentage of every student subgroup achieving proficient or advanced on the English and Algebra/Data Analysis MSA increased since 2007-2008.

The percentage of students taking both reading and mathematics Alt-MSA and scoring proficient continued to score above the state standard of $70.0 \%$. In 2008-2009, $88.1 \%$ of students taking the reading Alt-MSA scored in the proficient or advanced category; and $80.2 \%$ scored in the proficient or advanced category in mathematics in 2008-2009.

## Grade 9 Algebra/Data Analysis

The percentage of students passing the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 9 in 2008-2009 was $71.2 \%$, the highest level since 2004-2005. All student groups showed improvement in performance since 2004-2005.

## FINE ARTS CREDIT

In 2008-2009, $92.3 \%$ of students earned at least one fine arts credit by the end of Grade 12.

## HSA

Significant improvements in student performance on the Maryland High School Assessments (HSA) have been achieved. The students in the Class of 2009 at the end of Grade 12 achieved the highest pass rates on the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA (88.2\%) and Government HSA $(92.9 \%)$, as compared to the pass rates at the end of Grade 9; and also on the Biology HSA (85.2\%) and English HSA $(85.9 \%)$, as compared to the pass rates at the end of Grade 10.

For the Class of 2010 at the end of Grade 11, the percentage of students passing the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA (84.8\%) and Government HSA $(88.1 \%)$ was higher than the pass rates at the end of Grade 9; and higher on the Biology HSA (80.4\%) and English HSA $(79.8 \%)$, as compared to the pass rates at the end of Grade 10.

The percentage of students in the Class of 2011 at the end of Grade 10 achieved higher pass rates on the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA (76.9\%) and Government HSA (77.7\%), as compared to the pass rates at the end of Grade 9.

## ADVANCED PLACEMENT

Advanced Placement (AP) participation has continued to increase from $10.2 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $14.2 \%$ in 2008-2009, the highest level in five years. During this period of dramatic increase in participation, pass rates remained above the College Board's global pass rate of $58.9 \%$.

## PSAT

The rate of Grade 10 student participation in the Preliminary Scholastic Achievement Test (PSAT) was $84.5 \%$ in 2008-2009. From 2004-2005 to 2008-2009, the PSAT participation rate increased by 47.0 percentage points for ELL. During the same period, participation rates for students receiving special education services remained the same, while participation rates decreased for students receiving free and reduced price meal services and for students enrolled in gifted and talented programs.

## SAT

For the class of 2009, 62.5\% of BCPS high schools met or exceeded the national Scholastic Achievement Test (SAT) participation rate as compared with $56.5 \%$ for the class of 2005 , an increase of 6.0 percentage points. In 2008-2009, the SAT combined scores increased by 11.0 points from the previous year.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Goal 2 - By 2012, all English language learners will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards in English/reading/writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.

The rate of English language learners (ELL) achieving proficiency on the reading MSA was $57.7 \%$ in 2008-2009, 9.9 percentage points higher than the rate in 2004-2005. This rate includes students who received ESOL (English for Speakers of Other Languages) services for one to three years.

Goal 3 - By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

Since 2004-2005, the percentage of highly qualified teachers increased from $87.0 \%$ to $96.4 \%$ in 2008-2009; and the percentage of highly qualified paraprofessionals increased from $80.5 \%$ to $96.0 \%$ during the same time. The percentage of highly qualified middle school mathematics teachers increased from $79.4 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $98.9 \%$ in 2008-2009. The percentage of newly hired highly qualified teachers in Title I schools was $84.2 \%$ in 2004-2005 and increased to $100 \%$ in 2008-2009 thereby meeting the state standard of $100 \%$.

Goal 4 - All students will be educated in school environments that are safe and conducive to learning.

All schools were represented at the Safe Schools Conference and had an emergency plan and security measures in place during the 2008-2009 school year. Of the stakeholders surveyed, $79.3 \%$ reported satisfaction with academics, $77.2 \%$ reported satisfaction with a safe and orderly environment, and $74.9 \%$ reported satisfaction with the level of parent/guardian involvement.

## $\pi$ <br> oal 5 - All students will graduate from high school.

The 2008-2009 systemwide high school graduation rate of $83.7 \%$ was 1.8 percentage points below the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) of $85.5 \%$. In 2008-2009, larger percentages of students in the American Indian (75.0\%), Asian (93.0\%), African American ( $82.0 \%$ ), White ( $85.0 \%$ ), and Hispanic ( $83.0 \%$ ) subgroups graduated from high school as compared to the previous year with the Asian student subgroup exceeding the AMO of 85.5\%. The 2008-2009 systemwide dropout rate improved to a rate of $3.7 \%$, 0.7 percentage points higher than the state standard of $3.0 \%$. Among the racial/ ethnic subgroups, fewer students in the Hispanic subgroup (3.0\%) dropped out of high school in 2008-2009, the lowest rate in five years.

Goal 6 - Engage parents/guardians, business, and community members in the educational process.

One hundred percent of schools increased parent/guardian attendance at school-based events and increased the number of student, parent/guardian, and teacher conferences in 2008-2009.

## oal 7 - Involve principals, teachers, staff, stakeholders, and parents/guardians in the decision-making process.

During the 2008-2009 school year, 100\% of schools utilized schoollevel data to develop a local results report based upon an analysis of student achievement and other data.

Goal 8 - All students will receive a quality education through the efficient and effective use of resources and the delivery of business services.

BCPS continued to effectively and efficiently use resources and deliver business services to ensure that all students received a quality education. In 2008-2009, teachers, administrators, and clerical staff had access to at least one computer; and the ratio of students to computers was 3.4 to 1 .

BCPS standards were exceeded in many of the business service areas during the 2008-2009 school year. Enrollment projections were $99.7 \%$ accurate, exceeding the BCPS standard of $99.0 \%$; the percentage of buses arriving on time was $96.7 \%$, exceeding the BCPS standard of $90.0 \%$; and the Wide Area Network (WAN), Enterprise Systems (ES), and telephone systems operated effectively $99.9 \%$ of the time, exceeding the BCPS standard of $98.0 \%$. The number of qualified applicants in the system's pool of principals (37) and assistant principals (83) exceeded the minimum requirements of 20 and 45 , respectively. There were 10 EEO complaints in 2008-2009, the lowest in 5 years.

In 2008-2009, $95.7 \%$ of student bus riders had daily total ride times of fewer than 3 hours, an increase of 1.8 percentage points since 2004-2005. The employee attendance rate for 2008-2009 was $95.6 \%$. Nearly $100 \%$ of teacher and instructional assistant positions were filled one week after the opening day of school.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## OPPORTUNITIES FOR GROWTH

As the Report on Results indicates, the Blueprint for Progress has served BCPS and its constituents well by providing a framework for continuously increasing student achievement. Although much progress has been made since the inception of the Blueprint, the following priorities will be the focus for the upcoming school year:

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

- Supporting a curriculum management plan that infuses more rigor in standard courses and improves the achievement of all students.
- Developing and implementing a language arts curriculum with a strong writing component that includes grammar and mechanics.
- Intensifying AIM (Articulated Instruction Module), a tool to enhance alignment and to facilitate communication among teachers, parents/guardians, and students about what students will be taught and expected to learn.
- Focusing on providing acceleration programs and interventions that will move all students toward proficient/advanced in reading/writing, mathematics, and science on the MSA.
- Identifying and applying strategies that focus on the gaps in performance among student subgroups.
- Implementing a curriculum that is aligned with the State Curriculum (SC) and Core Learning Goals (CLG) to ensure that all students are successful on the MSA, HSA, SAT, and AP courses.
- Promoting Education That is Multicultural strategies that include addressing learning styles and students' cultural and linguistic diversity; enhancing opportunities for parent/guardian and community involvement; replacing tutors of English language learners with certified teachers; supporting programs to assist teachers and paraprofessionals to become highly qualified; and providing alternative and intervention programs to address acceleration, transition, and other student needs.
- Implementing Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) and other programs to prepare more students to be college ready.
- Revamping the algebra curriculum to ensure that all students have access including students receiving special education services; refining the alignment of the English/language arts curriculum to the SC and CLG including emphasis on reading and writing; and continuing early intervention including prekindergarten.


## HUMAN RESOURCES

- Improving structures and systems designed to ensure that BCPS recruits, hires, and retains well-qualified and effective staff members.
- Restructuring the Department of Human Resources into a strategic management model.


## COMMUNICATIONS

- Expanding efforts to attract local and national media and public attention to build relationships with the media and key communicators and to increase outreach to elected officials.
- Using existing tools to strengthen relationships with parents/ guardians, business, and community members to promote the school system's successes.
- Upgrading the Web site and improving its navigation to better reflect and communicate the school system's progress.


## ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT

- Establishing priorities based on a clear vision that is defined in the Blueprint for Progress.
- Nurturing and cultivating leadership skills at all levels of the organization to develop future leaders.
- Enhancing a curriculum and instructional culture to better support underperforming schools by sharing best practices proven to raise student achievement.
- Strengthening management practices that support educational excellence including the development and expansion of longterm plans for technology and information systems.
- Improving accountability through staff performance reviews.

Additional information about the Blueprint for Progress strategies and activities being implemented to address the aforementioned opportunities for growth is available in the 2009-2010 Master Plan.

Baltimore County Public Schools is committed to continuing the implementation of the Blueprint for Progress and Master Plan. BCPS students are the future and are performing at the highest levels in the history of the school system. As a result, standards will continue to be raised, achievement will continue to improve, and progress will continue to be made.
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## BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

By 2012, all students will reach high standards, as established by the Baltimore County Public Schools and state performance level standards, in English/ reading/ writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.


## By 2012, all students will reach high standards, as established by the Baltimore County Public Schools and state performance level standards, in English/reading/writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.

- In 2008-2009, the percentage of elementary and middle students who demonstrated proficiency on the reading and mathematics MSA reached the highest levels since 2004-2005.
- The percentage of elementary and middle school students from all racial/ethnic subgroups achieving proficiency on the reading and mathematics MSA during the 2008-2009 school year has increased since 2004-2005.
- The percentage of high school students achieving proficiency on the English and Algebra/Data Analysis MSA increased from 2007-2008 to 2008-2009.
- All elementary schools had a full-day kindergarten program in 2008-2009.
- The percentage of students in Grade 8 who had taken Algebra I in middle school during the 2008-2009 school year was 56.9\%, the highest rate since 2004-2005.
- In 2008-2009, the percentage of students passing the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 9 was $71.2 \%$, the highest rate since 2004-2005.
- In 2008-2009, $62.5 \%$ of high schools had an SAT participation rate that exceeded the national average of $46.0 \%$.
- The students in the class of 2009 had an SAT participation rate of $49.3 \%$, exceeding the national average of $46.0 \%$.
- In 2008-2009, the combined reading and mathematics mean SAT scores increased among all student subgroups except the American Indian subgroup.
- In 2008-2009, 70.8\% of high schools met or exceeded the national average participation rate of $7.0 \%$ for Advanced Placement (AP) examinations.
- The 2008-2009 AP exam participation rate reached a five-year high of $14.2 \%$.
- The 2008-2009 AP exam pass rate was the highest rate in five years among the American Indian and African American student subgroups.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

Performance Indicator 1.1 - All diploma-bound students in grades 3-8 and students enrolled in English 10 and Algebra I will meet or exceed Maryland School Assessment (MSA) standards, and students enrolled in English 10 and Algebra I will pass the High School Assessments (HSA). (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of students in affected grades scoring proficient or advanced on each MSA (not counting exemptions)

## Results for 2008-2009



In 2008-2009, the percentage of elementary school students attaining proficiency on the reading MSA was $88.2 \%$ (19,643 out of 22,265 ), the highest rate since 2004-2005 (chart 1.1.1). Since 2004-2005, the percentage of elementary school students achieving proficiency on the reading MSA has improved by 6.2 percentage points.


In 2008-2009, 67.2\% (373 out of 555) of English language learners achieved proficiency on the reading MSA, a decrease of 5.4 percentage points from the previous year (chart 1.1.3). Since 2004-2005, the percentage of the elementary school English language learners achieving proficiency on the reading MSA has improved by 9.4 percentage points.

As shown in chart 1.1.2, greater percentages of students from all racial/ethnic subgroups demonstrated proficiency on the reading MSA in 2008-2009 than in 2004-2005. The percentages of all racial/ethnic subgroups achieving proficiency on the reading MSA increased from 2007-2008 to 2008-2009 except for the Hispanic student subgroup. In 2008-2009, the number of tested students by subgroup was American Indian (104), Asian $(1,276)$, African American $(9,458)$, White $(10,403)$, and Hispanic $(1,024)$.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



In the elementary schools, the percentage of students receiving special education services who achieved proficiency on the reading MSA was $68.5 \%(1,840$ out of 2,685$)$ in 2008-2009, an increase of 6.6 percentage points since 2004-2005 (chart 1.1.4).


The percentage of elementary school students receiving free and reduced price meal services who achieved proficiency on the reading MSA in 2008-2009 was $81.6 \%$ ( 7,893 out of 9,671 ), an increase of 10.9 percentage points since 2004-2005 (chart 1.1.5).


The percentage of elementary school students enrolled in gifted and talented programs who achieved proficiency on the reading MSA was $99.6 \%(5,276$ out of 5,297$)$ in 2008-2009. Chart 1.1.6 indicates that at least $99.0 \%$ of the elementary school students enrolled in gifted and talented programs have achieved proficiency on the reading MSA every year since 2004-2005.


As shown in chart 1.1.7, the percentage of elementary school students achieving proficiency in 2008-2009 on the mathematics MSA was $85.6 \%$ ( 19,058 out of 22,272 ), an increase of 10.5 percentage points since 2004-2005.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



The percentage of elementary school students in all racial/ ethnic subgroups achieving proficiency on the mathematics MSA increased in 2008-2009 as compared with 2004-2005 (chart 1.1.8). In 2008-2009, the number of tested students by subgroup was American Indian (104), Asian (1,279), African American $(9,458)$, White $(10,402)$, and Hispanic $(1,028)$.


In 2008-2009, $74.9 \%$ of the 565 tested elementary school English language learners (ELL) attained proficiency on the mathematics MSA (chart 1.1.9). The percentage of ELL achieving proficiency on the mathematics MSA has increased by 16.5 percentage points since 2004-2005.


In 2008-2009, $58.4 \%$ of the 2,683 tested elementary school students receiving special education services attained proficiency on the mathematics MSA. Chart 1.1.10 shows that the percentage of students achieving proficiency on the mathematics MSA has increased by 7.9 percentage points since 2004-2005.


Chart 1.1.11 shows that $78.1 \%$ of the 9,674 tested elementary school students who received free and reduced price meal services achieved proficiency on the mathematics MSA in 20082009, an increase of 16.5 percentage points since 2004-2005.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



As indicated in chart 1.1.12, $99.7 \%$ of the 5,298 tested elementary school students enrolled in gifted and talented programs achieved proficiency on the mathematics MSA in 2008-2009. The percentage of students enrolled in gifted and talented programs achieving proficiency on the mathematics MSA has been at least $98.9 \%$ since 2004-2005.



During the 2008-2009 school year, $81.6 \%$ of the 22,491 tested middle school students attained proficiency on the reading MSA (chart 1.1.13), 10.5 percentage points higher than in 2004-2005.

As shown in chart 1.1.14, greater percentages of middle school students from all racial/ethnic subgroups demonstrated proficiency on the reading MSA in 2008-2009 than any of the years since 2004-2005 with the exception of the American Indian student subgroup, which remained at $73.0 \%$ for 2008-2009 and the previous year. In 2008-2009, the number of tested middle school students by subgroup was American Indian (113), Asian $(1,226)$, African American $(9,420)$, White $(10,800)$, and Hispanic (932).
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



Of the 232 middle school English language learners (ELL) who were tested, $34.9 \%$ achieved proficiency on the reading MSA in 2008-2009. This result was an increase of 8.5 percentage points from 2007-2008 and an increase of 7.4 percentage points from 2004-2005 (chart 1.1.15).


As shown in chart 1.1.16, $47.6 \%$ of the 2,397 tested middle school students receiving special education services achieved proficiency on the reading MSA in 2008-2009, an increase of 15.9 percentage points since 2004-2005.



In 2008-2009, $72.8 \%$ of the 9,304 tested middle school students who received free and reduced price meal services attained proficiency on the reading MSA. As indicated in chart 1.1.17, the proficiency rate for middle school students receiving free and reduced price meal services has improved by 17.2 percentage points since 2004-2005.


In 2008-2009, $98.5 \%$ of the 6,343 tested middle school students enrolled in gifted and talented programs achieved proficiency on the reading MSA (chart 1.1.18), which was the same as the previous year and is the highest rate since 2004-2005.


The percentage of the 22,501 tested middle school students who achieved proficiency on the mathematics MSA was $70.3 \%$ in 2008-2009 (chart 1.1.19), an increase of 14.0 percentage points since 2004-2005.
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When disaggregated by racial/ethnic subgroup, the percentage of students achieving proficiency on the mathematics MSA in 2008-2009 was above the rate for every school year since 2004-2005 for all subgroups with the exception of the American Indian subgroup (chart 1.1.20). In 2008-2009, the number of tested middle school students within each subgroup was American Indian (114), Asian (1,230), African American $(9,418)$, White (10,803), and Hispanic (936).

Chart 1.1.21-Middle School Mathematics MSA LEP Proficient or Advanced



Chart 1.1.22 shows that the percentage of 2,395 tested middle school students receiving special education services who attained proficiency on the mathematics MSA in 2008-2009 was $37.9 \%$. This rate was 19.8 percentage points above the rate for 2004-2005.

The percentage of 242 tested middle school English language learners (ELL) who attained proficiency on the mathematics MSA in 2008-2009 was $46.3 \%$, the highest rate since school year 2004-2005 (chart 1.1.21).
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Of the 9,312 tested middle school students who received free and reduced price meal services in 2008-2009, $56.7 \%$ attained proficiency on the mathematics MSA, an increase of 19.8 percentage points since 2004-2005 and 6.6 percentage points above the rate for 2007-2008 (chart 1.1.23).


In 2008-2009, $96.6 \%$ of the 6,345 tested middle school students enrolled in gifted and talented programs achieved proficiency on the mathematics MSA (chart 1.1.24), an increase of 1.7 percentage points since 2004-2005.


Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.

The percentage of the 7,137 tested high school students who attained proficiency on the English MSA in 2008-2009 was $83.9 \%$, 3.9 percentage points above the rate in 2007-2008 (chart 1.1.25).


Chart 1.1.26 shows that the percentage of high school students within each racial/ethnic subgroup scoring proficient on the English MSA in 2008-2009 was greater than the rate in 20072008.


Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the bar trend.
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Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.

As chart 1.1.27 shows, $69.9 \%$ of the 83 tested secondary school English language learners (ELL) attained proficiency on the English MSA in 2008-2009, 50.9 percentage points higher than the rate in 2007-2008.


Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.

As indicated by chart $1.1 .28,49.6 \%$ of the 710 secondary school students receiving special education services achieved proficiency on the English MSA in 2008-2009, 8.1 percentage points higher than the rate in 2007-2008.


Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.

Chart 1.1.29 indicates that $75.8 \%$ of the 2,057 secondary school students who received free and reduced meal services in 20082009 achieved proficiency on the English MSA, 7.8 percentage points higher than the rate in 2007-2008.


Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.

The percentage of secondary school students who attained proficiency on the Algebra/Data Analysis MSA in 2008-2009 was $85.5 \%$ ( 6,035 out of 7,056 ), 2.8 percentage points higher than the rate in 2007-2008 (chart 1.1.30).
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Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the bar trend.

Chart 1.1.31 shows that all racial/ethnic subgroups in secondary schools had higher proficiency rates on the Algebra/Data Analysis MSA in 2008-2009 than in the previous year.


Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.

Chart 1.1.32 indicates that of the 89 secondary school English language learners (ELL) tested, $82.0 \%$ achieved proficiency on the Algebra/Data Analysis MSA in 2008-2009, 0.2 percentage points higher than the rate in 2007-2008.


Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.

Chart 1.1.33 shows that $51.7 \%$ of the 753 secondary school students receiving special education services attained proficiency on the Algebra/Data Analysis MSA in 2008-2009, 2.9 percentage points higher than the rate in 2007-2008.
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Note: Data from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.

In 2008-2009, $79.1 \%$ of the 2,117 secondary school students receiving free and reduced price meal services achieved proficiency on the Algebra/Data Analysis MSA, 5.8 percentage points higher than the rate in 2007-2008 (chart 1.1.34).

## Explanation of Results

MSA - Reading (Elementary and Middle)
Several factors contributed to the increases in the percentage of elementary school students scoring at the proficient or advanced level on the reading MSA. Teachers continued to receive high quality professional development on the implementation of research-based components of early literacy. The three-tier intervention model continued to provide a framework for instruction, which allowed for targeted small group instruction. Challenges included providing early intervention for identified students through the Response to Intervention (RTI) model and providing for collaboration and co-teaching between general education and special education teachers.

Multiple factors contributed to the increases in the percentage of middle school students scoring at the proficient or advanced level on the reading MSA, including the countywide implementation of short-cycle and benchmark assessments.

These assessments provided teachers with relevant information about each student's strengths and areas for growth as well as direction to modify instruction. In addition, BCPS implemented comprehensive reading acceleration programs to address the needs of students who were reading below grade level. Data indicate significant increases in the students' overall phonemic awareness and fluency. Further, SpringBoard, a Pre-AP curriculum from College Board, was implemented in all Grade 8 English language arts classes in 2008-2009.

MSA - Mathematics (Elementary and Middle)

The continued implementation and monitoring of the revised elementary mathematics program comprised the largest contributing factor to the increases in the percentage of students in the majority of subgroups scoring proficient or advanced at the elementary level. This program and the accompanying curriculum planning grids provided alignment to the State Curriculum (SC). Benchmark and short-cycle assessments were revised that also bridged the alignment of the curriculum and the SC and modeled the expectations of the MSA for teachers and students. Continued professional development was provided for teachers to assist in using the curriculum planning grids and analyzing data collected from systemwide assessments in order to ensure effective implementation.

The percentage of students receiving special education services scoring proficient or advanced decreased slightly. A supplement to the elementary curriculum guide was developed to provide additional differentiation strategies for teachers of students receiving special education services. Professional development was provided to teachers on the use of this differentiation guide.

Several factors contributed to the significant increases in the percentage of students scoring at the proficient or advanced level in all subgroups at the middle school level. The continued implementation and monitoring of the middle school program, Algebraic Thinking in grades 6,7 , and 8 were contributing factors. This program, which was aligned to the SC, provided support for students scoring in the basic or low proficient range. Benchmark and short-cycle assessments were revised to continue to bridge the alignment of the curriculum and the SC and model the expectations of the MSA.

Additional support was provided through MSA resource guides available at each middle school grade level. An increase from 2007-2008 to 2008-2009 of 8.2 percentage points for students receiving special education services scoring at the proficient or advanced level in middle school mathematics and an increase of 7.3 percentage points for English language learners scoring at the proficient or advanced level in middle school mathematics indicate that these two subgroups are making progress, although they continue to be an area of focus.

## MSA - English

The 3.9 percentage point increase in students scoring at the proficient or advanced level on the 2008-2009 English MSA may be attributed to several factors including the countywide implementation of short-cycle and benchmark assessments. These assessments provided teachers with relevant information about each student's strengths and areas of need as well as direction to
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modify their instruction. In addition, BCPS implemented comprehensive reading acceleration programs to address the needs of students who were reading below grade level. Data indicate significant increases in the students' overall phonemic awareness and fluency.

Targeted support also improved English MSA scores among particular subgroups. An additional factor that contributed to the increase in scores was teacher participation in the Governor's Academy for English.

MSA - Algebra/Data Analysis

The increase in the percentage of students passing the Algebra/ Data Analysis reflects the continued implementation and monitoring of the revised Algebra I curriculum and the continued implementation of benchmark and short-cycle assessments in Algebra I. In addition, this increase can be attributed to the continued attention to developing an HSA Intervention Plan for each student who did not pass the HSA by Grade 11.

This plan included diagnostic assessments, 60 hours of instructional resources, and additional practice opportunities that schools were able to implement via pull-out programs, afterschool settings, and home assignments. In addition, schools continued to use the comprehensive HSA Review Packet that was developed for students who only needed additional practice opportunities. A one-half credit course Mathematics Modeling: Applications to Algebra was available for students who had passed Algebra I but had not passed the HSA.

Attention to providing support for students receiving special education services and English language learners continued in both inclusion settings and in the course Algebra and Data Analysis Adapted intended for Grade 9 diploma-bound students recommended through the IEP team process. Professional development was provided for teachers of these students including content training for special education teachers who did not have a mathematics background or were co-teaching this course.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

MSA - Reading (Elementary and Middle)

- Continue to provide collaborative professional development among general and special educators to ensure the success of students with disabilities in inclusive and selfcontained settings.
- Intensify and target professional development for special education and general education teachers in best practices for co-teaching models and differentiated instruction.
- Continue the use of early childhood screening and progress monitoring tools to adjust instruction and provide appropriate support and interventions in order to prevent early reading failure.
- Continue to implement in all elementary schools the comprehensive Response to Intervention (RTI) model to provide ongoing assessment, early identification, and support for students who are at risk of not learning to read.
- Continue to use research-based interventions to provide accelerated reading/English/language arts instruction for students in grades 4 and 5, implement short-cycle and benchmark assessments, monitor the instructional program, and make adjustments as needed.
- Continue to support the 100 Book Challenge in order to strengthen students' application of skills and give students access to a wide range of fiction and non-fiction reading materials.
- Continue to support the Motivational Reading Project and implement the Wide Reading component of the program in 38 Title I schools in order to strengthen students' application of expository reading skills and strategies, research, and inquiry-based writing.
- Provide to reading specialists and teachers intensive professional development and resources that targets rigorous comprehension strategy instruction.
- Provide the students currently enrolled in Language! with the core English language arts curriculum in grades 6-9. Reduce the amount of time spent in the Language! program to 45 minutes.
- Continue to adjust and implement reading/language arts 6 , 7 , and 8 short-cycle and benchmark assessments to ensure alignment among the tested, written, and taught curricula.

MSA - Mathematics (Elementary and Middle)

- Continue to monitor the effective implementation of both the elementary mathematics program and the middle school program Algebraic Thinking to ensure that all students receive instruction aligned to the MSA.
- Continue to provide support to schools with large numbers of students scoring basic, particularly those with low performing subgroups.
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- Continue to identify the challenges for mathematics achievement of students receiving special education services at both the elementary and middle school levels and to implement strategies to improve this achievement.
- Continue to use short-cycle and benchmark assessments to monitor student progress and to identify strengths and needs for targeted instruction.
- Continue to provide school-based support on the analysis of short-cycle and benchmark assessments to help teachers plan targeted instruction.
- Continue to provide ongoing professional development for administrators and teachers on providing rigorous instruction and differentiation strategies and on raising expectations for student achievement.
- Benchmark and MSA results will be monitored closely if there proves to be a trend.

MSA - English

- Reduce the amount of time spent in the Language! program to 45 minutes and remove the English credit given to Language! students.
- Provide the core English curriculum to all students enrolled in Language!.
- Begin implementation of a comprehensive grammar curriculum in grades 6-12. Using feedback from this first year of implementation, revise the grammar curriculum for full integration the following year.
- Continue to provide teachers and administrators with professional development to support the implementation of identified acceleration curricula.
- Continue to monitor progress of the students in the Language! program through the use of both internal and external assessments.
- Continue to facilitate professional development that ensures the fidelity of implementation of the core curricula.
- Include special educators and special education office resource personnel in professional development related to specific content areas.
- Review and refine the middle school English and reading courses listed in the Course Registration Guide to ensure that all middle school English and reading courses are supported by rigorous curricula.
- Continue to adjust and implement language arts 6,7 , and 8 short-cycle and benchmark assessments to ensure alignment among the tested, written, and taught curricula.
- Continue to provide demonstration lessons to language arts teachers by modeling instruction, coaching, and providing support to ensure effective implementation of the curriculum and reading programs.

MSA - Algebra/Data Analysis

- Continue to monitor the effective implementation of the Algebra I curriculum, especially in schools where students are not performing at the expected level.
- Continue the use of short-cycle and benchmark assessments to monitor student progress and identify strengths and needs to help teachers plan targeted instruction.
- Continue to monitor the Algebraic Thinking program in all middle schools in all grades for students who scored basic or in the lower one-third of the proficiency range on the MSA. This program employs an alternative method of teaching and learning foundational algebraic concepts for students who will typically take Algebra I in Grade 9. Professional development will be continued for Algebra I teachers to ensure a smooth transition for students completing Algebraic Thinking Part 2 in Grade 8 and entering Algebra I in Grade 9.
- Continue to monitor the implementation of Algebra and Data Analysis Adapted for identified students receiving special education services and to make recommendations for changes to improve the implementation of the curriculum.
- Continue to monitor the implementation of Algebra and Data Analysis Adapted for identified students and make recommendations for students with interrupted education needing to pass the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA.
- Continue to provide Algebra I teachers with HSA instructional materials to support the individualized intervention for students who are not progressing towards proficiency achievement on the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA.
- Continue to work with Algebra I teachers to provide unit-by-unit planning targets and support.
- Continue the implementation of the HSA online course for Algebra/Data Analysis for use in identified classrooms and as a professional development course for teachers.
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P erformance Indicator 1.2 - All Grade 10 diplomabound students will participate in the PSAT. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of diploma-bound students in Grade 10 taking PSAT, without exemptions

Results for 2008-2009


Chart 1.2.1 shows that in 2008-2009 the rate of students in Grade 10 taking the PSAT was $84.5 \%$ ( 6,971 out of 8,246 ). In 2004-2005, the rate of students in Grade 10 taking the PSAT was $86.8 \%(7,518$ out of 8,663$)$.


In 2008-2009, the gifted and talented student group had the highest PSAT participation rate at $96.0 \%$ while FARMS, LEP, and special education student groups had rates of $78.0 \%, 80.0 \%$, and $65.0 \%$, respectively (chart 1.2.2). Overall, the FARMS and LEP student subgroups had higher participation rates in 20082009 than in 2007-2008.
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Chart 1.2.3 indicates that PSAT participation rates increased from 2004-2005 to 2008-2009 for students in the American Indian subgroup ( $84.0 \%$ to $86.0 \%$ ), students in the Asian student subgroup ( $87.0 \%$ to $95.0 \%$ ), and students in the Hispanic subgroup ( $75.0 \%$ to $79.0 \%$ ). Participation rates remained the same for students in the African American subgroup (83.0\%) and decreased for students in the White subgroup ( $90.0 \%$ to 85.0\%).

## Explanation of Results

Due to the continuing initiative to provide funding for PSAT testing for all students in grades 9 and 10, PSAT participation rates have increased slightly for some but not all student groups. Schools and offices in the Division of Curriculum and Instruction have collaborated to identify and implement effective strategies for implementing school-based PSAT testing for all freshmen and sophomores including communicating the purpose of the PSAT with high school and middle school parents/ guardians and students.

Compared to 2007-2008, all groups had increased or stable PSAT participation rates except for students in the White subgroup, which may be due to the trend of colleges no longer requiring SAT tests for admissions. Coordination with the College Board's students with disabilities office has led to the implementation of strategies that allow disabled middle school students to seek accommodations for the PSAT. Applying the data analysis of the Grade 10 PSAT Advanced Placement (AP) has encouraged schools to seek active participation in the Grade 10 PSAT.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to pay the College Board fee for all grades 9 and 10 diploma-bound students to participate in the PSAT.
- Provide professional development to ensure that schoolbased staff members (administrators, department chairs, teachers, and counselors) use PSAT results to prepare students to take challenging courses, such as honors or gifted and talented and AP, to improve SAT scores.
- Facilitate professional development opportunities for staff regarding interpreting PSAT data for the purposes of identifying students who do not participate in PSAT, providing appropriate counseling and advisement, increasing application of the connection of PSAT data to AP Potential, and increasing access to rigorous courses for students.
- Increase early counseling and advisement to middle school students emphasizing the advantages of taking the PSAT as a means to achieving success on the SAT and preparing for college readiness.
- Continue implementing CollegeEd in Grade 7 for early preparation for college readiness.
- Increase vertical teaming professional development between middle and high schools with opportunities for articulation among students, content subject teachers, and guidance counselors.
- Continue to communicate with middle and high school students and parents/guardians about the importance and benefits of PSAT participation.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

- Continue to analyze data to determine which specific student groups are underrepresented in PSAT participation with the focus on increasing participation.
- Implement intervention strategies such as the Middle School College Partnership with the Community College of Baltimore County to encourage identified student groups to seek academic rigor and participate in early college testing to increase participation in Grade 10 PSAT.

Performance Indicator 1.3 - All students scoring a 55 or above on critical reading/mathematics PSAT will enroll in honors or gifted and talented level courses. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of students scoring 55 or above on the critical reading/mathematics PSAT that enroll in honors or gifted and talented courses in grades 10-12

Results for 2008-2009


The percentage of students in grades 10-12 scoring 55 or above on the critical reading PSAT that enrolled in honors or gifted and talented level courses was $97.7 \%(1,775$ out of 1,817$)$ in 2008-2009, the highest percentage since 2004-2005 and an increase of 0.9 percentage points from 2007-2008 (chart 1.3.1).


The percentage of students in grades 10-12 scoring 55 or above on the mathematics PSAT that enrolled in honors or gifted and talented level courses was $92.3 \%(2,367$ out of 2,564$)$ in 2008-2009, the highest percentage since 2004-2005 and an increase of 2.1 percentage points from 2007-2008 (chart 1.3.2).

## Explanation of Results

The percentage of students who scored 55 or above on the critical reading and mathematics PSAT and who were enrolled in honors or gifted and talented courses continued to increase. Schools consistently counseled students scoring 55 or above on the critical reading and mathematics PSAT to enroll in honors or gifted and talented courses in order to ensure that all students demonstrating potential for success were placed in a rigorous academic program. Programs such as AVID, CollegeEd, and SpringBoard English also provided the opportunity for students to access rigorous coursework starting in Grade 7.

Increasing rigor in the middle school curriculum assisted students in preparing for high school honors and gifted and talented courses. The systemwide initiative to fund PSAT testing for all students in grades 9 and 10 provided valuable information for all diploma-bound students. School staff members communicated with students and parents/guardians regarding the purpose of the PSAT and the ways in which the results could be useful for academic improvement. When PSAT scores were returned to schools, school staff members met with groups of students to explain and interpret test results.

AP Potential data were used by administrators, guidance counselors, and teachers during the course registration process to encourage students to take honors and gifted and talented level courses. English and mathematics department chairs attended an annual PSAT Summary of Answers and Skills workshop to analyze data related to instructional skills to plan strategies for improving daily instruction. The inclusion of online AP courses provided more opportunities for students to take rigorous classes.
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## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to fund PSAT testing for all diploma-bound students in grades 9 and 10.
- Continue to communicate with students and parents/ guardians the importance of PSAT participation.
- Continue to assist students with interpreting PSAT results.
- Continue to provide data workshops to assist school staff with interpreting test results and planning strategies to improve instruction.
- Continue to use AP Potential data to encourage students with scores of 55 and above to take honors and gifted and talented courses.
- Continue to support AVID programs at the high school and middle school levels.
- Continue to provide professional development for guidance counselors to ensure that students take the appropriate course sequence.
- Review honors and gifted and talented coursework to ensure that an academically rigorous program is available for students.
- Continue to update all curricula with rigorous instructional methodologies and strategies.
- Continue to implement continuous districtwide professional development on rigorous instruction for principals, assistant principals, teachers, department chairs, and the Division of Curriculum and Instruction staff.
- Continue to monitor the use of AP Potential information at the school site to ensure students have increased access to AP courses.
- The BCPS goal is to have every high school offer 12 or more Advanced Placement (AP) classes. Each high school is expected to meet this standard by 2009-2010.
erformance Indicator 1.4 - All students who earn a
Certificate of Attendance will have documented evidence of their attainment of knowledge and skills within their prescribed programs. (State standard)


## What is measured?

Percentage of students who attained a Certificate of Attendance and meet or exceed state standards for the Alternate Maryland School Assessment (Alt-MSA)

Results for 2008-2009


Since 2004-2005, the percentage of students achieving proficiency on the reading Alternate Maryland School Assessment (Alt-MSA) has increased (chart 1.4.1). In 2008-2009, 71.0\% (88 out of 124) of students achieved proficiency on the reading AltMSA. This represented an increase of 58.2 percentage points since 2004-2005.


Seventy-nine percent (26 out of 33) of students receiving free and reduced price meal services (FARMS) achieved proficiency on the reading Alt-MSA in 2008-2009 (chart 1.4.2). This represented an increase of 68.0 percentage points since 2004-2005 and an increase of 36.0 percentage points from the previous year. The number of English language learners achieving proficiency on the reading Alt-MSA was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.4.2 as the Maryland State Department of Education does not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.
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Chart 1.4.3 shows that in 2008-2009 65.0\% (33 out of 51) of the African American and $76.0 \%$ ( 52 out of 68 ) of the White student subgroups achieved proficiency on the reading Alt-MSA. The number of students in the American Indian, Asian, and Hispanic subgroups achieving proficiency on the reading AltMSA was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.4.3.


Overall, since 2004-2005 the percentage of students achieving proficiency on the mathematics Alt-MSA has increased (chart 1.4.4). In 2008-2009, $66.1 \%$ ( 82 out of 124) of students achieved proficiency on the mathematics Alt-MSA. This represented an increase of 51.2 percentage points since 2004-2005 and an increase of 14.9 percentage points from the previous year's rate of $51.2 \%$ (22 out of 43 ).


Since 2004-2005, the percentage of students who received free and reduced price meal services (FARMS) and achieved proficiency on the mathematics Alt-MSA has increased (chart 1.4.5). Sixty-seven percent (22 out of 33 ) of students who received free and reduced price meal services achieved proficiency on the mathematics Alt-MSA in 2008-2009. This represented a 56.0 percentage point increase since 2004-2005 and an increase of 24.0 percentage points from the previous year's rate of $43.0 \%$ ( 9 out of 21). The number of English language learners achieving proficiency on the mathematics Alt-MSA was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.4.5.


Chart 1.4.6 shows that in 2008-2009, 59.0\% (30 out of 51) of the African American and $72.0 \%$ (49 out of 68) of the White student subgroups achieved proficiency on the mathematics AltMSA. The number of students achieving proficiency on the mathematics Alt-MSA in the American Indian, Asian, and Hispanic subgroups was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.4.6.

## Explanation of Results

The 2007-2008 scores were the first year that scores solely reflected Alt-MSA high school participation without the influence of the Independent Mastery Assessment Program (IMAP) used earlier. Student performance on the initial year of Alt-MSA was lower than prior student performance when assessed using IMAP; however, student achievement on the Alt-MSA improved significantly in 2008-2009. An additional year of data is needed to determine whether this reflects an upward trend. At that point it will be possible to determine definitively what factors may be attributed to the increase.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to move toward $100 \%$ proficient or advanced achievement by supporting daily, purposeful instruction in the areas of reading, mathematics, and science through curriculum aligned with the State Curriculum.
- Adapt curriculum support documents and provide professional development to ensure alignment between instruction and proficient or advanced performance on Alt-MSA.
- Continue to prepare teachers for the science assessment items in grades 5, 8 , and 10 as well as the infusion and alignment of the science items in reading and mathematics in grades $3,4,6,7$ and 10 .
- Provide professional development to school-based staff (administrators, school test coordinators, teachers, and re-lated-service providers) for training on the current Alt-MSA Handbook and Alt-MSA online.
- Continue technical assistance to Alt-MSA teachers and teams during the testing window through individualized professional development and after-school group sessions to promote meaningful data/error analysis, purposeful instruction, and thorough assessment practices.
- Assess all high school students beyond Grade 10 who meet the Alt-MSA criteria and were not assessed in Grade 10 (to ensure that they receive an AYP score for high school). These students would include those who have moved into the state during the testing window and have never taken a high school assessment, those who were not identified eligible in Grade 10 for the Alt-MSA and have not taken the HSA but have since been found eligible by the IEP team due to significant cognitive disability due to a medical circumstance, and those who had been in another Maryland LEA during Grade 10 and were not administered the assessment and subsequently transferred to this LEA.
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Performance Indicator 1.5 - All participating special education students will meet or exceed state standards for the Alternate Maryland School Assessment (Alt-MSA). (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of participating students scoring proficient or advanced on the Alt-MSA

## Results for 2008-2009



In 2008-2009, $88.1 \%$ of the 721 students taking the Alt-MSA for reading in grades 3-10 attained proficiency. This result exceeded the state standard of $70.0 \%$ but was lower than the 2007-2008 rate of $93.8 \%$ and 0.6 percentage points higher than the rate in 2004-5005 (chart 1.5.1).


Chart 1.5.2 shows that $91.0 \%$ of the 373 students receiving free and reduced price meal services who took the reading Alt-MSA in grades 3-10 during 2008-2009 attained proficiency. Since 2006-2007, the number of students in the LEP subgroup who took the Alt-MSA reading in grades 3-10 was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.5.2.
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In 2008-2009, the Asian, African American, White, and Hispanic student subgroups in grades 3-10 had proficiency rates above the state standard of $70.0 \%$ on the reading Alt-MSA (chart 1.5.3). In 2008-2009, the number of tested students for each subgroup was Asian (23), African American (346), White (328), and Hispanic (22). Since 2005-2006, the number of tested American Indian students has been less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.5.3.


In 2008-2009, 80.2\% of the 721 students in grades 3-10 achieved proficiency on the mathematics Alt-MSA. The 20082009 rate was 10.2 percentage points above the state standard and 13.3 percentage points less than the prior year (chart 1.5.4).


In 2008-2009, $81.0 \%$ of the 373 students receiving free and reduced price meal services taking the mathematics Alt-MSA in grades 3-10 attained proficiency (chart 1.5.5). Since 2006-2007, the number of tested ELL has been less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.5.5.
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In 2008-2009, the Asian, African American, White, and Hispanic student subgroups in grades 3-10 had proficiency rates above the state standard of $70.0 \%$ on the mathematics Alt-MSA (chart 1.5.6). In 2008-2009, the number of tested students for each subgroup was Asian (23), African American (346), White (328), and Hispanic (22). Since 2005-2006, the number of tested American Indian students has been less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.5.6.

## Explanation of Results

All reported subgroups, except the Hispanic student subgroup, started a decline in the percentage of students who achieved proficiency on the mathematics Alt-MSA in 2008-2009. This can be attributed to the introduction of science Mastery Objectives, a new initiative for teachers and students in 2008-2009, which was aligned with the reading and mathematics curricula and resulted in lower scores during this first year of implementation.

In addition, MSDE instituted a new policy in which each high school student in Grade 10 or above was required to take the Alt-MSA; in the past, this was required for only Grade 10 students. Many students who had been non-participants in Grade 10 had been placed in life-skills programs, which likely affected scores. For the last three years, MSDE has provided information along with the performance results that allowed teachers to complete a full analysis of student performance. This feedback, along with the continued technical assistance provided by the Office of Special Education, included trainings, instructional and assessment mentoring, and direct support to administrators.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to move toward $100 \%$ proficient or advanced achievement on the Alt-MSA by supporting daily, engaging, purposeful instruction in the areas of reading, mathematics, and science through curriculum that is aligned to the State Curriculum.
- Adapt curriculum support documents and provide professional development to ensure alignment between instruction and proficient or advanced performance on Alt-MSA.
- Continue to prepare teachers for the science assessment items in grades 5,8 , and 10 as well as the infusion of the science items in reading and mathematics in grades $3,4,6$, and 7.
- Provide professional development to teachers focused on the identified areas of weakness (based on data and feedback from MSDE) in assessing reading and mathematics objectives from the State Curriculum.
- Provide additional support to schools and programs with students who did not participate in Alt-MSA originally in Grade 10 and who need to be assessed.
- Provide professional development to school-based staff (administrators, school test coordinators, teachers, and re-lated-service providers) for training on the current Alt-MSA Handbook and Alt-MSA online.
- Continue technical assistance to Alt-MSA teachers and teams during the testing window through individualized professional development and after-school group sessions to promote meaningful data/error analysis, purposeful instruction, and thorough assessment practices.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

- Collaborate with MSDE decision makers to address the lower scores that resulted from the introduction of the science Mastery Objectives and to identify areas for improvement, which include the prohibition of the use of an opaque correction fluid to mask errors, erroneous artifact dates, artifacts evidencing less than three days between baseline and mastery of the objective, prompting errors, and artifacts not aligned with the SC, especially in the area of science. Provide Alt-MSA Handbook training to principals, test coordinators, and teachers administering and assisting with Alt-MSA; and recommend the establishment of a testing examiner team so that a cross-curricular group would be involved in the monthly, periodic review of the Alt-MSA portfolios. Distribute calendars that clearly show dates that are not to be used (blackout dates) on portfolio artifacts, and provide the testing coordinators with timeline charts establishing Alt-MSA deadline dates for important milestones.


Performance Indicator 1.6 - All eligible prekindergarten students will have access to a prekindergarten program by the 2007-2008 school year. (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of eligible prekindergarten students having access to prekindergarten programs

## Results for 2008-2009

One hundred percent of eligible prekindergarten students were provided access to a program during school year 2008-2009.

In 2008-2009, 2,895 students were enrolled in prekindergarten classes as of September 30, 2008.

## Explanation of Results

The 2002-2003 initiative to provide access to all eligible prekindergarten students has been successful to date. Schools with prekindergarten programs evaluated students for automatic eligibility regardless of the number of students enrolled; schools without programs also evaluated for automatic eligibility. If programs were full or if schools did not offer prekindergarten programs, children with automatic eligibility were referred for placement in other schools.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue efforts to enroll all eligible children as defined in the BCPS Prekindergarten Screening Procedures.
- Continue to monitor enrollment in existing prekindergarten programs in order to determine the need for additional programs.
- Continue to publicize prekindergarten program eligibility.
- Collaborate with Baltimore County Head Start to dually enroll prekindergarten students.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

Performance Indicator 1.7 - All elementary schools will have full-day kindergarten by the 2007-2008 school year. (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools having full-day kindergarten

## Results for 2008-2009



All elementary schools had full-day kindergarten in 2008-2009 and in the previous year, meeting the state requirement of $100 \%$ by 2008 (chart 1.7.1).

## Explanation of Results

The five-year implementation plan, which began in 2003-2004, was successful in meeting the state goal of having full-day kindergarten in $100 \%$ of elementary schools by 2008.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to support the implementation of full-day kindergarten in all elementary schools.

Derformance Indicator 1.8 - Students in grades 2-6 will achieve grade level standards on reading assessments. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of students in grades 2-6 achieving grade level standards on reading assessments

Results for 2008-2009
No Data Yet Available

## Explanation of Results

N/A

D erformance Indicator 1.9 - Each middle school will meet or exceed the county benchmark measure for the student participation rate in Algebra I. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of students in Grade 8 taking Algebra I in middle school

Results for 2008-2009


In 2008-2009, 56.9\% (4,411 out of 7,747) of students in Grade 8 had taken Algebra I in middle school, a 7.9 percentage point increase since 2004-2005 (chart 1.9.1).



Chart 1.9.2 shows that $41.0 \%(1,225$ out of 2,986$)$ of students receiving free and reduced price meal services had taken Algebra I in middle school; $96.0 \%(2,076$ out of 2,168$)$ of students enrolled in gifted and talented programs had taken Algebra I in middle school; $14.0 \%$ ( 16 out of 112) of the ELL had taken Algebra I in middle school; and $11.0 \%$ ( 80 out of 739 ) of students receiving special education services had taken Algebra I in middle school.

In 2008-2009, 56.0\% of the 36 students in the American Indian, $76.0 \%$ of the 409 students in the Asian, $45.0 \%$ of the 3,211 students in the African American, $67.0 \%$ of the 3,781 students in the White, and $43.0 \%$ of the 310 students in the Hispanic subgroups in Grade 8 had taken Algebra I in middle school (chart 1.9.3). From 2004-2005 to 2008-2009, the percentage of Grade 8 students who have taken Algebra I in the middle school has increased for each racial/ethnic student group.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

## Explanation of Results

Continued attention remained on placing students in Algebra I at the middle school level. A benchmark assessment was administered at the end of the school year to students in Grade 7 to determine potential placement in Algebra I in Grade 8. In addition, diagnostic and readiness tests were available to ensure that middle school students who demonstrated readiness for Algebra I were placed in the course. Several programs were in place to provide support for students who were not in a Pre-Algebra class but who demonstrated potential for Algebra I through performance in mathematics. The implementation of the Algebra with Assistance program and the Pre-Algebra summer school course, has supported the placement of additional middle school students into Algebra I.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to support the Algebra with Assistance program and the Pre-Algebra summer school course to ensure appropriate placement of students into Algebra I at the middle school.
- Continue to administer a diagnostic benchmark during fourth quarter for all students at the middle school to ensure that students demonstrating a readiness for Algebra I are appropriately placed. In particular, focus attention on middle schools where a lower percentage of students are enrolled in Algebra I in Grade 8.
- Continue to support the implementation of the elementary mathematics program that includes additional opportunities to build the foundation for Algebra I prior to middle school.
erformance Indicator 1.10 - All students will pass the Algebra/Data Analysis Maryland High School Assessment (HSA) by the end of Grade 9. (BCPS standard)


## What is measured?

Percentage of students (less exemptions) passing Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by end of Grade 9

Results for 2008-2009


In 2008-2009, $71.2 \%(5,463$ out of 7,671$)$ of students who had taken Algebra I had passed the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 9, the highest percentage since 2004-2005 (chart 1.10.1). This represented a 1.5 percentage point increase from the previous year's rate of $69.7 \%$ and an overall increase of 18.2 percentage points since 2004-2005.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



In 2008-2009, the percentage of students passing the Algebra/ Data Analysis High School Assessment (HSA) by the end of Grade 9 has increased for each student group when compared to 2004-2005 (chart 1.10.2). In 2008-2009, 49.0\% (1,595 out of 3,257 ) of students receiving free and reduced price meal services, $91.0 \%(1,919$ out of 2,114$)$ of students enrolled in gifted and talented programs, $46.0 \%$ ( 37 out of 81 ) of ELL, and $22.0 \%$ (187 out of 836) of students receiving special education services passed the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 9.

Compared to 2004-2005, the percentage of students in 20082009 passing the Algebra/Data Analysis High School Assessment (HSA) by the end of Grade 9 has increased for each racial/ethnic student group (chart 1.10.3). In 2008-2009, 73.0\% (22 out of 30) of the American Indian, $90.0 \%$ ( 336 out of 375 ) of the Asian, $58.0 \%$ ( 1,914 out of 3,305 ) of the African American, $82.0 \%(2,991$ out of 3,652$)$ of the White, and $64.0 \% ~(200$ out of 311) of the Hispanic student subgroups passed the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 9. The pass rates of all racial/ethnic student groups, except for the Hispanic student subgroup, increased or remained constant in 2008-2009 from the previous year, with the American Indian student subgroup having the largest increase of 19.0 percentage points.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

## Explanation of Results

Several factors contributed to the percentage of students passing the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 9 in 20082009. Schools continued to conduct awareness sessions to inform students and parents/guardians of the requirements for graduation including the requirement to pass the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA. In addition, teachers continued to refine implementation of the Algebra I curriculum. Teachers were provided with additional professional development opportunities on instructional strategies and the curriculum.

The 2008-2009 school year was the first year for students enrolled in Algebra I in Grade 9 to have been enrolled previously in two of the three Algebraic Thinking courses offered at the middle school level. Grade 9 Algebra I teachers were provided with professional development on the methodology of the Algebraic Thinking program in order to bridge the students' learning of algebraic concepts prior to Algebra I. Benchmark and shortcycle assessments were adjusted and implemented to continue to mirror the questions and style of the HSA and to provide teachers with a detailed opportunity to analyze each student's progress towards mastery of the indicators embedded in the Core Learning Goals (CLG). Additional HSA practice problems were created and distributed to teachers to provide directed intervention opportunities for students who did not show progress towards mastering the CLG.

There was continued implementation of the course Algebra and Data Analysis Adapted, which was intended for diploma-bound students in Grade 9 who were recommended through the IEP team process. This required content training for special education teachers teaching these courses who may not have had a mathematics background.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to adjust and implement Algebra I and the benchmark and short-cycle assessments at each high school to ensure effective implementation and to monitor the results of these assessments for all subgroups. Provide professional development opportunities for teachers of Algebra I to ensure understanding of the curriculum and effective instructional strategies for all learners.
- Continue to monitor the middle school program Algebraic Thinking in all grades for students who scored basic or in the lower one-third of the proficiency range on the MSA. The first cohort of students who will have taken all three Algebraic Thinking courses in middle school will take the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA in May 2010.
- Continue to support and monitor the implementation of the Algebra and Data Analysis Adapted course for high school students recommended through the IEP team process and for recommended ELL. Review the existing curriculum and instructional strategies to meet the needs of students receiving special education services and English language learners enrolled in this course. Provide professional development opportunities for teachers of the Algebra and Data Analysis Adapted course to ensure understanding of the curriculum and effective instructional strategies.
- Continue to work with the Office of Special Education on the co-teaching initiative to ensure that special education and general education teachers have opportunities to effectively co-plan and co-teach in Algebra I classrooms.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

Performance Indicator 1.11 - All students will acquire one fine arts credit by passing a course that is driven by the Maryland Content Standards. (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of Grade 12 students who have at least one fine arts credit by the end of Grade 12

Results for 2008-2009


As shown in chart 1.11.1, $92.3 \%$ of the 7,695 students in Grade 12 had at least one fine arts credit by the end of the 2008-2009 school year.

## Explanation of Results

The percentage of students who have fulfilled the requirement of at least one fine arts credit by the end of Grade 12 has remained relatively stable over time. The number of students enrolled in the fine arts courses has increased from 7,425 in 20042005 to 7,695 in 2008-2009. In 2008-2009, 1.0\% of Grade 12 students were certificate-bound students and were included in the results.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Conduct additional research to identify root causes related to the remaining $7.7 \%$ of Grade 12 students who have not met the fine arts graduation requirement.
- Identify the student pass/fail rate in all courses that fulfill the state graduation requirement for both diploma- and certificate-bound students, ensuring accuracy of reporting enrollment in the fine arts courses.
- Continue to implement an action plan to address coursespecific and school-specific issues that impede student success.
- Continue to implement and update the BCPS Fine Arts Initiative Strategic Plan and explore additional opportunities to enhance teaching and learning in the arts at all levels of instruction.
- Continue to disaggregate the data to identify schools that will benefit from participation in professional development in differentiated instruction in the fine arts to assist in meeting the learning needs of all students.

Performance Indicator 1.12 - All students successfully completing Algebra I, Biology, English 10, and Government will pass the Maryland High School Assessments on their first attempt. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of students by cohort group who pass the corresponding HSA tests

## Results for 2008-2009



At the end of Grade 12, 88.2\% of the students in the class of 2009 passed the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA. This rate was 23.5 percentage points higher than at the end of Grade 9 for the class of 2009 (chart 1.12.1).

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



Chart 1.12.2 shows that $85.2 \%$ of the 7,107 students in the class of 2009 passed the Biology HSA by the end of Grade 12. This rate was an increase of 13.6 percentage points for the same class at the end of Grade 10.


By the end of Grade 12, 85.9\% of students in the class of 2009 passed the English HSA (chart 1.12.3). This rate was 16.3 percentage points above the results for the same class at the end of Grade 10.


Chart 1.12.4 shows that $92.9 \%$ of students in the class of 2009 passed the Government HSA by the end of Grade 12 as compared with $69.5 \%$ at the end of Grade 9.


At the end of Grade 11, $84.8 \%$ of the 7,381 students in the class of 2010 passed the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA, an increase of 19.5 percentage points for the same class at the end of Grade 9 (chart 1.12.5).


Chart 1.12.6 shows that $80.4 \%$ of students in the class of 2010 passed the Biology HSA by the end of Grade 11, a rate that was 10.6 percentage points higher than at the end of Grade 10.


Chart 1.12 .7 shows that by the end of Grade $11,79.8 \%$ of students in the class of 2010 passed the English HSA as compared to $66.5 \%$ the previous year.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



The class of 2010 passed the Government HSA at a rate of $88.1 \%$ by the end of Grade 11 as compared with $66.2 \%$ at the end of Grade 9 (chart 1.12.8).


Chart 1.12 .9 shows that $76.9 \%$ of the 7,970 students in the class of 2011 passed the Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 10.


On the Biology HSA, $69.3 \%$ of the students in the class of 2011 passed the test by the end of Grade 10 (chart 1.12.10).


Chart 1.12 .11 shows that $65.1 \%$ of the students in the class of 2011 passed the English HSA by the end of Grade 10. There were 7,970 students in the class of 2011.


At the end of Grade 10, 77.7\% of students in the class of 2011 passed the Government HSA compared to $67.2 \%$ the previous year, an increase of 10.5 percentage points (chart 1.12.12).


Chart 1.12 .13 shows that $67.6 \%$ of the 8,331 students in the class of 2012 passed the Algebra/Data Analysis at the end of Grade 9 .

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



On the Government HSA, $64.7 \%$ of the students in the class of 2012 passed the test by the end of Grade 9 (chart 1.12.14).

## Explanation of Results

Algebra/Data Analysis

## Algebra/Data Analysis - Class of 2009 Results

The increase in the percentage of students passing the Algebra/ Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 12 can be attributed to the continued attention given to developing an HSA Intervention Plan for each student who did not pass the HSA by Grade 11. This plan included diagnostic assessments, 60 hours of instructional resources, and additional practice problems that schools were able to use with students in pull-out programs, in after-school settings, and on home assignments.

In addition, schools continued to use the comprehensive HSA Review Packet that was developed for use with students who needed additional practice opportunities. A one-half credit course entitled Mathematics Modeling: Applications to Algebra was available to students who passed Algebra I but not the HSA.

## Algebra/Data Analysis - Class of 2010 Results

The increase in the percentage of students passing the Algebra/ Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 11 can be attributed to several factors including the development of an HSA Intervention Plan for students who passed Algebra I but not the HSA by Grade 10. This plan included diagnostic assessments, 60 hours of instructional resources, and additional practice problems that schools were able to use with students in pull-out programs, in after-school settings, and on home assignments.

In addition, a comprehensive HSA Review Packet was developed and distributed for use with students who needed additional practice opportunities. A one-half credit course entitled Mathematics Modeling: Applications to Algebra was available for students who passed Algebra I but not the HSA.

Algebra/Data Analysis - Class of 2011 Results
The increase in the percentage of students passing the Algebra/ Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 10 can be attributed to several factors including the development of an HSA Intervention Plan for students who passed Algebra I but not the HSA by Grade 9. This plan included diagnostic assessments, 60 hours of instructional resources, and additional practice problems that schools were able to use with students in pull-out programs, in after-school settings, and on home assignments.

In addition, a comprehensive HSA Review Packet was developed and distributed for use with students who needed additional practice opportunities. A one-half credit course entitled Mathematics Modeling: Applications to Algebra was available for students who passed Algebra I but not the HSA. English language learners (ELL) and students with an Individual Education Plan (IEP) continued in Algebraic Functions Adapted, a course developed to directly assist students who continued to need additional support to pass the HSA and to complete the content of Algebra I.

## Algebra/Data Analysis - Class of 2012 Results

The increase in the percentage of students passing the Algebra/ Data Analysis HSA by the end of Grade 9 may be attributed to the continued implementation of the revised Algebra I curriculum and adjusted benchmarks and short-cycle assessments. Benchmarks with a developed HSA Prep Plan for Algebra I provided teachers with diagnostic tools and resource materials to assist students in mastering specific skills of the Core Learning Goals.

Continued attention was paid to the effective implementation of the Algebra I curriculum. Teachers of Algebra I students in Grade 9 were provided with professional development to transition students from the middle school Algebraic Thinking program into Algebra I. English language learners (ELL) and students with an Individual Education Plan (IEP) continued in Algebraic Functions Adapted, a course developed to directly assist students who continued to need additional support to pass the HSA and to complete the content of Algebra I. Special education teachers were provided with professional development to increase content knowledge and instructional practices appropriate for students enrolled in this course.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

Biology

## Biology - Class of 2009 Results

The increase in the percentage of students passing the Biology HSA between Grade 10 and Grade 12 may be attributed to continued intervention efforts at the school level provided to students who passed the biology course but not the HSA. Interventions included utilizing the comprehensive HSA Student Review Guide, initiating pull-out programs that occurred during afterschool settings, and implementing Contemporary Problems in Biology, a semester-long remediation course.

## Biology - Class of 2010 Results

The increase in the percentage of students passing the Biology HSA between Grade 10 and 11 may be attributed to continued implementation of the revised biology curriculum, the recommended textbook, adjusted benchmark and short-cycle assessments, and the comprehensive HSA Student Review Guide. Continued attention was given to the effective implementation of the biology curriculum in all classrooms to ensure the success of all students. Additionally, teachers were provided with professional development opportunities to increase both content knowledge and knowledge of instructional practices and differentiated instructional strategies.

## Biology - Class of 2011 Results

Schools continued to implement the revised biology curriculum, the recommended textbook, adjusted benchmark and shortcycle assessments, and the comprehensive HSA Student Review Guide. Professional development opportunities were afforded to all biology teachers, paraprofessionals, and classroom assistants during the school year and in the summer to increase knowledge of content and instructional practices, effective instructional delivery methods, and differentiated instructional strategies.

English

## English - Class of 2009 Results

The increase in the percentage of students passing the English HSA may be attributed to several factors including the implementation of short-cycle and benchmark assessments. Assessments provided teachers with relevant information about each student's strengths and areas of need as well as direction to modify instruction. In addition, BCPS implemented comprehensive reading acceleration programs to address the needs of students who were reading below grade level. Data indicated a significant increase in the students' overall phonemic awareness and fluency. An additional factor that contributed to the
increase in scores was teacher participation in the Governor's Academy for English.

## English - Class of 2010 Results

The increase in the percentage of students passing the English HSA may be attributed to several factors including the implementation of short-cycle and benchmark assessments. Assessments provided teachers with relevant information about each student's strengths and areas of need as well as direction to modify instruction. In addition, BCPS implemented comprehensive reading acceleration programs to address the needs of students who were reading below grade level. Data indicated a significant increase in the students' overall phonemic awareness and fluency. An additional factor that contributed to the increase in scores was teacher participation in the Governor's Academy for English.

## English - Class of 2011 Results

The increase in the percentage of students passing the English HSA on the first attempt may be attributed to several factors including the implementation of short-cycle and benchmark assessments. Assessments provided teachers with relevant information about each student's strengths and areas of need as well as direction to modify instruction. In addition, BCPS implemented comprehensive reading acceleration programs to address the needs of students who were reading below grade level. Data indicated a significant increase in the students' overall phonemic awareness and fluency. An additional factor that contributed to the increase in scores was teacher participation in the Governor's Academy for English.

Government

## Government - Class of 2009 Results

The increase in the percentage of students who passed the Government HSA may be attributed to continued intervention efforts by the schools through pull-out programs, voluntary afterschool sessions, and home assignments. A one-half credit course entitled Principles of Government was offered in 20082009 to assist students who did not pass the Government HSA. Students who did not pass the Government HSA met the graduation requirement by reaching a combined HSA score of 1602 or by successfully completing Bridge Plan projects.

## Government - Class of 2010 Results

The increase in the percentage of students who passed the Government HSA may be attributed to several factors. Students in Grade 10 who passed the American Government course but not the Government HSA were afforded opportunities to re-take the course and were serviced through pull-out programs, volun-

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

tary after-school sessions, and home assignments. A one-half credit course entitled Principles of Government was offered in 2008-2009 to assist students who did not pass the Government HSA.

## Government - Class of 2011 Results

The increase in the percentage of students who passed the Government HSA may be attributed to several factors. Students in Grade 10 who passed the American Government course but not the Government HSA were afforded opportunities to re-take the course and were serviced through pull-out programs, voluntary after-school sessions, and home assignments. A one-half credit course entitled Principles of Government was offered in 2008-2009 to assist students who did not pass the Government HSA.

## Government - Class of 2012 Results

The percentage of students who passed the Government HSA $(64.7 \%)$ may be attributed to the alignment of the American Government course to the Government HSA, use of shortcycle and benchmark data to inform instruction, and use of the re-teaching manual.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

Algebra/Data Analysis

- Continue to monitor the implementation of the Mathematics Modeling: Applications to Algebra course and provide professional development for teachers implementing the course.
- Continue to adjust and implement Algebra I and benchmark and short-cycle assessments at each high school to ensure effective implementation.
- Continue to implement the middle school program, Algebraic Thinking, in all grades for students who scored basic or were in the lower one-third of the proficiency range on the MSA.
- Continue to provide a bridging program for teachers of Grade 9 Algebra I students to transition students from the concepts learned in Algebraic Thinking Part 2 to the concepts taught in Algebra I.
- Review the existing curricula and instructional strategies in Algebra and Data Analysis and Algebraic Functions Adapted to meet the needs of students receiving special education services and English language learners. Continue to provide professional development opportunities for teachers of these courses to ensure understanding of the curriculum and use of effective instructional strategies.
- Continue to adjust and implement Algebra I short-cycle and benchmark assessments to ensure alignment among the tested, written, and taught curricula.
- Continue to develop review materials for HSA courses to provide intervention strategies for students performing at the basic level.
- Continue to provide curriculum and professional development for teachers of Algebra I students enrolled in Evening School and Saturday School as well as Summer School.
- Continue to offer Preparing for Algebra as a summer school intervention for Grade 9 students who have completed middle school without taking Algebra I and who need to refine their skills in preparation for Algebra I.
- Continue to work with the Offices of Special Education and World Languages and ESOL to identify interventions specifically designed for students receiving special education services and English language learners.

Biology

- Continue to monitor the implementation of the revised biology curriculum, benchmark and short-cycle assessments, and the HSA Student Review Guide.
- Continue to monitor student progress, identify areas of weakness/content misconceptions, and make informed instructional decisions through analysis of benchmark and short-cycle data.
- Continue to train science department chairs in data analysis and program implementation.
- Continue to implement the Contemporary Problems in Biology course for students who have passed the biology course but failed the Biology HSA.
- Continue to improve teacher effectiveness and increase student performance by providing ongoing professional development for biology teachers in content, best instructional practices, classroom management, and instructional technology.
- Continue to collaborate with other curriculum offices to design programs and interventions appropriate for all students.
- Continue to collaborate with the Offices of Special Education and World Languages and ESOL to identify interventions specifically designed for English language learners and students with IEPs.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

- Implement the Biology Re-teaching Guide, a resource that offers strategies for re-teaching concepts of biology for students who need additional resource assistance.
- Continue to implement the Bridge Plan for Academic Validation for students who meet the criteria for eligibility and do not pass the Biology HSA.


## English

- Provide the students currently enrolled in Language! with the core English language arts curriculum in grades 6-9. Reduce the amount of time spent in the Language! program in order to accommodate the additional 45 minutes of the core curriculum.
- Begin implementation of a comprehensive grammar curriculum in grades 6-12, and use feedback to revise the grammar curriculum for full integration the following year.
- Continue to provide teachers and administrators with professional development to support the implementation of identified acceleration curricula.
- Continue to monitor progress of the students in the Language! program through the use of both internal and external assessments.
- Continue to facilitate professional development that ensures the fidelity of implementation of the core curricula.
- Include special educators and special education office resource personnel in professional development opportunities related to specific content areas.
- Review and refine the high school English and reading courses listed in the Course Registration Guide to ensure all are supported by rigorous curricula.
- Continue to adjust and implement English 10 short-cycle and benchmark assessments to ensure alignment among the tested, written, and taught curricula.
- Continue to provide demonstration lessons to English 10 teachers by modeling instruction, coaching, and providing support to ensure effective implementation of the curriculum and reading programs.
- Provide ongoing professional development for teachers implementing the revised one-half credit course Accelerated English, which is designed to address students who did not pass the English HSA in Grade 10.

Government

- Continue to adjust and implement short-cycle and benchmark assessments and provide professional development in data analysis.
- Provide ongoing support to schools offering the Principles of Government course.
- Provide additional support to schools through the services of a special educator assigned to the Office of Social Studies.
- Fully implement the re-teaching manual that provides teachers with recommendations for instructional strategies, resources, and assessments appropriate for students who do not demonstrate mastery.
- Provide further training so that Kurzweil technology is available and used in every high school.
- Continue support of action plans developed by schools.
- Increase professional development opportunities for teachers of American Government, special educators, and teachers of ELL.
- Designate staff from the Office of Social Studies to serve as representatives at Grade 9 team meetings in schools eligible for alternative governance.
- Support rigor within the American Government course through systematic use of writing strategies.
- Prepare students who did not pass the Government HSA and did not pass the American Government course for retesting as they complete their coursework.
- Offer to students who did not pass the Government HSA and passed the American Government course appropriate assistance prior to retesting.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

Performance Indicator 1.13 - All high schools will meet or exceed the national average of a $7.0 \%$ participation rate on the Advanced Placement (AP) examinations. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of high schools with at least a $7.0 \%$ participation rate on the Advanced Placement (AP) examinations

## Results for 2008-2009



In 2008-2009, $70.8 \%$ ( 17 out of 24 ) of high schools had at least a $7.0 \%$ participation rate in AP examinations (chart 1.13.1). The AP participation rate increased 4.1 percentage points from 2007-2008 and increased 16.6 percentage points since 2004-2005.

| Chart 1.13.2 - AP Participation Rate <br> National Average is $7.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

As shown in chart $1.13 .2,14.2 \%(4,376$ out of 30,879$)$ of the total number of enrolled high school students took at least one AP exam in 2008-2009, which was more than double the national average of $7.0 \%$. This rate was an increase of 1.6 percentage points from 2007-2008 and 4.0 percentage points since 2004-2005.



Chart 1.13.3 shows that in 2008-2009, 6.0\% (532 out of 9,336) of students receiving free and reduced price meal services, $42.0 \%(4,358$ out of 10,293$)$ of students enrolled in gifted and talented programs, and $1.0 \%$ (19 out of 2,936 ) of students receiving special education services took at least one AP exam. In 2008-2009, $0.3 \%$ ( 1 out of 384) of ELL took at least one AP exam.

In 2008-2009, 11.0\% (14 out of 131) of the American Indian, $28.0 \%$ ( 445 out of 1,603 ) of the Asian, $6.0 \%$ ( 804 out of 12,375 ) of the African American, $19.0 \%(3,005$ out of 15,673$)$ of the White, and $9.0 \%$ ( 99 out of 1,097 ) of the Hispanic student subgroups took at least one AP exam (chart 1.13.4). There were increases in AP participation rates from the previous year among the Asian, White, and Hispanic student subgroups; there was a decrease in the participation rate for the American Indian subgroup, while the participation rate for the African American student subgroup remained constant. Since 2004-2005, the rate of AP participation among all racial/ethnic student subgroups increased.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

## Explanation of Results

The results suggest that applying data analysis to instruction, differentiating instruction, and implementing vertical teams continued to build both content knowledge and skill sets for students with varying academic needs. High schools increased the number of AP and International Baccalaureate (IB) courses, and some high schools offered AP courses in earlier grades such as in Grade 9. The implementation of rigorous courses increased opportunities for students to participate in AP coursework and to achieve successfully on AP examinations. The implementation of the AVID classes and schoolwide use of strategies prepared students for success in higher level coursework. Further, all students were provided the opportunity to take the PSAT, the results of which were used to identify potential AP candidates. Finally, there was an increase in professional development for AP teachers that resulted in improved instruction, greater student enrollment, and, most importantly, increased student achievement.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Refine identification of AP Potential professional development for administrators, school counselors, and AP coordinators.
- Increase professional development opportunities for core subject middle school teachers that focus on Pre-AP instructional strategies, and increase AP professional development training for core and non-core subjects.
- Increase communication with parents/guardians via Education Channel, Connect Ed, and system newsletters.
- Extend the advisory role of school guidance counselors with a particular focus on PSAT and AP Potential and the benefits of a college education.
- Expand the opportunities for all students to have access to rigorous courses.

Performance Indicator 1.14 - All high schools will have at least $70.0 \%$ of their students who take Advanced Placement (AP) examinations achieve passing scores. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of high schools with at least a $70.0 \%$ AP pass rate (scores of 3 , 4 , or 5 )

Results for 2008-2009


As shown in chart 1.14.1, 37.5\% of the high schools (9 out of 24) had at least a $70.0 \%$ pass rate on AP exams in 2008-2009.


Chart 1.14.2 shows that in 2008-2009, $68.5 \%$ of the 9,002 high school students who took AP exams achieved passing scores.


Chart 1.14 .3 shows that $41.0 \%$ of the 929 students receiving free and reduced price meals and $50.0 \%$ of the 28 students receiving special education services passed the AP exam in 2008-2009, which were higher percentages than the previous year. The pass rate for students enrolled in gifted and talented programs was $68.0 \%(6,152$ out of 8,982$)$ in 2008-2009, which was 3.0 percentage points lower than the previous year. For all years except 2005-2006, the number of students in the LEP subgroup was less than five; therefore, data are not reported in chart 1.14.3.

In 2008-2009, $76.0 \%$ ( 22 out of 29) of the American Indian and $41.0 \%$ ( 567 out of 1,378 ) of the African American student subgroups taking AP exams passed, an increase from the previous year (chart 1.14.4). The 2008-2009 AP pass rates were 72.0\% ( 815 out of 1,135 ) for the Asian, $74.0 \%(4,641$ out of 6,267$)$ for the White, and $62.0 \%$ (113 out of 182) for the

Hispanic student subgroups, a decrease from the previous year and 1.0 percentage points lower than the rates in 2004-2005.

## Explanation of Results

Due to the continued focus on AP participation for all high school students, schools continued to implement new delivery systems such as online courses and distance learning. All AP course syllabi must now pass through the AP audit process initiated by the College Board ensuring the consistent implementation of AP courses in all schools.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Implement Pre-AP strategies as defined in the College Board Partnership Academic Support Plan.
- Provide professional development for AP teachers including Pre-AP topics for vertical teams.
- Provide professional development for new AP teachers including support network programs to enhance the implementation of the Advanced Placement curricula and tests.
- Provide professional development on scoring free response answers on AP tests and analyzing students' responses to improve student performance.
- Provide AP sessions at designated schools to prepare students for the AP exams.
- Continue to collaborate with local institutions of higher education to provide graduate-level professional development for teachers of all AP courses.


Performance Indicator 1.15 - All students who participate in the International Baccalaureate (IB) program will complete the IB diploma requirements. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of IB students who participate and complete the IB diploma requirements

Results for 2008-2009


The percentage of IB students who earned an IB diploma in 2008-2009 was $10.5 \%$ (2 out of 19). This was a decrease of 21.3 percentage points from the 2007-2008 previous year's rate of $31.8 \%$ ( 14 out of 44 ). Since 2004-2005, the IB diploma rate has decreased by 45.5 percentage points (chart 1.15.1).

## Explanation of Results

To earn an IB diploma, students must take 6 IB course exams, earn a total of 24 out of 42 possible points on the 6 exams (each exam is scored on a seven-point scale), complete the Creativity Activity Service (CAS) requirement of 150 service learning hours, and not score below 4 points on more than 1 of the higher level course exams: English, biology, history, art, or music. In 2008-2009, there were 17 candidates who did not earn an IB diploma; of those, 2 missed earning the diploma by 1 point and 4 missed by 2 points. Sixteen students not earning the IB diploma earned certificates (passed the subject exams) in 1 to 4 individual subject areas. Additionally, during the spring testing in 2009, 1 of the IB schools was closed due to a swine flu outbreak. Conflicting information regarding the rescheduling of the assessments may have raised anxiety among students and possibly contributed to lower than expected test results.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to implement activities for grades 9 and 10 Pre-IB students and their parents/guardians that provide exposure to rigorous coursework and 24/7 online information resources in order to increase participation in the diploma program.
- Continue to implement activities for grades 11 and 12 IB diploma students and parents/guardians to improve retention in the IB diploma program.
- Continue to analyze the effectiveness of efforts to recruit and retain students in the IB diploma program.
- Continue efforts to identify and analyze the root causes for the decrease in IB diploma attainment rate.
- Continue the development of collaborative partnerships with model IB programs in Maryland for professional development and program enhancement.
- Continue to identify and provide professional development resources, including the International Baccalaureate Organization (IBO), and research-based instructional strategies to improve student achievement.
- Ensure ongoing professional development for IB teachers.
- Continue to expand IB program recruitment and program enrollment efforts for IB diploma candidates.
- Investigate the development of Middle Years IB programs that support the high school IB programs.
- Encourage IB diploma candidates to retake examinations not passed.


D erformance Indicator 1.16 - Seventy-five percent of students participating in the International Baccalaureate (IB) program will meet or exceed the passing score for all IB examinations. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of IB students with passing scores of four through seven points on IB examinations

## Results for 2008-2009



Chart 1.16.1 shows that $49.7 \%$ of the IB students passed the IB exam in the 2008-2009 school year as compared with $44.1 \%$ in 2007-2008. The 2008-2009 results were 5.6 percentage points higher than the previous year and 13.3 percentage points lower than the rate in 2004-2005.

## Explanation of Results

In 2008-2009, 58 IB students in grades 11 and 12 completed 196 IB subject exams. Each exam was comprised of multiple performance requirements including extensive written responses (individual research papers), oral examinations, written commentary, and brief constructed responses. The IB exams were scored on a seven-point scale and a score of four is considered passing.

In 2008-2009, students received passing scores (4 points or higher) on 96 of the 193 IB exams taken. Of the 97 exams not passed, students missed passing 38 exams by 1 point.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue efforts to identify and analyze the root causes for changes in IB exam pass rates.
- Continue the development of collaborative partnerships with model IB programs in Maryland for professional development and program enhancement.
- Continue to identify and provide professional development resources, including the International Baccalaureate Organization (IBO), and research-based instructional strategies to improve student achievement.
- Identify strategies to expand enrollment of qualified candidates.
- Develop strategies to expand IB exam participation and pass rates and lower the program attrition rates.
- Maintain rigor in all program subject areas, grades 9-12.

Performance Indicator 1.17 - All high schools will meet or exceed the national average for participation in the SAT or the ACT. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of high schools with SAT or ACT participation rates that meet or exceed the national average

Results for 2008-2009


Chart 1.17.1 shows that the percentage of the 24 high schools whose SAT participation rate exceeded the national average was $62.5 \%$ in 2008-2009. Since 2004-2005, the percentage has increased by 6.0 percentage points from 13 out of 23 high schools in 2004-2005 to 15 out of 24 high schools in 2008-2009.

Chart 1.17.2-ACT Participation Rate Percentage of Schools Exceeding National Average National Participation Rate for 2009 is $42.0 \%$


Chart 1.17.2 shows that no high schools exceeded the national ACT participation rate of $42.0 \%$ in 2008-2009.


As shown in chart 1.17.3, 49.3\% of 7,604 students took the SAT in 2008-2009, which exceeded the national SAT participation rate of $46.0 \%$ by 3.3 percentage points.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



Chart 1.17.4 shows that the SAT participation rate in 2008-2009 was $31.0 \%$ ( 649 out of 2,108 ) for students receiving free and reduced price meal services, $78.0 \%(2,538$ out of 3,272$)$ for students enrolled in gifted and talented programs, 32.0\% (12 out of 38) for ELL, and $11.0 \%$ ( 75 out of 667 ) for students receiving special education services, a decrease from the previous year.

Chart 1.17.5 indicates that among the racial/ethnic subgroups exceeding the national SAT participation rate of $46.0 \%, 75.0 \%$ (281 out of 374 ) were Asian, $48.0 \%(1,348$ out of 2,832$)$ were African American, and 49.0\% (2,018 out of 4,156) were White. The 2008-2009 SAT participation rate was $36.0 \%$ ( 13 out of 36 ) for the American Indian and $37.0 \%$ ( 77 out of 206) for the Hispanic student subgroups.


Chart 1.17.6 shows that since 2004-2005 the ACT participation rate has increased from $6.9 \%$ to $7.9 \%$. The participation rate for 2008-2009 was 0.2 percentage points higher than in 2007-2008 and was the highest since 2004-2005.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



Chart 1.17.7 indicates that in 2008-2009, the ACT participation rate for students receiving free and reduced price meal services was $5.0 \%$ ( 106 out of 2,108 ), $13.0 \% ~(427$ out of 3,272 ) for students enrolled in gifted and talented programs, 1.0\% ( 9 out of 667) for students receiving special education services, and $0.0 \%$ (0 out of 38) for ELL.

Chart 1.17 .8 shows that in 2008-2009, the ACT participation rate for all race/ethnicity student groups, with the exception of the American Indian student subgroup, was the same as or higher than the participation rate in 2007-2008.

## Explanation of Results

High schools continued to encourage students to participate in an SAT administration through increased communication with students and parents/guardians about the value of taking the SAT. Schools provided opportunities for additional support to interested students with the availability of the College Board SAT online course and PSAT/SAT preparation courses. Because BCPS continued to fund the PSAT testing for all diploma-
bound grades 9 and 10 students, more students became familiar with the College Board testing format and, consequently, participated in an SAT administration.

Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to fund PSAT testing for all diploma-bound grades 9 and 10 students so that students are familiar with the College Board testing format.
- Send a PSAT informational letter from the superintendent to parents/guardians of grades 9 and 10 students.
- Continue to assist students and parents/guardians with the interpretation of PSAT test results.
- Communicate to students and parents/guardians the benefits of and differences between the SAT and ACT.
- Increase counseling and teacher advisement regarding SAT course prerequisites.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

- Continue to pursue rigor in daily instruction using suggested AVID and AP methodologies and college preparatory skills.
- Continue to provide professional development regarding differentiated instruction.
- Use PSAT and AP Potential data to encourage students to enroll in honors, gifted and talented, and advanced placement courses.

Performance Indicator 1.18 - All high schools will meet or exceed the national average for critical reading, mathematics, and writing scores on the SAT or the ACT. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of high schools whose verbal and mathematics SAT or composite ACT scores meet or exceed national average

Results for 2008-2009


In 2008-2009, 41.7\% (10 out of 24) high schools exceeded the national combined average on the SAT, the same as in 20072008 (chart 1.18.1).


Chart 1.18.2 shows that $37.5 \%$ ( 9 out of 24 ) high schools exceeded the national composite ACT score in 2008-2009, compared with $41.7 \%$ (10 out of 24) in 2007-2008.


As shown in chart 1.18.3, the mean score for the 3,749 students who were tested in 2008-2009 was 998, an increase of 11 points from 2007-2008.



Chart 1.18.4 shows that combined SAT average scores for each student subgroup was higher in 2008-2009 than in 2007-2008. The LEP student subgroup had largest increase of 82.0 points on the combined SAT average scores from 2007-2008 to 20082009.

Chart 1.18.5 shows that all racial/ethnic student groups, except for the American Indian student subgroup, had higher combined average SAT scores in 2008-2009 than in 2007-2008. Asian and Hispanic student subgroups had higher combined average scores in 2008-2009 than in 2004-2005.


Chart 1.18.6 shows that in 2008-2009 the ACT composite average scores were 21.0, an increase of 0.7 points from 2007-2008 and 1.0 point higher than in 2004-2005.


Chart 1.18 .7 shows that in 2008-2009, the average ACT composite score for students receiving free and reduced price meal services was 17.0; the average score for students enrolled in gifted and talented programs was 23.0; the average score for students receiving special education services was 14.0. In 20082009, the number of ELL was zero and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.18.7.

Chart 1.18.8 shows that in 2008-2009, Asian, African American, White, and Hispanic student subgroups had the same or higher ACT composite average scores than in 2007-2008. In 20082009, the number of students in the American Indian subgroup was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.18.8.

## Explanation of Results

The SAT data for 2008-2009 combined composite score for reading and mathematics reflected an increase as compared to the SAT data for 2007-2008 due to the district's efforts in refining curriculum with rigorous teaching strategies and increasing the infusion of technology into curriculum. Professional development and training were provided on rigorous instruction, differentiated instruction and data to inform instruction as well as on analyzing data and aligning instruction to students' learning styles. Continuous counseling advisement supported students in decision making when registering for courses that were rigorous such as honors, gifted and talented, and AP for SAT and ACT readiness.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to fund PSAT testing for all diploma-bound grades 9 and 10 students so that students are familiar with the College Board testing format.
- Use PSAT and AP Potential data to encourage students to take honors, gifted and talented, and advanced placement courses.
- Continue to increase professional development opportunities on analyzing data to inform instruction.
- Utilize English and mathematics PSAT/SOAS - (Summary of Answers and Skills) reports to align daily instruction and address deficit skills.
- Increase professional development opportunities for teachers, guidance counselors, department chairs, administrators, AP coordinators, and SAT coordinators.
- Inform students and parents/guardians about the relationship between SAT and ACT scores and college admission requirements.
- Advise students and parents/guardians about the advantages of taking the SAT and ACT.
- Communicate to students and parents/guardians the importance of test preparation.
- Advise students to take the PSAT/SAT preparation course and to utilize the College Board SAT online course.
- Continue to pursue rigor in daily instruction using suggested AVID and AP methodologies and college preparatory skills.
- Continue to provide professional development regarding differentiated instruction.

Performance Indicator 1.19 - All high schools whose students take the placement test will meet or exceed scores on the Accuplacer that enable students to enroll in college-level courses at two-year colleges. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of students whose Accuplacer scores enable them to enroll in two-year colleges

Results for 2008-2009


Chart 1.19.1 shows that $84.5 \%$ ( 457 out of 541 ) of high school students who took the Accuplacer during 2008-2009 were college ready or on track for college-level work in English. The rate in 2008-2009 was 1.2 percentage points lower than the rate in 2007-2008 but higher than the rates from 2004-2005 through 2006-2007.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



In 2008-2009, $42.0 \%$ (14 out of 33 ) of students receiving special education services who took the Accuplacer were college ready or on track for college-level work in English, an increase of 11.0 percentage points from 2007-2008. In 2008-2009, 77.0\% (146 out of 189) of students eligible for free and reduced price meal services and $92.0 \%$ ( 170 out of 184) of students enrolled in gifted and talented programs who took the Accuplacer were college ready or on track for college-level work in English, a decrease from 2007-2008 (chart 1.19.2). In 2008-2009, the number of ELL was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.19.2.

Among the racial/ethnic student subgroups, $87.0 \%$ (230 out of 265) of White and $75.0 \%$ ( 9 out of 12) of Hispanic students who took the Accuplacer in 2008-2009 were college ready or on track for college-level work in English, an increase from 20072008; $69.0 \%$ (18 out of 26 ) of Asian and $84.0 \%$ (199 out of 237) of African American students who took the Accuplacer in 20082009 were college ready or on track for college-level work in English, a decrease from 2007-2008 (chart 1.19.3). In 20082009, the number of students in the American Indian subgroup was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.19.3.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



Chart 1.19.4 shows that 49.7\% (259 out of 521) of high school students who took the Accuplacer during 2008-2009 were college ready or on track for college-level work in reading. The 2008-2009 rate was 3.8 percentage points higher than in 20072008 but lower than 2004-2005 through 2006-2007.


In 2008-2009, 41.0\% (77 out of 187) of students receiving free and reduced price meal services who took the Accuplacer were college ready or on track for college-level work in reading, an increase from 2007-2008. Chart 1.19 .5 shows that $67.0 \%$ (120 out of 179) of students enrolled in gifted and talented programs and $10.0 \%$ ( 3 out of 31 ) of students receiving special education services who took the Accuplacer in 2008-2009 were college ready or on track for college-level work in reading, a decrease from the previous year. In 2008-2009, the number of ELL was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.19.5.



Among the racial/ethnic student subgroups, $51.0 \%$ (118 out of 232) of African American and $51.0 \%$ ( 130 out of 255) of White students who took the Accuplacer in 2008-2009 were college ready or on track for college-level work in reading, an increase from 2007-2008; 29.0\% (6 out of 21) of Asian and 33.0\% (4 out of 12) of Hispanic students who took the Accuplacer in 20082009 were college ready or on track for college-level work in reading, a decrease from 2007-2008 (chart 1.19.6). In 2008-2009, the number of students in the American Indian subgroup was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.19.6.


Chart 1.19.7 shows that 16.7\% (73 out of 437) of high school students who took the Accuplacer during 2008-2009 were college ready or on track for college-level work in mathematics, an increase of 3.6 percentage points from the previous year and 11.9 percentage points less than the rate in 2004-2005.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



In 2008-2009, 14.0\% (23 out of 167) of students receiving free and reduced price meal services, $28.0 \%$ ( 41 out of 144) of students enrolled in gifted and talented programs, and 11.0\% (3 out of 27) of students receiving special education services who took the Accuplacer were college ready or on track for college-level work in mathematics, an increase from 2007-2008 (chart 1.19.8). In 2008-2009, the number of ELL was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.19.8.

Chart 1.19 .9 shows that among the racial/ethnic student subgroups, $25.0 \%$ ( 3 out of 12) of Asian, $16.0 \%$ ( 33 out of 206) of African American, 17.0\% (36 out of 208) of White, and 10.0\% (1 out of 10) of Hispanic students who took the Accuplacer in 2008-2009 were college ready or on track for college-level work in mathematics, an increase from 2007-2008. In 2008-2009, the number of students in the American Indian subgroup was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 1.19.9.

## Explanation of Results

Guidance counselors and teachers identified students, who generally were not considering entry to college, for Accuplacer in order to help them realize their potential for college and determine what they need to do to become college ready.

In recent years, the Accuplacer has been given to students in grades 10 and 11 to determine their status for college readiness. It has been determined that administration in Grade 11 provides optimum results in determining student readiness; this change will be reflected in future administrations of the assessment.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

Students not performing at the on-track or college-ready level were counseled and encouraged to take additional English, reading, or mathematics courses beyond the graduation requirements. In particular, students were counseled to enroll in College Readiness: English, reading, or mathematics, three Grade 12 courses developed in conjunction with the Community College of Baltimore County (CCBC) to provide the bridge from high school to credit-bearing courses at the college level.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to collaborate with CCBC to assist students in pursuing post-secondary education.
- Increase early identification of potential college ready students on the middle school level.
- Have students take the Accuplacer in Grade 11 rather than in Grade 10.
- Continue to provide Accuplacer to promote career/college counseling and parallel enrollment.
- Select students who are prepared for the Accuplacer for the College Readiness program.
- Continue to coordinate with College Board to provide professional development opportunities for English and mathematics department chairs in reading, grammar/writing, and mathematics.
- Continue to advise students to enroll in rigorous courses to prepare for college.
- Continue to develop content in the College Readiness courses.
- Continue to update curriculum with rigorous instructional methodologies and strategies.
- Implement continuous districtwide professional development on rigorous instruction for principals, assistant principals, teachers, department chairs, and the Division of Curriculum and Instruction staff.

Performance Indicator 1.20 - All high school students identified as Career and Technology Education concentrators will meet or exceed state standards for both cumulative and technical grade point averages (GPA). (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of students identified as Career and Technology Education concentrators whose cumulative and technical GPAs meet or exceed state standards

Results for 2008-2009


In 2008-2009, $62.9 \%(1,029$ out of 1,635$)$ of career and technology education students earned an overall GPA of at least 2.0, a decrease of 9.6 percentage points from the previous year and an increase of 2.0 percentage points from 2004-2005 (chart 1.20.1).


The percentage of career and technology education students attaining an overall GPA of at least 2.0 in 2008-2009 was $48.0 \%$ ( 258 out of 534 for students receiving free and reduced price meal services and $34.0 \%$ ( 74 out of 218) for students receiving special education services, a decrease from the prior year (chart 1.20.2). In 2008-2009, there were no ELL enrolled in career and technology education.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

Chart 1.20.3 shows that among the students in career and technology education, $73.0 \%$ (8 out of 11) of the American Indian, $83.0 \%$ (20 out of 24) of the Asian, $54.0 \%$ ( 320 out of 595) of the African American, $68.0 \%$ ( 661 out of 971 ) of the White, and $59.0 \%$ ( 20 out of 34) of the Hispanic subgroups earned a GPA of at least 2.0 in 2008-2009. The percentages for all student groups decreased in 2008-2009 from the previous year with the exception of the American Indian subgroup, which did not have any students enrolled in career and technology education in 2007-2008.

Chart 1.20.5 indicates that since 2004-2005, the percentage of student groups attaining an overall GPA of at least 2.0 in career and technology education has increased. However, in 2008-2009 the percentage of these same student groups attaining an overall GPA of at least 2.0 in career and technology education decreased as compared to the previous year. In 2008-2009, there were no students in the LEP subgroup identified as career and technology education concentrators.


In 2008-2009, $77.2 \%(1,301$ out 1,686$)$ of career and technology education students earned a technical GPA of at least 2.0, a decrease of 6.9 percentage points from the previous year (chart 1.20.4).
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



In 2008-2009, $91.0 \%$ (10 out of 11) of the career and technology education students in the American Indian subgroup achieved at least a 2.0 GPA , the highest rate for this student subgroup since 2004-2005. Chart 1.20.6 shows that lower percentages of career and technology education students in the Asian, African American, and White subgroups achieved at least a 2.0 GPA in 2008-2009 than in the previous year.

## Explanation of Results

Career and Technology Education (CTE) completer programs often begin in grade 10 or 11 . Intervention measures were implemented to improve the academic and technical performance of career and technology education students. Baltimore County Public Schools was proactive placing students with diverse and special needs into CTE programs. Students received differentiated instruction to achieve higher overall and technical GPA. CTE teachers were constantly improving the delivery, rigor, and relevance of the content. Curriculum activities and professional development workshops were conducted to integrate mathematics, writing, reading, and language arts into CTE course content.


## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to disaggregate and analyze annual outcome achievement data, to assist schools to identify and meet the needs of students, and to continue to align CTE programs with established goals and standards to support the achievement of all students.
- Continue to revise CTE program proposals for career completer programs annually to meet MSDE requirements, to upgrade low performing CTE programs, and to align programs with career clusters.
- Continue to infuse business and industry technical skill standards into curriculum content, competency profile development, and instructional activities for all CTE programs.
- Continue to implement strategies to support student success on industry certification exams and AP exams related to CTE programs.
- Continue to provide and upgrade equipment, technology, and instructional resources for CTE programs using local and federal funds.
- Continue to provide technical support to maintain or expand work-based learning opportunities, particularly for schools restructuring to smaller learning communities and magnet programs.
- Continue to implement components of CTE courses that involve students in online collaboration and resource sharing.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1

P
erformance Indicator 1.21 - All schools will achieve an attendance rate of at least $94.0 \%$. (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools achieving at least a $94.0 \%$ attendance rate

## Results for 2008-2009



* Starting with the school year 2004-2005, data are based on March 15 MSDE Attendance Rate

Since 2004-2005, the percentage of schools that achieved the state standard attendance rate of at least $94.0 \%$ has increased by 3.0 percentage points (chart 1.21.1). In 2008-2009, $81.8 \%$ of the 170 schools achieved an attendance rate of at least $94.0 \%$ compared with $81.0 \%$ of 168 schools in 2007-2008.


* Starting with the school year 2004-2005, data are based on March 15 MSDE Attendance Rate As shown in chart $1.21 .2,97.2 \%$ of 107 elementary schools achieved an attendance rate of at least $94.0 \%$ in 2008-2009, a decrease of 0.9 percentage points from the previous year.

* Starting with the school year 2004-2005, data are based on March 15 MSDE Attendance Rate

In 2008-2009, $86.2 \%$ of 29 middle schools achieved an attendance rate of at least $94.0 \%$ compared with $75.9 \%$ in 2007-2008, an increase of 10.3 percentage points (chart 1.21.3).


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 1



* Starting with the school year 2004-2005, data are based on March 15 MSDE Attendance Rate

In 2008-2009, the percentage of 26 high schools that met or exceeded the state standard of $94.0 \%$ was $34.6 \%$, as compared to $38.5 \%$ in 2007-2008 (chart 1.21.4).

## Explanation of Results

Programs and services that supported student attendance were Attendance Committees, Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS), Student Support Services Teams, Achievement via Individual Determination (AVID), CollegeEd, Alternative Education programs, and Project Attend. The decline in the rate of attendance at the high school level was the result of efforts to prevent the dropout of students with poor attendance.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Promote best practices as outlined in the Attendance Manual.
- Continue to work with the state legislature to include Baltimore County Public Schools in the pilot Truancy Court Program.
- Increase the use of Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) for chronic truancy.
- Increase the availability of special programs within the schools to address attendance and graduation rates.
- Increase access to alternative programs.
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## BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 2

By 2012, all English language leamers will become proficient in
E nglish and reach high academic standards in English/ reading/ writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.


## By 2012, all English language learners will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards in English/reading/ writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.

- In 2008-2009, 76.5\% of English language learners (ELL) attained English proficiency on the Language Assessment Scales Links (LAS-Links) assessment.
- The percentage of diploma-bound English language learners (ELL) achieving proficiency on the reading MSA was $57.7 \%$ in 2008-2009, a decrease of 0.6 percentage points from the previous year and an increase of 9.9 percentage points since 20042005.
- The percentage of diploma-bound ELL attaining proficiency on the mathematics MSA increased 1.7 percentage points in 2008-2009 to $66.3 \%$ from the previous year and increased by 15.3 percentage points since 2004-2005.
- In 2008-2009, 69.9\% of diploma-bound ELL scored proficient or advanced on the English exam, an increase of 50.9 percentage points from the previous year for the same cohort of test takers.
- In 2008-2009, 82.0\% of diploma-bound ELL scored proficient or advanced on the Algebra/Data Analysis assessment, an increase of 0.2 percentage points from the previous year for the same cohort of test takers.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 2

Performance Indicator 2.1 - All English language learners receiving English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) services will attain English proficiency by the end of their fourth school year. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of English language learners who achieve proficiency on the Language Assessment Scales Links (LAS-Links) assessment by the end of their fourth school year

Results for 2008-2009


Note: In 2008-2009, the Maryland State Department of Education changed the exit criteria and proficiency requirements for English language learners (ELL). To meet the exit criteria, ELL must now achieve an overall score of 5 on the LAS-Links assessment and scores of no less than 4 in any of the four subsets: speaking, listening, reading, and writing. In addition, the subgroup now includes all ELL, even those who do not receive ESOL services. Therefore, prior years' results have been excluded from the graph.

Chart 2.1.1 shows that 76.5\% (410 out 536) of ELL achieved proficiency on the LAS-Links assessment in 2008-2009.


Note: In 2008-2009, the Maryland State Department of Education changed the exit criteria and proficiency requirements for English language learners (ELL). To meet the exit criteria, ELL must now achieve an overall score of 5 on the LAS-Links assessment and scores of no less than 4 in any of the four subsets: speaking, listening, reading, and writing. In addition, the subgroup now includes all ELL, even those who do not receive ESOL services. Therefore, prior years' results have been excluded from the graph.

In 2008-2009, $72.9 \%$ ( 212 out of 291) of students receiving free and reduced price meal services, $87.5 \%$ (21 out of 24 ) of students enrolled in gifted and talented programs, $76.1 \%$ ( 402 out 528) of students with limited English proficiency, and 33.3\% (7 out of 21) of students receiving special education services achieved proficiency on the LAS-Links assessment (chart 2.1.2).



Note: In 2008-2009, the Maryland State Department of Education changed the exit criteria and proficiency requirements for English language learners (ELL). To meet the exit criteria, ELL must now achieve an overall score of 5 on the LAS-Links assessment and scores of no less than 4 in any of the four subsets: speaking, listening, reading, and writing. In addition, the subgroup now includes all ELL, even those who do not receive ESOL services. Therefore, prior years' results have been excluded from the graph.

Chart 2.1.3 indicates that in 2008-2009, 84.0\% (184 out of 219) of the Asian, $76.2 \%$ (61 out of 80 ) of the African American, $87.3 \%$ (48 out of 55 ) of the White, and $65.2 \%$ (116 out of 178 ) of the Hispanic student subgroups achieved proficiency on the LAS-Links assessment. The number of students in the American Indian subgroup was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 2.1.3.

## Explanation of Results

As the Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (AMAO) for proficiency attainment set by Maryland State Department of Education was raised substantially for 2008-2009, no comparison with previous years' achievement is available.
Increasingly, secondary ELL entered the program lacking literacy skills and faced greater challenges in attaining proficiency in a second language.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Provide additional supports including ESOL teachers coteaching in content classes (language arts, government, and mathematics).
- Implement an ESOL mathematics course.



## PERFORMANCE GOAL 2

Performance Indicator 2.2 - All diploma-bound English language learners will meet or exceed Maryland School Assessment (MSA) standards. (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of English language learners (ELL) receiving English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) services that meet or exceed state standards for reading and mathematics on the MSA

Results for 2008-2009


In 2008-2009, $57.7 \%$ (454 out of 787) of English language learners (ELL) scored proficient or advanced on the reading MSA, an increase of 9.9 percentage points from 2004-2005 (chart 2.2.1).


Compared to 2004-2005, the percentage of ELL who scored proficient or advanced on the reading MSA has increased for all racial/ethnic groups over the last five years (chart 2.2.2). The percentage increased by 17.0 percentage points from $50.0 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $67.0 \%$ in 2008-2009 for students in the Asian subgroup. For students in the African American subgroup, the percentage increased by 16.0 percentage points from $44.0 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $60.0 \%$ in 2008-2009. For students in the White subgroup, the percentage of ELL scoring proficient or advanced on the reading MSA increased by 11.0 percentage points from $55.0 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $66.0 \%$ in 2008-2009. For students in the Hispanic subgroup, the increase was 6.0 percentage points from $43.0 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $49.0 \%$ in 2008-2009. The number of students in the American Indian subgroup was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 2.2.2.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 2



The percentage of ELL who scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics MSA in 2008-2009 was $66.3 \%$ ( 535 out of 807 ), an increase of 15.3 percentage points since 2004-2005 (chart 2.2.3).

Compared to 2004-2005, the percentage of ELL who scored proficient or advanced on the mathematics MSA has increased for all racial/ethnic groups over the last five years (chart 2.2.4). The percentage increased by 18.0 percentage points from $61.0 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $79.0 \%$ in 2008-2009 for students in the Asian subgroup. For students in the African American subgroup, the percentage increased by 22.0 percentage points from $38.0 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $60.0 \%$ in 2008-2009. For students in the White subgroup, the percentage of ELL scoring proficient or advanced on the mathematics MSA increased by 6.0 percentage points from $67.0 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $73.0 \%$ in 2008-2009.

For students in the Hispanic subgroup, the increase was 22.0 percentage points from $36.0 \%$ in 2004-2005 to $58.0 \%$ in 2008-2009. The number of students in the American Indian subgroup was less than five and, therefore, not reported in chart 2.2.4.


Note: Data from 2005-2006 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.

The percentage of ELL scoring proficient or advanced on the English MSA was $69.9 \%$ ( 58 out of 83 ), an increase of 50.9 percentage points over the previous year (chart 2.2.5).
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 2



Note: Data from 2005-2006 through 2006-2007 represent first-time test takers and were changed
to cohort data in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009; and therefore, there is a break in the trend line.
The percentage of ELL scoring proficient or advanced on the Algebra/Data Analysis MSA in 2008-2009 was $82.0 \%$ ( 73 out of 89 ), an increase of 0.2 percentage points from the previous year (chart 2.2.6).

## Explanation of Results

The continued improvement of ELL performance on elementary and middle school MSA was attributed to the growing number of students entering the program at PreK and kindergarten levels simultaneously with their English speaking peers.

The implementation of the curricula PreK through Grade 8 closely aligned with the State Curriculum (SC) and positively impacted student performance. Students entering in the higher grades, especially the growing number with significantly interrupted formal education, required more time to close learning gaps.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to ensure the proper placement of ELL in mainstream and ESOL classes in order to maximize rigorous instruction at appropriate cognitive levels.
- Continue to provide high quality, research-based professional development focused on cross-cultural sensitivity, second language acquisition, and strategies to differentiate instruction for ELL who are at varying levels of English proficiency.



## BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 3

By 2006-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.


By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

- In 2008-2009, 96.4\% of all teachers in BCPS were highly qualified. This represented an increase of 9.4 percentage points since 2004-2005.
- In 2008-2009, $96.0 \%$ of BCPS paraprofessionals were highly qualified. This represented an increase of 15.5 percentage points since 2004-2005.
- In 2008-2009, 98.9\% of middle school mathematics teachers met the requirement for highly qualified, representing an increase of 1.5 percentage points from 2007-2008 and an increase of 19.5 percentage points since 2004-2005.
- All Title I teachers hired in 2008-2009 met the requirements for highly qualified.
- One hundred percent of parents/guardians of students in Title I schools were notified of their children's teachers' qualifications during the 2008-2009 school year.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 3

Performance Indicator 3.1 - All teachers and paraprofessionals will meet the requirements for highly qualified, as defined by the No Child Left Behind and the Bridge to Excellence in Public Schools Education acts. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of teachers and paraprofessionals who meet the highly qualified standard

## Results for 2008-2009



Since 2004-2005, the percentage of highly qualified teachers has increased by 9.4 percentage points. As shown in chart 3.1.1 $96.4 \%$ of all 7,095 teachers were highly qualified in 2008-2009, demonstrating a 0.8 percentage point increase from 2007-2008.


Since 2004-2005, the percentage of highly qualified paraprofessionals has increased by 15.5 percentage points (chart 3.1.2). In 2008-2009, $96.0 \%$ of 1,009 paraprofessionals were highly qualified, which was an increase of 1.4 percentage points from 2007-2008.

## Explanation of Results

The system implemented a number of recruitment strategies focused on increasing the number of highly qualified teachers, especially in mathematics, science, Spanish, and special education. In addition, school visits by personnel officers, collaboration among system offices to provide information regarding college courses, online courses and college partnerships, and cohort programs for teachers and paraprofessionals contributed to the increase in the percentage of highly qualified teachers and paraprofessionals.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue the efforts to recruit highly qualified teachers in core subject areas.
- Continue to provide professional development opportunities for teachers to meet requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB).
- Continue to provide assistance to paraprofessionals in nonTitle I schools who need to meet the requirements of the Blueprint for Progress.
- Continue to utilize the recruitment and teacher intern specialist to hire highly qualified teachers and to place teacher interns.

Performance Indictor 3.2 - All teachers and paraprofessionals will participate in high quality differentiated professional development, as defined by No Child Left Behind. (State standard)

## What is measured?

The number of teachers and paraprofessionals who receive high quality professional development, as required by No Child Left Behind and defined by MSDE

## Results for 2008-2009

Baltimore County Public Schools' teachers and paraprofessionals received high quality professional development during the 2008-2009 school year. Specific emphasis was placed on four strategic initiatives: PreK-12 Literacy, PreK-12 Algebraic Thinking, Advancement via Individual Determination (AVID), and Rigorous Instruction. The PreK-12 Literacy professional development initiative helped to increase reading proficiency for the All Students subgroup at the middle school level by 7.3 percentage points. The PreK-12 Algebraic Thinking professional development initiative helped to increase mathematics proficiency

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 3

for the All Students subgroup at the middle school level by 7.6 percentage points. During 2008-2009, AVID students' annual attendance rate was $95.0 \%$, and AVID students' annual grade point average increased to 2.5 . In addition, all AVID graduating seniors passed the HSA; and $95.0 \%$ of the AVID graduating seniors received college acceptance letters. Further, systemwide professional development initiatives in the area of rigorous instruction increased.

## Explanation of Results

High quality professional development is defined as sustained, content focused, and research based. BCPS professional development initiatives included initial workshops, site-based followup, and specialized coaches to support the delivery of instruction. Participation in high quality professional development initiatives such as PreK-12 Literacy, PreK-12 Algebraic Thinking, AVID, and Rigorous Instruction improved teacher instructional practice and led to gains in student performance.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Provide intensive professional development and resources to reading specialists and teachers that focus on rigorous comprehension strategy instruction.
- Continue to provide collaborative professional development between general and special educators to ensure the success of students in inclusive and self-contained settings.
- Intensify professional development for special education and general education teachers in best practices for coteaching models and differentiated instruction.
- Provide professional development to support differentiation of instruction with rigorous and engaging instruction.
- Increase professional development to expand a systemic, seamless, cross-curricular, rigorous approach to daily teaching and learning.
- Continue to provide ongoing professional development support for the SpringBoard framework within the Grade 8 curriculum.
- Provide initial and ongoing professional development support for the language curriculum.
- Provide ongoing professional development in the implementation of a rigorous composition program that emphasizes all phases of the writing process.
- Continue to monitor the implementation of the Algebraic Thinking program in middle schools and the mathematics program in elementary schools.

Performance Indicator 3.3 - All mathematics teachers in middle schools will demonstrate content mastery through comprehensive testing or will possess a Maryland State Department of Education teaching certificate with an endorsement in secondary mathematics. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of middle school mathematics teachers who meet the requirement for highly qualified

Results for 2008-2009


Chart 3.3.1 shows that $98.9 \%$ (266 out of 269) of middle school mathematics teachers met the requirement for highly qualified in 2008-2009, which represented an increase of 1.5 percentage points from 2007-2008. The percentage of highly qualified middle school mathematics teachers has steadily increased since 2004-2005.

## Explanation of Results

Several factors contributed to the increase in the number of highly qualified middle school mathematics teachers. These factors included the continued implementation of a number of system initiatives that focused on the hiring of highly qualified middle school mathematics teachers as well as the continuation of programs that provided support for teachers seeking highly qualified status. These initiatives included qualification reviews for teachers attaining highly qualified status through the Advanced Professional Certification process, the availability of an eight-hour review session and an online review course for the middle school Praxis test, and reimbursement of Praxis test fees for passing scores on the middle school Praxis or Praxis II test. The number of middle school teachers with highly qualified status in mathematics continued to increase.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 3

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue all current programs for helping teachers attain highly qualified status in middle school.
- Identify middle school teachers not meeting highly qualified status for 2008-2009 and provide individual counsel towards attaining highly qualified status.

Performance Indicator 3.4 - All new teachers in Title I schools will meet the standard of highly qualified when hired. (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of new Title I teachers hired who are highly qualified, as required by NCLB

Results for 2008-2009


In 2008-2009, 100\% of the 147 new Title I teachers hired met the requirements for highly qualified, which met the state standard (chart 3.4.1).

## Explanation of Results

Baltimore County Public Schools continued to make significant progress in hiring highly qualified teachers for Title I schools. The school system required that a highly qualified core subject teacher replacement be found before a teacher was approved for transfer from a Title I school. In addition, BCPS offered signing bonuses and relocation stipends to teachers in critical shortage areas who selected a Title I or BCPS-identified priority school. The challenge continued to be recruiting and retaining highly qualified teachers in critical shortage areas for all schools.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to offer signing bonuses and relocation stipends for highly qualified teachers accepting teaching positions in critical shortage areas in Title I and BCPS-identified priority schools.
- Continue recruitment efforts to attract highly qualified teachers.

D erformance Indicator 3.5 - All parents/guardians will be advised of the qualifications of their child's teacher at the beginning of each school year or upon request if there are changes to a teacher's qualifications during the school year. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of parents/guardians of students in Title I schools who are notified of their children's teachers' qualifications

## Results for 2008-2009

One hundred percent of parents/guardians of students in Title I schools were notified of their children's teachers' qualifications. Subsequently, parents/guardians were notified by letter when a teacher became highly qualified.

## Explanation of Results

Principals in Title I schools notified parents/guardians by letter if their children's teachers did not meet the highly qualified requirements under No Child Left Behind. All schools verified that parent/guardian letters were sent by providing written notification to the Title I Office.

In addition, parents/guardians were notified by letter when a teacher met the highly qualified requirement.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to notify $100 \%$ of parents/guardians of students in Title I schools of their children's teachers' qualifications.


## BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 4

All students will be educated in school environments that are safe and conducive to leaming.


## All students will be educated in school environments that are safe and conducive to learning.

- In 2008-2009, 100\% of schools had a buzzer or card access system as part of their security measures, maintained an emergency plan, and attended the annual Safe Schools Conference.
- All schools distributed and reviewed the BCPS Student Handbook with all students at the beginning of the 2008-2009 school year.
- In 2008-2009, 79.3\% of 2,059 stakeholders who responded to the Online Stakeholder Satisfaction Survey were satisfied with the school system academics, $8.4 \%$ were not sure, and $12.3 \%$ were not satisfied.
- The majority of stakeholders $(77.2 \%)$ were satisfied with the safe and orderly environment provided by BCPS to students during the 2008-2009 school year.
- The 2008-2009 Online Stakeholder Satisfaction Survey results showed that 74.9\% of stakeholders were satisfied with the amount of parent/guardian involvement in BCPS.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 4

Performance Indicator 4.1 - All schools and school communities will maintain safe, orderly, nurturing environments. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools participating in programs that support a safe, orderly, and nurturing environment

## Results for 2008-2009



In 2008-2009, $100 \%$ of 167 schools were represented at a conference on providing a safe, orderly, and nurturing environment (chart 4.1.1). All schools maintained an emergency plan; and $100 \%$ of the schools had a buzzer, card, or partnership as part of security measures, as compared to $87.0 \%$ in 2004-2005.

## Explanation of Results

Administrators, student support staff, teacher representatives, and central office staff attended research-based professional development sessions at the annual Safe Schools Conference. All schools and offices posted their emergency plans and drills to the intranet. All schools utilized motion detectors. Additional security systems were installed and updated including buzzer and card scan systems and closed circuit television systems. All middle schools installed the new Internet Protocol (I.P.) camera systems. Fifteen of the 25 high schools installed the new I.P. camera systems, and the remaining high schools continued to use the analog camera system. In addition, 38 elementary playgrounds were equipped with camera systems.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to provide site administrators, student support staff, teacher representatives, and central office staff with a research-based professional development conference on school safety each year.
- Continue to monitor and provide assistance to schools to update emergency plans and conduct appropriate practice drills.
- Continue to install and upgrade closed circuit television security systems in all schools with a focus on the remaining ten high schools with analog systems.
- Continue to provide ongoing professional development and training to school-based administrators on positive behavior planning and disciplinary procedures.
- Continue to provide school-based staff training on the Student Support Team processes and procedures so that individual student behavior management plans may be developed, implemented, and revised to address the behavioral needs of individual students.
- Continue to provide training for school staff on appropriate ways to intervene in disruptive behavior and student altercations.
- Continue to provide training and support to school staff on prevention strategies for bullying and harassment.



## PERFORMANCE GOAL 4

Performance Indicator 4.2 - All schools will have published expectations of student behavior and parental/guardian responsibilities and involvement. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools with published expectations and responsibilities for students and parents/guardians

## Results for 2008-2009

One hundred percent of schools distributed to all students and parents/guardians the BCPS Student Handbook and its code of conduct, which defined behavioral expectations. Administrators reviewed the BCPS Student Handbook with all students at the beginning of the school year or as students new to the school arrived.

## Explanation of Results

Students and parents/guardians signed and dated the Student Handbook acknowledgment forms, which verified receipt and were retained in the school office.

Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to monitor the distribution of the BCPS Student Handbook.
- Ensure the revised BCPS Parental Privacy Preference Opt Out Form for Directory Information and/or the Parental Privacy Opt Out Form for Telecommunications and Intellectual Property are included in back-to-school mailings.
- Continue to monitor and provide assistance to schools to update behavior plans related to the behavioral expectations identified in the BCPS Student Handbook and the school code of conduct.

D erformance Indicator 4.3 - Staff, students, parents/ guardians, and community members will express satisfaction with the learning environment, climate, and school facilities. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of staff, students, parents/guardians, and community members who express satisfaction with the school learning environment, climate, and facilities

## Results for 2008-2009

As shown in chart 4.3.1, $79.3 \%$ of the 2,059 stakeholders who responded to the 2008-2009 BCPS Online Stakeholder Satisfaction Survey were satisfied with the school system's academics, $8.4 \%$ were not sure, and $12.3 \%$ were not satisfied.
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## SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE GOAL 4

The majority of stakeholders ( $77.2 \%$ ) who responded to the 20082009 survey were satisfied with the safe and orderly environment BCPS provided to students, $7.4 \%$ were not sure, and $15.3 \%$ were not satisfied (chart 4.3.2).

As shown in chart 4.3.3, $74.9 \%$ of stakeholders who responded to the 2008-2009 survey were satisfied with the amount of parent/ guardian involvement in BCPS, 11.0\% were not sure, and 14.2\% were not satisfied.

## Explanation of Results

The administration of the 2008-2009 BCPS Online Stakeholder Satisfaction Survey yielded 2,059 respondents, 159 more respondents than in 2004-2005 when it was first piloted. Since 2004-2005, the survey has been available and promoted to all stakeholders: parents/guardians, employees, students, and community residents.

Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to encourage greater participation in the Online Stakeholder Satisfaction Survey through expanded marketing and promotional activities.
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## BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 5

All students will graduate from high school.


## All students will graduate from high school.

- In 2008-2009, the graduation rates for all racial/ethnic subgroups increased from the previous year.
- The overall 2008-2009 graduation rate of $83.7 \%$ was 1.8 percentage points above the 2007-2008 rate and 1.8 percentage points below the Annual Measurable Objective of $85.5 \%$.
- The system level dropout rate improved in 2008-2009 to a rate of $3.7 \%$ as compared to $4.3 \%$ in 2007-2008.
- In 2008-2009, the Hispanic student subgroup was the only student subgroup to show improvement in the dropout rate from $4.0 \%$ in the previous year to $3.0 \%$, which met the state standard.
- In 2008-2009, the percentage of graduates who met the University System of Maryland entrance requirements, Maryland Career Completer and Technology Education Career Completer requirements, or both continued to be above $80.0 \%$.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 5

Performance Indicator 5.1 - All high schools will meet the graduation rate established by the state. (State standard)

## What is measured?

The systemwide high school graduation rate

## Results for 2008-2009



In 2008-2009, the graduation rate was $83.7 \%$, 1.8 percentage points higher than the prior 2007-2008 year and 1.8 percentage points below the 2009 Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) of 85.5\% (chart 5.1.1). The 2008-2009 graduation rate was the highest rate in 4 years and 1.1 percentage points lower than the rate in 2004-2005.

Chart 5.1.2 shows that in 2008-2009 the graduation rates for all racial/ethnic student subgroups increased from the previous year with the American Indian student subgroup achieving the highest gain of 9.0 percentage points. The 2008-2009 graduation rate for the African American student subgroup increased by 3.0 percentage points from the previous year; and graduation rates for the Asian, White, and Hispanic student subgroups increased by 2.0 percentage points from the previous year. In 2008-2009, the Asian student subgroup exceeded the AMO graduation rate of $85.5 \%$ by 7.5 percentage points; the American Indian student subgroup was 10.5 percentage points below the AMO; the African American student subgroup was 3.5 percentage points below the AMO; the White student subgroup was 0.5 percentage points below the AMO ; and the Hispanic student subgroup was 2.5 percentage points below the AMO.

## Explanation of Results

Graduation rates have fluctuated from 2004-2005 to 2008-2009; however, there was an increase in the graduation rate during the 2008-2009 school year which may be attributed to the improved retention of highly qualified teachers. The increase may also be a result of individualized plans that helped students meet graduation requirements (i.e., Bridge Plan projects).

Programs and centers that continued to support the graduation rate standard were Evening School, Saturday High School, Summer School, Home and Hospital, Crossroads Center, Bridge Center, and the alternative high school centers. All programs and centers were staffed with teachers certified in their content areas, which allowed students to earn credits required


Page 74

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 5

for graduation in an alternative setting. The AVID and Maryland's Tomorrow programs continued to provide additional support for students. The College Readiness partnership between Baltimore County Public Schools and the Community College of Baltimore remained in place to provide increased academic support and encourage preparation for college.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Maintain partnership with the Community College of Baltimore (CCBC) in the College Readiness Program.
- Expand the use of Accuplacer to help students understand their areas of need for college readiness.
- Continue to provide additional opportunities to pass the High School Assessments through Summer School and Evening High School in addition to the Bridge Plan for Academic Validation.
- Continue to work with the Hispanic, American Indian, and Asian subgroups through Early Intervention and Family Literacy programs to provide additional services to students and help families remain connected to schools.
- Continue to provide dropout prevention training to pupil personnel workers.
- Continue to review and correct coding for withdrawn students.
- Use the Advance Path program to help with credit recovery.
erformance Indicator 5.2 - All high schools will have annual dropout rates of less than $3.0 \%$. (State standard)


## What is measured?

The systemwide high school dropout rate

## Results for 2008-2009



The 2008-2009 dropout rate was 3.7\%, an improvement over the previous year's rate of $4.3 \%$ and 0.7 percentage points higher than the state standard of $3.0 \%$ (chart 5.2.1).

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 5



Among the racial/ethnic subgroups, the Hispanic student subgroup was the only group to show improvement in the dropout rate from $4.0 \%$ in the previous year to $3.0 \%$ in 2008-2009. Chart 5.2.2 shows that in 2008-2009 the American Indian student subgroup dropout rate increased from $8.0 \%$ in 2007-2008 to $9.0 \%$ in 2008-2009. The 2008-2009 dropout rates remained the same as the previous year for the Asian (2.0\%), African American (4.0\%), and White (4.0\%) student subgroups.

## Explanation of Results

Although previous dropout data were inconsistent, there was a significant decrease in the dropout rate during the 2008-2009 school year, which was related to direct access to alternative programs, positive school climate reflecting high expectations for student success, and improved staff experience levels, knowledge, and skills that positively affected student motivation to complete high school.

BCPS continued to support student involvement through early intervention efforts such as Child Find, Even Start Family Literacy Program, Home Instruction for Parents of Preschool Youngsters (HIPPY), Aliza Brandywine Centers (ABC), prekindergarten classes, and full-day kindergarten classes. Other interventions included school-based programs such as AVID, Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS), Advance Path Academy, and Maryland's Tomorrow. Alternative programs and centers such as Evening School, Saturday High School, Afternoon Middle School, Summer School, Home and Hospital, Crossroads Center, Bridge Center, and the alternative middle school and high school centers continued to support dropout prevention and intervention efforts.

Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Provide staff development focused on the needs and learning styles of all students, especially students at risk of not completing high school.
- Provide mentoring, modeling, and support for new and non-tenured staff in order to raise staff expectations for all students to complete high school.
- Continue to participate in the College Readiness Program with the Community College of Baltimore County.
- Continue to provide support to students who are at risk of dropping out through the effective assignment of pupil personnel workers.
- Continue to review accuracy of dropout codes for withdrawn students.
- Increase Connect-Ed communication to parents/guardians regarding student attendance.
- Continue to monitor student attendance using the schoolbased accountability systems that have been put in place.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 5

Performance Indicator 5.3 - All graduates will meet the college course entrance requirements for the University System of Maryland or the Maryland Career and Technology Education Career Completer Requirements, or both. (State standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of graduates who meet University System of Maryland entrance requirements, Maryland Career Completer and Technology Education Career Completer Requirements, or both

## Results for 2008-2009



Chart 5.3.1 shows that the percentage of 7,380 graduates who met the University System of Maryland entrance requirements, Maryland Career Completer requirements, or both increased by 4.7 percentage points from the previous year to $88.6 \%$ in 2008-2009.


In 2008-2009, $81.9 \%$ of the 7,380 graduates met the university entrance requirements, the highest rate since 2004-2005 and an increase of 5.0 percentage points from the previous year (chart 5.3.2).


In 2008-2009, $21.2 \%$ of the graduates met the entrance requirements, which was the lowest rate since 2004-2005 (chart 5.3.3).


Chart 5.3 .4 shows that $14.6 \%$ of the graduates met the entrance requirements in 2008-2009.

## Explanation of Results

There has been a growing emphasis in Baltimore County Public Schools for students to pursue college upon graduating from high school. With the expansion of the AVID program, the system has seen an increase in the college preparedness of the students graduating; therefore, students met the requirements of the University System of Maryland and not the Career and Technology Education requirements. Along with the expansion of this program, Advanced Placement enrollment increased. The increase was due to the open enrollment policy that encouraged access for all students. Students who chose to take a minimal schedule in Grade 12 and/or to participate in parallel enrollment at the college level may only have been counted by the school as university system completers.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 5

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Offer Career and Technology Education (CTE) programs in the ten Maryland Career Clusters.
- Provide Career Pathways recommended sequences of courses and suggested electives designed to provide students with multiple career pathways leading to employment and further education.
- Embed CTE programs in the Career Pathways offered within each career cluster.
- Align career completer programs to meet the standards of the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) CTE pathways.
- Include opportunities for students to attain industry certification, to enroll in honors and gifted and talented courses, and to earn college credits while in high school CTE programs.
- Continue to develop competency profiles for students in technical programs with accommodations for students with special needs.
- Continue to update CTE programs and proposals to increase the rigor and relevance of all CTE courses including accommodations for special needs students.
- Increase student achievement through comprehensive career information initiatives and by increasing the opportunities for students and educators to participate in safe and structured work-based and/or internship experiences.
- Develop and implement MSDE Fast Track or Model CTE programs with opportunities for additional industry certifications that align to similar programs at the post-secondary level.
- Develop program advisory committees for new CTE programs with representatives from secondary schools, twoyear colleges, and four-year colleges, where possible, to ensure that program alignment to industry/technical skill standards, academic standards, and skills for success occurs.
- Use career clusters and the various pathway programs to allow the Office of Career and Technology Education to individualize and diversify student programs.
- Enable guidance counselors to schedule students according to needs, current performance, and other individual issues to make students' programs relevant, meaningful, and achievable.
- Expand access for students with special needs and their families to various post-secondary educational and training options.
- Provide countywide transportation for students with special needs to attend post-secondary field trips.
- Provide students and parents/guardians access to additional resources and materials to be successful in postsecondary endeavors.
- Explain and promote the advantages of the CCBC articulated credits and parallel enrollment opportunities for students and their families.



## BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 6

Engage parents/ guardians, business, and community members in the educational process.


## Engage parents/guardians, business, and community members in the educational process.

- All schools provided all parents/guardians with multiple opportunities to participate in home-school communication during the 2008-2009 school year.
- In 2008-2009, 100\% of schools increased the number of student, parent/guardian, and teacher conferences.
- All schools increased the number of learning opportunities provided during the 2008-2009 school year for parents/guardians, staff, and community members.
- During the 2008-2009 school year, $100 \%$ of schools increased parent/guardian attendance at school-based events and activities.
- All schools increased parent/guardian, school, business, and community partnerships in 2008-2009.
- In 2008-2009, 100\% of schools increased communication and positive relationships with parents/guardians and community members through the dissemination of information about system, school, and student successes.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 6

Performance Indicator 6.1-All parents/guardians will have multiple opportunities to participate in home-school communication. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools providing home-school communication to all parents/guardians

## Results for 2008-2009



Chart 6.1.1 shows that in 2008-2009, $100 \%$ of schools provided home-school communication to all parents/guardians.

## Explanation of Results

BCPS offered comprehensive programs that required schools to involve parents/guardians, families, and community members in a variety of roles.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to publicize the school's commitment to parent/ guardian, family, and community involvement.
- Continue to make parent/guardian and family involvement an integral component of the school improvement process.
- Continue to help parents/guardians and families enhance parenting skills and foster conditions that support students' learning.
- Continue to provide parents/guardians and families with learning techniques to help students at home.
- Continue to work cooperatively with community agencies that provide assistance to students as well as to parents/ guardians and families.
- Continue to promote clear communication between school and home concerning school programs and students' progress.
- Continue to promote effective use of parent/guardian volunteers.
- Continue to support parents/guardians and families as decision makers and promote their leadership in advisory and advocacy roles.

Derformance Indicator 6.2 - Increase student, parent/guardian, and teacher conferences to $100 \%$ in all schools. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools increasing the number of student, parent/guardian, and teacher conferences

## Results for 2008-2009



Chart 6.2.1 shows that in 2008-2009, 100\% of schools increased the number of student, parent/guardian, and teacher conferences.

## Explanation of Results

BCPS continued to use the six areas of parent/guardian involvement to structure and monitor parent/guardian involvement goals in individual School Improvement Plans (SIP) and enhanced effective home-school partnerships to improve student achievement. The system continued to implement Board of Education Policy and Superintendent's Rule 1270 entitled Community Involvement, which asserts that schools, parents/ guardians, and families have a mutual responsibility to work together in order to increase student achievement.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 6

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to monitor student-parent/guardian-teacher conferences and include this data in each School Improvement Plan (SIP).
- Continue to provide administrators with guidelines and strategies on multicultural infusion and cultural sensitivity to promote effective student-parent/guardian-teacher conferences.
- Continue to facilitate home-school communication by publishing key system documents in other languages.
- Continue to implement the Parental/Guardian Outreach, Attendance Notification, and Emergency Communication System (Connect-Ed) to deliver messages to parents/ guardians through automated telephone and e-mail communication.


Performance Indicator 6.3 - Increase learning opportunities for parents/guardians, staff, and community members to assist in developing and refining the knowledge and skills needed to support students’ academic achievement and recognize students’ successes. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools increasing learning opportunities for parents/guardians, staff, and community members to assist in developing and refining the knowledge and skills needed to support students' academic achievement and recognize students' successes

Results for 2008-2009


In 2008-2009, 100\% of schools increased learning opportunities for parents/guardians, staff, and community members to assist in developing and refining the knowledge and skills needed to support students' academic achievement and recognize students' successes (chart 6.3.1).

## Explanation of Results

BCPS endorsed cooperation among schools, parents/guardians, families, and community members in order to increase involvement and participation in promoting the social, emotional, and academic growth of students. BCPS encouraged the involvement and input of parents/guardians, families, and community members in the educational process.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Encourage open and ongoing communications among home, school, and the community.
- Encourage parents/guardians, families, and community members to play an integral role in assisting student learning.
- Encourage parents/guardians, families, and community members to volunteer their support and assistance in the school.
- Include parents/guardians, families, and community members in the decision-making process.
- Collaborate with community resources, as outlined in School Improvement Plans, to strengthen schools, families, and student learning.
- Provide opportunities for parents/guardians, families, and community members to celebrate student successes at the local and system levels.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 6

Performance Indicator 6.4 - Increase parent/ guardian attendance at school-based events and activities such as back-to-school nights and school improvement teams. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools increasing parent/guardian attendance at school-based events

Results for 2008-2009


As indicated in chart 6.4.1, $100 \%$ of schools increased parent/ guardian attendance at school-based events in 2008-2009.

## Explanation of Results

BCPS continued to provide staff training designed to increase awareness of and sensitivity to the needs of stakeholders in order to increase parent/guardian and community participation at school events and programs. The system provided outreach to parents/guardians and the community through the Education Channel 73, BCPS Web site, Parent Mobile, and Connect-Ed. These services were intended to provide timely information regarding curriculum and programs, policies, student achievement, and school-site activities. Opportunities were also provided for parents/guardians to celebrate student successes in school-based and systemwide programs (e.g., STEM Fair, Black Saga, Mock Trial, Odyssey of the Mind, 24 Challenge, and Career and Technology Education Awards Ceremony).

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to coordinate by geographic area a schedule for back-to-school nights according to feeder schools within a cluster in an effort to optimize parent/guardian opportunities to attend.
- Continue to provide workshops for staff to increase awareness of and sensitivity to the needs of stakeholders in order to increase parent/guardian and community participation at school events and programs.
- Continue to provide opportunities for parents/guardians to celebrate student successes at the local and system levels.
- Continue to implement parent/guardian support services in all communities and internally evaluate effectiveness.

Performance Indicator 6.5- Increase parent/ guardian, school, business, and community partnerships. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools increasing parent/guardian, school, business, and community partnerships

## Results for 2008-2009



In 2008-2009, 100\% of schools increased parent/guardian, school, business, and community partnerships (chart 6.5.1).

## Explanation of Results

BCPS made it a priority to include all stakeholders in the educational process. Comprehensive communication between parents/guardians and the school was essential to student achievement. In order to prepare graduates for optimal success in the global marketplace, partnerships were developed with businesses and community organizations.

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 6

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Expand recognition opportunities for students, parents/ guardians, community, and business partners.
- Train school personnel in processes used to develop and retain volunteers, tutors, and school-business partnerships.
- Encourage business partnerships that support and complement the educational program.

Performance Indicator 6.6 - Increase communication and positive relationships with parents/guardians and community members by disseminating information about system, school, and student successes. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of schools increasing communication and positive relationships with parents/guardians and community members by disseminating information about system, school, and student successes

## Results for 2008-2009



As indicated in chart 6.6.1, $100 \%$ of schools increased communication and positive relationships with parents/guardians and community members in 2008-2009 by disseminating information about system, school, and student successes.

## Explanation of Results

BCPS encouraged the support of community members. It was evident that meaningful involvement by, and partnership with, members of the community offered the potential to produce an improved educational environment for all students. Community members included parents/guardians, parent/guardian groups, businesses, civic groups, and various concerned individuals.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Maintain year-round, regular channels of communication with parents/guardians and other community members to provide information about school programs, resources, policies, issues, and performance.
- Share information that may be of interest to the community or in which individuals have indicated an interest.
- Continue to involve the community in the local schools' educational programs.
- Continue to have a School Improvement Team in each school on which there is parent/guardian and community representation.
- Continue to promote organizations such as the PTA/ PTSA and Student Government Association in each school as a means of channeling communication and allowing for participation in issues related to school improvement.
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BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 7

Involve principals, teachers, staff, stakeholders, and parents/ guardians in the decision-making process.


Involve principals, teachers, staff, stakeholders, and parents/ guardians in the decision-making process.

- In 2008-2009, BCPS central office staff continued to generate school-level data reports that were shared with schools to facilitate the development of school improvement plans.
- Schools communicated student-level data during the 2008-2009 school year to the community through the local results report that provided evidence of progress towards meeting the established standards set forth in the Blueprint for Progress.

P
erformance Indicator 7.1 - All schools will develop a results review report that is aligned with the system's annual results report. (BCPS standard)

What is measured?
The number of schools that are provided with school-level data to develop a school improvement plan

## Results for 2008-2009

One hundred percent of schools received school-level data.
One hundred percent of schools communicated student-level achievement results to the community.

## Explanation of Results

Schools used school-level data contained in the local results report to determine progress toward meeting established stan-
 dards as defined by the Blueprint for Progress.

Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to provide schools with school-level data used to develop local results reports.


## BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

All students will reoeive a quality education through the efficient and effective use of resouroes and the delivery of business servioes.


## All students will receive a quality education through the efficient and effective use of resources and the delivery of business services.

- The 2008-2009 ratio of students to computers was 3.4 to 1 ; and teachers, administrators, and clerical staff had access to more than one computer.
- The 2008-2009 operating and capital budgets continued to be submitted on time.
- During the 2008-2009 school year, $96.7 \%$ of buses arrived at school within the established arrival window.
- The employee attendance rate for 2008-2009 was 95.6\%.
- In 2008-2009, school-based positions were allocated based upon enrollment projections and filled within one week after school opened.
- There were ten EEO complaints in 2008-2009.
- The Wide Area Network (WAN), the Enterprise System (ES), and telephone systems were operational $99.9 \%$ of the time in 2008-2009.
- In 2008-2009, 99.9\% of customer service issues were resolved within 48 hours.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

P
erformance Indicator 8.1 - All students, teachers, and office staff will have access to technology to support student achievement, a highly qualified teaching staff, and stakeholder involvement in the educational process. (BCPS standard)

Performance Indicator 8.2 - All schools and offices will have high-capacity computers at the ratio of: one computer per five students by 2005; one computer per school-based teacher, administrator, and clerical by 2006; and one computer per central office administrative/ supervisory and clerical staff by 2007. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

The computer processing unit (CPU) count of MSDE and BCPS standard computers

## Results for 2008-2009

The ratio of students to computers was 3.4:1. The ratio of teachers to computers was 1:1. The ratio of administrators to computers was 1:1. The ratio of clericals to computers was 1:1.

## Explanation of Results for Indicator 8.1

In 2008-2009, teachers, administrators, and clerical staff in BCPS had access to at least one computer. The following initiatives were designed to improve teachers' and students' access to technology: converted 1.0 million transcripts from microfilm; developed and implemented a Web-based Articulated Instruction Module (AIM) to measure and communicate a student's skill level; upgraded electronic closets in all secondary schools; piloted the new elementary school report card in the Student Tracking and Registration System (STARS) in 20 schools; migrated middle and high school report cards to STARS; developed and implemented technology to support a Virtual Learning Pilot Program; piloted a 90-day password reset policy using an auto-reset application; converted all students' social security numbers to a BCPS assigned identifier; and retired the Unix mainframe system.

Next Steps for Indicator 8.1: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Develop professional development activities for all schoolbased personnel.
- Distribute an additional 60 scanners to schools with large student populations to increase the capacity to scan benchmark and short-cycle test instruments.
- Continue to upgrade electronic closets in all elementary schools.
- Continue to implement AIM for use by all teachers in all schools.
- Implement a plan to redesign the BCPS Web site.
- Complete the installation of Transparent LAN (Local Area Network) services.


## Explanation of Results for Indicator 8.2

The 2008-2009 inventory indicated that the student to computer ratio was 3.4:1 systemwide with all schools having at least a 5:1 ratio and all teachers, clericals, administrators, and supervisory personnel having a 1:1 ratio.

## Next Steps for Indicator 8.2: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Seek funding to continue a four-year replacement cycle for one computer per five students.
- Continue to provide professional development and support to school-based technology liaisons in maintaining hardware and software inventories and in managing, maintaining, and troubleshooting hardware resources in schools based on the Maryland Teacher Professional Development Standards.
- Implement thin client solutions that are an alternative to providing one CPU per user.
- Allocate as many computing "seats" as funding and technology (thin client) will allow.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

Performance Indicator 8.3 - The annual operating and capital budgets will be developed and administered in a timely and accurate manner. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Submission of the operating and capital budgets for board approval by the statutorily required dates

Maintenance of budget to actual variance of $1.0 \%$ or less
Receipt of the Association of School Business Officials (ASBO) and Government Finance Officers' Association (GFOA) Meritorious Budget awards on the budget book

## Results for 2008-2009

The operating and capital budgets were submitted to the Board of Education by the statutorily required dates.

The budget to actual variance for 2008-2009 was $0.79 \%$ for the expected budget.

BCPS received the Association of School Business Officials (ASBO) and the Government Finance Officers' Association (GFOA) Meritorious Budget Award for the 2008-2009 adopted budget book.

## Explanation of Results

All categories of expenditures were at or below the expected budgeted amounts.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to work closely with the forecasting committee to monitor accounts throughout the year.

Performance Indicator 8.4 - The Department of Fiscal Services' staff will effectively and efficiently provide timely access to functional information. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

The percentage of end users who are satisfied with the content of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)

## Results for 2008-2009

Of those that responded, $95.0 \%$ of end users were satisfied with the content of the FY2008 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR).

## Explanation of Results

Procedures were established and implemented to achieve 100\% of user satisfaction each year. This was the first year in which the survey was distributed electronically.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to distribute user surveys electronically, with access to the CAFR on the Web site, to determine the effectiveness of the document.
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## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

Performance Indicator 8.5-The student enrollment projections will have a $99.0 \%$ accuracy rate. (BCPS standard)

Performance Indicator 8.6 - Ninety percent of buses will arrive each day within the established opening/ closing window. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of buses arriving at school within the established arrival window

Results for 2008-2009


In 2008-2009, the percentage of buses arriving within the established arrival window was $96.7 \%$. This is an increase of 0.6 percentage points from 2007-2008. Since 2004-2005, the percentage has increased by 1.8 points. The 2008-2009 percentage is 6.7 points above the BCPS standard of $90.0 \%$ (chart 8.6.1).

## Explanation of Results

Fewer overall vacancies for the position of bus driver minimized the number of routes doubled, which resulted in increased on-time arrival.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to monitor on-time school bus service.
- Continue to monitor bell schedules and tiering of bus routes.
- Continue bus driver recruitment efforts.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

P
erformance Indicator 8.7 - All students will have total ride times of less than three hours per day. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of students' ride time of less than three hours
Results for 2008-2009


Chart 8.7.1 shows that in 2008-2009, $95.7 \%$ of student bus riders had daily total ride time of fewer than three hours, which is an increase of 0.5 percentage points from the previous 20072008 year.

## Explanation of Results

The number of buses and full-time equivalent (FTE) positions did not adequately accommodate the additional homeless students transported to the school of origin and the expansion of non-public schools.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Request additional buses and FTE positions in the FY 2011 budget to be dedicated to the transportation of students to non-public schools.
- Continue to monitor the on-board time school bus service.

Performance Indicator 8.8 - Each school will provide meal service at optimal capacity. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

The number of schools meeting optimal meal service capacity
Results for 2008-2009


Since 2004-2005, the percentage of secondary schools meeting maximum meal capacity has continued to increase. Chart 8.8.1 shows that in 2008-2009, $80.0 \%$ of secondary schools met the maximum meal capacity, which represented a 3.0 percentage point increase from the previous year.

## Explanation of Results

The 2008-2009 results represented advances made through the availability of capital project funding for the construction of a satellite lunchroom and the renovation of several serving lines.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to seek funding for use in updating service lines and, if possible, adding satellite lunchrooms.
- Continue to monitor student meal schedules, and meal service and work with school administrators to make appropriate adjustments.

Performance Indicator 8.9 - The BCPS employee attendance rate will meet or exceed the system standard. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Employee attendance rate

## Results for 2008-2009



Chart 8.9.1 shows that the employee attendance rate was $95.6 \%$ in 2008-2009, which was 0.3 percentage points greater than the previous year's employee attendance rate of $95.3 \%$. The 20082009 employee attendance rate was 0.4 percentage points below the BCPS standard of $96.0 \%$.

## Explanation of Results

The Employee Attendance Monitoring Program has been fully implemented for four years. The employee attendance rate was calculated using all employee groups but excluded long-term, approved leaves of absence.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Provide training on the Employee Attendance Monitoring Program for all new administrators.
- Provide intensive case management for employees referred to the Office of Risk Management.
- Continue to assist administrators with the implementation of the Employee Attendance Monitoring Program.
- Disaggregate attendance data to identify which employee groups' attendance has not improved.

Performance Indicator 8.10 - Copy and Print Services will operate at optimal capacity. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Copy and Print Services (CPS) will meet the established standard of 46.7 million impressions (copies)

Results for 2008-2009


Since 2004-2005, the print shop productivity has continued to increase. In 2008-2009, 50.8 million copies were produced, which was an increase of 5.2 million over the previous year (chart 8.10.1).

## Explanation of Results

Copy and Print Services is an award vendor for excess duplication of printed materials and has assumed the responsibility for the printing of school letterheads and envelopes. This has increased Copy and Print Services' production of Baltimore County Public Schools' print jobs.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to utilize the printing, copying, and reproduction services bid to capture print jobs that would normally go to outside printing vendors.
- Continue to upgrade printing equipment to increase production capabilities.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

Performance Indicator 8.11 - The Capital Improvement Program will align with the distribution of instructional programs. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Submission of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) to the superintendent for approval prior to the capital budget request

## Results for 2008-2009

The 2008 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) was submitted to the superintendent and the Board of Education prior to the capital budget request.

## Explanation of Results

The CIP was successfully submitted.
Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to submit the CIP prior to the capital budget request.

Performance Indicator 8.12 - All schools will receive equitable staffing allocations in a timely manner. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Allocation of available school-based positions based on projected enrollment

Results for 2008-2009


Chart 8.12 .1 shows that $99.8 \%$ of teacher positions were filled based on projected enrollment in 2008-2009, which was 0.1 percentage points higher than the previous 2007-2008 year.


Chart 8.12 .2 shows that $96.4 \%$ of instructional assistant positions were filled one week after school opened in 2008-2009, which was 1.8 percentage points less than the previous year.

## Explanation of Results

The Office of Personnel recruited in over 16 states and at 43 colleges and universities. In addition, BCPS offered signing bonuses and relocation stipends for teachers in critical shortage areas who accepted positions in priority schools. Personnel officers also met with principals during staffing meetings in May to discuss potential vacancies. The expanded recruitment initiatives, signing bonuses, and continued collaboration with principals on instructional teaching needs have resulted in over 99.0\% of instructional vacancies being filled for teacher positions.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to expand recruitment initiatives for critical shortage subject areas. These include providing signing bonuses for teachers accepting positions in critical shortage areas, recruiting in different states, and offering recruitment fairs in BCPS.
- Continue to implement the BCPS staffing plan, which emphasizes staffing critical shortage subjects in priority schools.
- Continue the BCPS Student Scholarship Loan Program, which is designed to encourage more students to pursue careers in education, specifically in the areas of mathematics, science, and special education.
- Continue to assist teachers who have not met the requirements of No Child Left Behind through school visits and collaboration with the Department of Professional Development and institutions of higher education to provide coursework that will assist teachers to meet the requirements of No Child Left Behind.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

erformance Indicator 8.13 - Administrative appointments will be made in a timely manner. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

The number of qualified applicants in the system's pool of administrators required to meet staffing needs

Results for 2008-2009


In 2008-2009, there was a total of 37 qualified candidates in the system's pool of principals as shown in chart 8.13.1. There were 17 more candidates in the principal pool than the BCPS standard of a minimum of 20 candidates.


In 2008-2009, there was a total of 83 qualified candidates in the system's pool of assistant principals as shown in chart 8.13.2. There were 38 more candidates in the assistant principal pool than the BCPS standard of a minimum of 45 candidates.

## Explanation of Results

Leadership opportunities were communicated to BCPS staff through a variety of means including collaboration with the Department of Professional Development to present workshops to aspiring leaders, presentations to the Baltimore County Alliance of Black School Educators (BCABSE), the Minority Achievement Advisory Group, and the Aspiring Leaders Modules of the Educational Leadership and Development Program. In addition, individual meetings were held during the school year by the Director of Personnel with aspiring leaders for principal and assistant principal positions.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Collaborate with the Department of Professional Development to provide workshops for future leaders.
- Continue to meet with various stakeholder groups to advertise leadership opportunities and discuss eligibility requirements for the principal and assistant principal pools.
- Expand recruitment initiatives to increase candidates in principal and assistant principal pools.



## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

Performance Indicator 8.14 - The number of Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) complaints will be reduced. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

The number of EEO complaints
Results for 2008-2009


Chart 8.14.1 shows 16 fewer Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) complaints in 2008-2009 than in the previous 2007-2008 year (from 26 to 10). This reduction in EEO complaints represents a $61.5 \%$ decrease from the previous 2007-2008 year, which is above the BCPS standard of at least a $5.0 \%$ reduction. Between 2004-2005 and 2008-2009, the number of EEO complaints has decreased, representing an overall reduction of $78.7 \%$.

## Explanation of Results

The EEO office resolved 10 EEO-related issues preventing the filing of formal complaints. An additional 16 complaints were received by the EEO office and determined to be non-EEO related and referred to the appropriate offices to be addressed. The EEO Web site was revised in order to provide clarification and additional information to all employees. Training to employee groups continued to occur upon request.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue screening of all complaints received in the EEO office.
- Analyze trends and types of complaints to determine appropriate strategies to address issues.
- Continue to provide EEO-related trainings offered in a variety of formats to administrators, supervisors, and employees.
- Review, and if necessary, revise EEO-related Board of Education policies and superintendent's rules.
- Develop a superintendent's rule to support Board of Education Policy 4000.
- Create fact sheets that provide guidance on EEO-related issues for use by administrators and managers.

Derformance Indicator 8.15 - All administrative and supervisory personnel will receive training so that master agreements will be implemented effectively. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

The number of administrative and supervisory employees trained in various aspects of the master agreements and the appraisal process

## Results for 2008-2009

During the 2008-2009 school year, the system provided training on the topics of negotiations and the appraisal process to school teams, new administrators, incumbent principals, office staffs, managers/supervisors in both the Divisions of Business Services and Curriculum and Instruction, and members of the superintendent's staff. A total of 382 managerial/supervisory staff members received training so that the master agreements could be implemented effectively.

## Explanation of Results

During the 2008-2009 academic year, Baltimore County Public Schools employed approximately 940 personnel in administrative and supervisory positions. The goal was to provide training to $10.0 \%$ of these employees. The system was able to exceed this goal by providing formalized training to 382 managerial employees, or $40.6 \%$.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to train new principals, new assistant principals, members of the negotiations teams, superintendent's staff, and managerial/supervisory personnel in the Divisions of Business Services and Curriculum and Instruction.
- Continue to print and distribute new master agreements or supplements to all employees that include all language changes negotiated between the Board of Education and the employee organizations. A summary of changes to the master agreements will be placed in the superintendent's bulletin for distribution to employees.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

- Continue to schedule training with other groups of managers/supervisors within the system.

Performance Indicator 8.16 - All employees and retirees will have effective information regarding employee benefits. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

The number of opt-ins to the Employee Self-Service (ESS) Web site

Results for 2008-2009


The number of employees opting-in the Employee Self-Service (ESS) Web site has increased each year since 2004-2005. In 2008-2009, 8,861 opt-ins were made to the Web site. This represented an increase of 1,038 contacts from the previous year and exceeded the goal of a $5.0 \%$ annual increase (chart 8.16.1).

## Explanation of Results

The continued increase in the use of the ESS Web site can be attributed to encouraging new employees during orientation sessions to access the site for payroll and benefits information. In addition, information about the site was included in employee benefits-related communications.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Successful completion of the Advantage HR system upgrade in 2010 will enable us to provide further improvements in 2011.
- Improve new hire orientation materials to ensure understanding of programs offered and to encourage use of the ESS Web site.
- Continue to monitor the use of the ESS Web site on a monthly basis.

Performance Indicator 8.17 - All BCPS facilities will be operational in the school year at a level that meets or exceeds the 2002-2003 baseline. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

Percentage of operational facilities that meet or exceed the standard of operational performance of $91.9 \%$

Results for 2008-2009


Since 2004-2005, the percentage of schools that were operational has increased and exceeded the BCPS standard of $91.9 \%$. In 2008-2009, $99.9 \%$ of schools were operational, which was the same rate as in 2007-2008 (chart 8.17.1).

## Explanation of Results

School closings and the reasons for the closings were tracked throughout the year. Most of the school closings were for a portion of the school day and were a result of public utility outages. Only two schools were closed for an entire day due to water main breaks in the areas of those affected schools. One school was closed for a state-mandated three-day quarantine as a result of the flu. Operational school days were calculated by multiplying the number of days in a school year (180) times the number of schools, programs, and centers in the system (172) for a total of 30,960 school days.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue to address maintenance issues through the Capital Improvement Program.
- Continue to implement the Preventive Maintenance Program.
- Continue to work with utility providers, such as Baltimore Gas \& Electric and the Baltimore City Water Department, to reduce power outages and water main breaks and to improve restorable timeframes.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

D erformance Indicator 8.18 - Reduce the number of schools in which full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment of students exceeds seating capacity (staterated capacity plus available relocatable seats). (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

The number of schools in which full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment exceeds seating capacity (state-rated capacity plus available relocatable seats)

## Results for 2008-2009



In 2008-2009, 16 elementary schools were over capacity, the same as the previous 2007-2008 year and a decrease of three schools since 2004-2005 (chart 8.18.1).


In 2008-2009, there were no middle schools that were over capacity. This was an improvement over the previous 20072008 year in which there were two middle schools over capacity (chart 8.18.2).


In 2008-2009, there were two high schools that were over capacity. This was an improvement over the previous 2007-2008 year in which there were nine schools over capacity (chart 8.18.3).

## Explanation of Results

The data demonstrated progress in reducing the number of middle schools and high schools and maintaining the number of elementary schools in which the FTE enrollment exceeded total available seating.

This progress was achieved through annual systematic analysis of enrollments, capacity, projections, capital project priorities, and availability of resources. The Office of Strategic Planning implemented a progressive approach of recommendations in considering schools with enrollments approaching capacity. Some steps included capacity analysis, room use recommendations, use of existing relocatable units, enrollment caps/ annexing/redistricting, purchasing of new relocatable units, renovations, additions, and capital construction. These steps were progressive both in cost and complexity.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Use September 30, 2009, enrollment data to analyze current enrollments, capacity, and projection accuracy in fall 2009.
- Hold meetings with the Office of Strategic Planning and all area assistant superintendents to discuss relief options and priorities.
- Ensure that projections will undergo annual enrollment updates.


## PERFORMANCE GOAL 8

Performance Indicator 8.19 - The Wide Area Network, Enterprise Systems, and telephone system will operate effectively $98.0 \%$ of the time. (BCPS standard)

## What is measured?

The percentage of time that the Wide Area Network (WAN), the Enterprise System (ES), and telephone system are fully operational and available to users

The percentage of issues resolved within 48 hours with customer satisfaction as measured by open ticket time and satisfaction response on work order tickets

## Results for 2008-2009



Each year since 2004-2005 the WAN, ES, and telephone systems have exceeded the BCPS standard to be fully operational at least $98.0 \%$ of the time. As indicated in chart 8.19.1, the WAN, ES, and telephone systems were $99.9 \%$ fully operational in 2008-2009, which is 1.9 percentage points above the BCPS standard.


As indicated in chart 8.19.2, $99.9 \%$ of customer service issues were resolved with customer satisfaction within 48 hours in 2008-2009, which represents an increase of 0.9 percentage
points from the previous year. The percentage of customer service issues resolved with customer satisfaction within 48 hours has met or exceeded the BCPS standard of $98.0 \%$ each year since 2004-2005.

## Explanation of Results

In 2008-2009, the telephone, WAN, and ES were all operating and available beyond the BCPS standard of $98.0 \%$. The results show that the response time for the customer service center continually exceeded the BCPS standard of $98.0 \%$.

## Next Steps: 2009-2010 Master Plan

- Continue with the implementation of the Disaster Recovery Center.
- Continue to increase bandwidth through the conversion to fiber optic technology.
- Continue to implement a Business Services Platform. This application will monitor system hardware and software, providing performance and device information that will allow the Department of Technology staff to address problems on a proactive basis.



## BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOALS

Performance Goal 1-By 2012, all students will reach high standards, as established by the Baltimore County Public Schools and state performance level standards, in English/ reading/ writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.

Performance Goal 2 - By 2012, all English language learners will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards in English/ reading/ writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.

Performance Goal 3 - By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.
Performance Goal 4-All students will be educated in school environments that are safe and conducive to learning.
Performance Goal 5 - All students will graduate from high school.
Performance Goal 6 - Engage parents/ guardians, business, and community members in the educational process.
Performance Goal 7 - Involve principals, teachers, staff, stakeholders, and parents/ guardians in the decision-making process.

Performance Goal 8 - All students will receive a quality education through the efficient and effective use of resources and the delivery of business services.
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## SUPPLEMENTAL DATA REPORT ON RESULTS
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Table 1.1.1 Elementary School Reading MSA Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 19,054 | 23,235 | $82.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | 18,794 | 22,830 | $82.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | 18,503 | 22,264 | $83.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | 19,204 | 22,071 | $87.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 19,643 | 22,265 | $88.2 \%$ |

Table 1.1.2 Elementary School Reading MSA by Race/Ethnicity Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 97 | 132 | $73.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 89 | 123 | $72.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 86 | 116 | $74.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 93 | 109 | $85.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 96 | 104 | $92.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 881 | 1,014 | $86.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 960 | 1,072 | $89.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 1,055 | 1,153 | $91.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 1,154 | 1,228 | $94.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 1,206 | 1,276 | $94.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 6,672 | 9,100 | $73.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 6,742 | 9,117 | $73.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 6,773 | 8,997 | $75.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 7,360 | 9,153 | $80.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 7,800 | 9,458 | $82.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 10,856 | 12,268 | $88.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 10,386 | 11,720 | $88.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 9,914 | 11,110 | $89.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 9,770 | 10,591 | $92.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 9,703 | 10,403 | $93.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 548 | 721 | $76.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 617 | 798 | $77.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 675 | 888 | $76.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 827 | 990 | $83.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 838 | 1,024 | $81.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.1.3 Elementary School Reading MSA LEP Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 226 | 391 | $57.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 265 | 439 | $60.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 379 | 566 | $67.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | 405 | 558 | $72.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | 373 | 555 | $67.2 \%$ |

Table 1.1.4 Elementary School Reading MSA Special Education Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 1,741 | 2,812 | $61.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | 1,696 | 2,809 | $60.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 1,713 | 2,753 | $62.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,860 | 2,722 | $68.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,840 | 2,685 | $68.5 \%$ |

Table 1.1.5 Elementary School Reading MSA FARMS Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 6,164 | 8,723 | $70.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | 6,205 | 8,658 | $71.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | 6,142 | 8,378 | $73.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | 6,985 | 8,843 | $79.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 7,893 | 9,671 | $81.6 \%$ |

Table 1.1.6 Elementary School Reading MSA Gifted and Talented Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 4,990 | 5,023 | $99.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | 5,188 | 5,238 | $99.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5,312 | 5,363 | $99.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | 5,253 | 5,283 | $99.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | 5,276 | 5,297 | $99.6 \%$ |

Table 1.1.7 Elementary School Mathematics MSA Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 17,462 | 23,251 | $75.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | 17,835 | 22,854 | $78.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 18,473 | 22,278 | $82.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | 18,662 | 22,095 | $84.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | 19,058 | 22,272 | $85.6 \%$ |

Table 1.1.8 Elementary School Mathematics MSA by Race/Ethnicity Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 94 | 132 | $71.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 86 | 123 | $69.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 89 | 116 | $76.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 89 | 108 | $82.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 89 | 104 | $85.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 892 | 1,018 | $87.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 972 | 1,076 | $90.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 1,102 | 1,158 | $95.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 1,174 | 1,236 | $95.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 1,225 | 1,279 | $95.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 5,735 | 9,108 | $63.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 6,093 | 9,122 | $66.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 6,613 | 8,997 | $73.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 6,937 | 9,158 | $75.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 7,345 | 9,458 | $77.7 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 10,246 | 12,270 | $83.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 10,098 | 11,725 | $86.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 9,958 | 11,110 | $89.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 9,654 | 10,599 | $91.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 9,541 | 10,402 | $91.7 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 495 | 723 | $68.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 586 | 808 | $72.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 711 | 896 | $79.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 808 | 994 | $81.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 858 | 1,028 | $83.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.1.9 Elementary School Mathematics MSA LEP Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 230 | 394 | $58.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | 296 | 461 | $64.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | 457 | 580 | $78.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | 435 | 573 | $75.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | 423 | 565 | $74.9 \%$ |

Table 1.1.10 Elementary School Mathematics MSA Special Education Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 1,419 | 2,812 | $50.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | 1,460 | 2,807 | $52.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 1,644 | 2,750 | $59.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,638 | 2,721 | $60.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,568 | 2,683 | $58.4 \%$ |

Table 1.1.11 Elementary School Mathematics MSA FARMS Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 5,371 | 8,721 | $61.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | 5,700 | 8,667 | $65.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | 6,111 | 8,388 | $72.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | 6,662 | 8,859 | $75.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | 7,557 | 9,674 | $78.1 \%$ |

Table 1.1.12 Elementary School Mathematics MSA Gifted and Talented Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 4,972 | 5,025 | $98.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | 5,200 | 5,239 | $99.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5,339 | 5,361 | $99.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 5,266 | 5,285 | $99.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | 5,283 | 5,298 | $99.7 \%$ |

Table 1.1.13 Middle School Reading MSA Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 18,083 | 25,434 | $71.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | 17,656 | 24,311 | $72.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | 16,544 | 23,475 | $70.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | 17,926 | 22,945 | $78.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | 18,350 | 22,491 | $81.6 \%$ |

Table 1.1.14 Middle School Reading MSA by Race/Ethnicity Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 78 | 122 | $63.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 81 | 122 | $66.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 73 | 124 | $58.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 85 | 117 | $72.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 83 | 113 | $73.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 792 | 993 | $79.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 824 | 997 | $82.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 837 | 1,017 | $82.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 970 | 1,097 | $88.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 1,091 | 1,226 | $89.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 5,947 | 10,205 | $58.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 6,199 | 10,007 | $61.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 5,890 | 9,842 | $59.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 6,677 | 9,588 | $69.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 7,144 | 9,420 | $75.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 10,855 | 13,496 | $80.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 10,074 | 12,489 | $80.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 9,238 | 11,704 | $78.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 9,585 | 11,265 | $85.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 9,351 | 10,800 | $86.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 411 | 618 | $66.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 478 | 696 | $68.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 506 | 788 | $64.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 609 | 878 | $69.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 681 | 932 | $73.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.1.15 Middle School Reading MSA LEP Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 53 | 193 | $27.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | 82 | 231 | $35.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | 88 | 250 | $35.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 66 | 250 | $26.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | 81 | 232 | $34.9 \%$ |

Table 1.1.16 Middle School Reading MSA Special Education Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 927 | 2,927 | $31.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | 897 | 2,717 | $33.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 748 | 2,579 | $29.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | 935 | 2,388 | $39.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,140 | 2,397 | $47.6 \%$ |

Table 1.1.17 Middle School Reading MSA FARMS Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 4,880 | 8,782 | $55.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | 5,171 | 8,829 | $58.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | 4,811 | 8,610 | $55.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | 5,643 | 8,530 | $66.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | 6,770 | 9,304 | $72.8 \%$ |

Table 1.1.18 Middle School Reading MSA Gifted and Talented Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 5,309 | 5,456 | $97.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | 5,671 | 5,833 | $97.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5,798 | 6,005 | $96.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 6,178 | 6,272 | $98.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | 6,251 | 6,343 | $98.5 \%$ |

Table 1.1.19 Middle School Mathematics MSA Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 14,317 | 25,442 | $56.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | 14,474 | 24,347 | $59.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 13,923 | 23,520 | $59.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 15,334 | 22,956 | $66.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 15,814 | 22,501 | $70.3 \%$ |

Table 1.1.20 Middle School Mathematics MSA by Race/Ethnicity Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 58 | 122 | $47.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 58 | 122 | $47.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 53 | 124 | $42.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 69 | 119 | $58.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 65 | 114 | $57.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 773 | 1,004 | $77.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 822 | 1,002 | $82.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 865 | 1,024 | $84.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 975 | 1,102 | $88.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 1,102 | 1,230 | $89.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 3,830 | 10,195 | $37.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 4,193 | 10,030 | $41.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 4,234 | 9,868 | $42.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 5,043 | 9,590 | $52.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 5,454 | 9,418 | $57.9 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 9,333 | 13,502 | $69.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 9,009 | 12,494 | $72.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 8,379 | 11,710 | $71.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 8,723 | 11,265 | $77.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 8,590 | 10,803 | $79.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 322 | 618 | $52.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 391 | 697 | $56.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 392 | 794 | $49.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 524 | 880 | $59.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 603 | 936 | $64.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 1.1.21 Middle School Mathematics MSA LEP Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 77 | 208 | $37.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | 93 | 234 | $39.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | 97 | 264 | $36.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | 99 | 254 | $39.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 112 | 242 | $46.3 \%$ |

Table 1.1.22 Middle School Mathematics MSA Special Education Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 530 | 2,928 | $18.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | 592 | 2,723 | $21.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | 575 | 2,571 | $22.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | 711 | 2,393 | $29.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | 907 | 2,395 | $37.9 \%$ |

Table 1.1.23 Middle School Mathematics MSA FARMS Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 3,238 | 8,783 | $36.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | 3,624 | 8,845 | $41.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 3,551 | 8,636 | $41.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | 4,279 | 8,542 | $50.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | 5,283 | 9,312 | $56.7 \%$ |

Table 1.1.24 Middle School Mathematics MSA Gifted and Talented Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 5,180 | 5,460 | $94.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | 5,538 | 5,836 | $94.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5,674 | 6,011 | $94.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | 6,042 | 6,270 | $96.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | 6,130 | 6,345 | $96.6 \%$ |

Table 1.1.25 English MSA Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 5,175 | 8,764 | $59.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5,786 | 8,311 | $69.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 5,680 | 7,100 | $80.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 5,991 | 7,137 | $83.9 \%$ |

Table 1.1.26 English MSA by Race/Ethnicity Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | American Indian | 22 | 36 | $61.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 29 | 44 | $65.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 24 | 32 | $75.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 24 | 28 | $85.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 279 | 408 | $68.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 295 | 394 | $74.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 286 | 331 | $86.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 296 | 334 | $88.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 1,399 | 3,247 | $43.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 1,771 | 3,212 | $55.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 1,778 | 2,559 | $69.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 2,016 | 2,637 | $76.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 3,362 | 4,856 | $69.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 3,566 | 4,440 | $80.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 3,467 | 4,003 | $86.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 3,517 | 3,957 | $88.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 113 | 217 | $52.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 125 | 221 | $56.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 125 | 175 | $71.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 138 | 181 | $76.2 \%$ |

Table 1.1.27 English MSA LEP Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 82 | 205 | $40.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 45 | 128 | $35.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 4 | 21 | $19.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 58 | 83 | $69.9 \%$ |

Table 1.1.28 English MSA Special Education Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 214 | 842 | $25.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 280 | 839 | $33.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | 283 | 682 | $41.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | 352 | 710 | $49.6 \%$ |

Table 1.1.29 English MSA FARMS Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 947 | 2,205 | $42.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | 1,142 | 2,130 | $53.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,305 | 1,919 | $68.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,560 | 2,057 | $75.8 \%$ |

Table 1.1.30 Algebra/Data Analysis MSA Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 5,926 | 9,961 | $59.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5,680 | 8,828 | $64.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | 5,808 | 7,027 | $82.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | 6,035 | 7,056 | $85.5 \%$ |

Table 1.1.31 Algebra/Data Analysis MSA by Race/Ethnicity Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | American Indian | 32 | 53 | $60.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 29 | 53 | $54.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 26 | 32 | $81.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 25 | 28 | $89.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 315 | 428 | $73.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 317 | 406 | $78.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 292 | 314 | $93.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 300 | 318 | $94.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 1,629 | 3,994 | $40.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 1,659 | 3,656 | $45.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 1,769 | 2,564 | $69.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 1,956 | 2,623 | $74.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 3,821 | 5,229 | $73.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 3,522 | 4,444 | $79.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 3,580 | 3,942 | $90.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 3,600 | 3,908 | $92.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 128 | 255 | $50.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 153 | 269 | $56.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 141 | 175 | $80.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 154 | 179 | $86.0 \%$ |
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Table 1.1.32 Algebra/Data Analysis MSA LEP Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 67 | 176 | $38.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | 75 | 165 | $45.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | 45 | 55 | $81.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 73 | 89 | $82.0 \%$ |

Table 1.1.33 Algebra/Data Analysis MSA Special Education Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 297 | 1,066 | $27.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | 290 | 973 | $29.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | 347 | 711 | $48.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 389 | 753 | $51.7 \%$ |

Table 1.1.34 Algebra/Data Analysis MSA FARMS Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 1,129 | 2,675 | $42.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | 1,282 | 2,594 | $49.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,489 | 2,031 | $73.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,674 | 2,117 | $79.1 \%$ |

Table 1.2.1 PSAT Participation Rate Grade 10

| Year | Participation | Enrollment | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 7,518 | 8,663 | $86.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 7,692 | 8,940 | $86.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 7,414 | 8,725 | $85.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | 7,151 | 8,531 | $83.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 6,971 | 8,246 | $84.5 \%$ |

Table 1.2.2 PSAT Participation Rate Grade 10 by Student Group

| Year | Student Group | Participation | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 1,555 | 1,942 | $80.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 1,929 | 2,293 | $84.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 1,823 | 2,293 | $79.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 1,797 | 2,694 | $66.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 2,401 | 3,088 | $77.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 1,757 | 1,799 | $97.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 1,940 | 1,985 | $97.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 1,963 | 2,033 | $96.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 1,843 | 1,908 | $96.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 2,002 | 2,094 | $95.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 43 | 131 | $32.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | LEP | 73 | 107 | $68.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | LEP | 56 | 104 | $53.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | LEP | 88 | 129 | $68.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | LEP | 116 | 145 | $80.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Special Education | 593 | 908 | $65.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 564 | 829 | $68.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 609 | 918 | $66.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 601 | 890 | $67.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 582 | 897 | $64.9 \%$ |
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Table 1.2.3 PSAT Participation Rate Grade 10 by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Participation | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 31 | 37 | $83.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 33 | 40 | $82.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 41 | 50 | $82.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 32 | 46 | $69.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 32 | 37 | $86.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 328 | 378 | $86.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 345 | 383 | $90.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 357 | 389 | $91.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 378 | 408 | $92.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 395 | 414 | $95.4 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 2,516 | 3,032 | $83.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 2,788 | 3,326 | $83.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 2,778 | 3,385 | $82.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 2,714 | 3,355 | $80.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 2,870 | 3,456 | $83.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 4,489 | 5,009 | $89.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 4,342 | 4,962 | $87.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 4,049 | 4,653 | $87.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 3,792 | 4,424 | $85.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 3,421 | 4,017 | $85.2 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 154 | 206 | $74.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 184 | 229 | $80.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 188 | 246 | $76.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 234 | 298 | $78.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 253 | 322 | $78.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 1.3.1 Percentage of Students Enrolled in Honors/GT Courses Scoring 55 or Above on Critical Reading PSAT

| Year | GT Enrolled | PSAT >=55 | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 2,102 | 2,186 | $96.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | 1,970 | 2,061 | $95.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | 2,312 | 2,430 | $95.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,936 | 2,000 | $96.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,775 | 1,817 | $97.7 \%$ |

Table 1.3.2 Percentage of Students Enrolled in Honors/GT Courses Scoring 55 or Above on Mathematics PSAT

| Year | GT Enrolled | PSAT >=55 | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 2,326 | 2,590 | $89.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 2,432 | 2,697 | $90.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | 2,417 | 2,717 | $89.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | 2,292 | 2,540 | $90.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | 2,367 | 2,564 | $92.3 \%$ |

Table 1.4.1 Received Certificate of Attendance - Percentage Passing Reading Alt-MSA

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Certificate | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 6 | 47 | $12.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 15 | 88 | $17.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 34 | 58 | $58.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 24 | 43 | $55.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 88 | 124 | $71.0 \%$ |

Table 1.4.2 Received Certificate of Attendance - Percentage Passing Reading Alt-MSA by Student Group

| Year | Program | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Certificate | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 2 | 18 | $11.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 3 | 37 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 13 | 26 | $50.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 9 | 21 | $42.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 26 | 33 | $78.8 \%$ |

Table 1.4.3 Received Certificate of Attendance - Percentage Passing Reading Alt-MSA by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Certificate | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| 2009 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | Asian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2006 | Asian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2007 | Asian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | Asian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | Asian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | African American | 1 | 18 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 2006 African American | 6 | 28 | $21.4 \%$ |  |
| 2007 | African American | 12 | 25 | $48.0 \%$ |
| 2008 African American | 12 | 21 | $57.1 \%$ |  |
| 2009 African American | 33 | 51 | $64.7 \%$ |  |
| 2005 White | 4 | 26 | $15.4 \%$ |  |
| 2006 White | 3 | 24 | $12.5 \%$ |  |
| 2007 White | 20 | 30 | $66.7 \%$ |  |
| 2008 White | 10 | 18 | $55.6 \%$ |  |
| 2009 White | 52 | 68 | $76.5 \%$ |  |
| 2007 | Hispanic | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 Hispanic | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| 2009 | Hispanic | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

## Table 1.4.4 Received Certificate of Attendance - Percentage Passing Mathematics Alt-MSA

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Certificate | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 7 | 47 | $14.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | 15 | 88 | $17.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 34 | 58 | $58.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 22 | 43 | $51.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | 82 | 124 | $66.1 \%$ |

Table 1.4.5 Received Certificate of Attendance - Percentage Passing Mathematics Alt-MSA by Student Group

| Year | Program | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Certificate | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 2 | 18 | $11.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 4 | 37 | $10.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 14 | 26 | $53.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 9 | 21 | $42.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 22 | 33 | $66.7 \%$ |

Table 1.4.6 Received Certificate of Attendance - Percentage Passing Mathematics Alt-MSA by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or Advanced | Certificate | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2009 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2005 | Asian | * | * | * |
| 2006 | Asian | * | * | * |
| 2007 | Asian | * | * | * |
| 2008 | Asian | * | * | * |
| 2009 | Asian | * | * | * |
| 2005 | African American | 1 | 18 | 5.6\% |
| 2006 | African American | 7 | 28 | 25.0\% |
| 2007 | African American | 13 | 25 | 52.0\% |
| 2008 | African American | 10 | 21 | 47.6\% |
| 2009 | African American | 30 | 51 | 58.8\% |
| 2005 | White | 5 | 26 | 19.2\% |
| 2006 | White | 3 | 24 | 12.5\% |
| 2007 | White | 19 | 30 | 63.3\% |
| 2008 | White | 9 | 18 | 50.0\% |
| 2009 | White | 49 | 68 | 72.1\% |
| 2007 | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| 2008 | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| 2009 | Hispanic | * | * | * |

Note: * ${ }_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.5.1 Grades 3 to 10 Reading Alt-MSA Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Participation | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 682 | 779 | $87.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | 610 | 749 | $81.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 624 | 684 | $91.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 624 | 665 | $93.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 635 | 721 | $88.1 \%$ |

Table 1.5.2 Grades 3 to 10 Reading Alt-MSA Proficient or Advanced by Student Group

| Year | Group | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Participation | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 331 | 379 | $87.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 268 | 327 | $82.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 304 | 325 | $93.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 310 | 330 | $93.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 339 | 373 | $90.9 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 6 | 6 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | LEP | 5 | 6 | $83.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.5.3 Grades 3 to 10 Reading Alt-MSA Proficient or Advanced by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Participation | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 13 | 13 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 14 | 16 | $87.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 19 | 21 | $90.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 17 | 18 | $94.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 19 | 23 | $82.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 307 | 356 | $86.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 274 | 351 | $78.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 287 | 311 | $92.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 299 | 317 | $94.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 294 | 346 | $85.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 344 | 392 | $87.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 304 | 363 | $83.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 296 | 329 | $90.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 289 | 310 | $93.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 302 | 328 | $92.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 13 | 13 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 16 | 17 | $94.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 19 | 20 | $95.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 17 | 18 | $94.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 20 | 22 | $90.9 \%$ |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}$ indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.5.4 Grades 3 to 10 Mathematics Alt-MSA Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Participation | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 651 | 779 | $83.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | 622 | 749 | $83.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 628 | 684 | $91.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | 622 | 665 | $93.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | 578 | 721 | $80.2 \%$ |

Table 1.5.5 Grades 3 to 10 Mathematics Alt-MSA Proficient or Advanced by Student Group

| Year | Group | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Participation | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 313 | 379 | $82.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 278 | 327 | $85.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 308 | 325 | $94.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 314 | 330 | $95.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 302 | 373 | $81.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 6 | 6 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | LEP | 6 | 6 | $100 \%$ |
| 2007 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |

Note: * ${ }_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.5.6 Grades 3 to 10 Mathematics Alt-MSA Proficient or Advanced by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Participation | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 12 | 13 | $92.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 15 | 16 | $93.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 20 | 21 | $95.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 17 | 18 | $94.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 20 | 23 | $87.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 284 | 356 | $79.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 283 | 351 | $80.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 288 | 311 | $92.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 296 | 317 | $93.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 264 | 346 | $76.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 338 | 392 | $86.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 306 | 363 | $84.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 299 | 329 | $90.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 290 | 310 | $93.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 273 | 328 | $83.2 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 12 | 13 | $92.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 16 | 17 | $94.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 18 | 20 | $90.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 17 | 18 | $94.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 21 | 22 | $95.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Note: * ${ }_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.7.1 Full-day Kindergarten

| Year | Full Time <br> KG | School <br> Count | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 74 | 103 | $71.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 85 | 104 | $81.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | 95 | 104 | $91.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | 106 | 106 | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 | 106 | 106 | $100 \%$ |

Table 1.9.1 Middle School Algebra I Enrollment Grade 8

| Year | Participation | Enrollment | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 4,313 | 8,803 | $49.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | 4,458 | 8,529 | $52.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | 4,269 | 8,176 | $52.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 4,299 | 7,815 | $55.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 4,411 | 7,747 | $56.9 \%$ |

Table 1.9.2 Middle School Algebra I Enrollment Grade 8 by Student Group

| Year | Group | Participation | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 870 | 2,808 | $31.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 983 | 2,898 | $33.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 1,012 | 2,987 | $33.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 1,070 | 2,823 | $37.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 1,225 | 2,986 | $41.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 1,789 | 1,941 | $92.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 1,805 | 1,916 | $94.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 1,922 | 2,022 | $95.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 1,993 | 2,075 | $96.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 2,076 | 2,168 | $95.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 29 | 113 | $25.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | LEP | 25 | 105 | $23.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | LEP | 31 | 125 | $24.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | LEP | 12 | 114 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | LEP | 16 | 112 | $14.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | Special Education | 66 | 1,113 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 97 | 1,012 | $9.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 97 | 1,010 | $9.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 100 | 787 | $12.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 80 | 739 | $10.8 \%$ |

Table 1.9.3 Middle School Algebra I Enrollment Grade 8 by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Participation | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 16 | 39 | $41.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 16 | 40 | $40.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 19 | 42 | $45.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 15 | 37 | $40.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 20 | 36 | $55.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 237 | 350 | $67.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 260 | 371 | $70.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 271 | 359 | $75.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 275 | 377 | $72.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 312 | 409 | $76.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 1,183 | 3,389 | $34.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 1,258 | 3,364 | $37.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 1,370 | 3,477 | $39.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 1,337 | 3,317 | $40.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 1,429 | 3,211 | $44.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 2,809 | 4,823 | $58.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 2,842 | 4,528 | $62.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 2,517 | 4,038 | $62.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 2,533 | 3,791 | $66.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 2,518 | 3,781 | $66.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 68 | 202 | $33.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 82 | 226 | $36.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 92 | 260 | $35.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 139 | 293 | $47.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 132 | 310 | $42.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.10.1 Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the End of Grade 9 Pass Rate

| Year | Passed | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 4,459 | 8,409 | $53.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | 5,603 | 8,446 | $66.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5,663 | 8,185 | $69.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 5,442 | 7,810 | $69.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | 5,463 | 7,671 | $71.2 \%$ |

Table 1.10.2 Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the End of Grade 9 Pass Rate by Student Group

| Year | Program | Passed | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 812 | 2,203 | $36.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 1,176 | 2,681 | $43.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 1,345 | 2,811 | $47.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 1,554 | 3,088 | $50.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 1,595 | 3,257 | $49.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 1,548 | 1,719 | $90.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 1,765 | 1,943 | $90.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 1,732 | 1,909 | $90.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 1,861 | 1,997 | $93.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 1,919 | 2,114 | $90.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 37 | 119 | $31.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | LEP | 44 | 112 | $39.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | LEP | 48 | 117 | $41.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | LEP | 70 | 142 | $49.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | LEP | 37 | 81 | $45.7 \%$ |
| 2005 | Special Education | 88 | 751 | $11.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 196 | 856 | $22.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 209 | 900 | $23.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 214 | 905 | $23.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 187 | 836 | $22.4 \%$ |

Table 1.10.3 Algebra/Data Analysis HSA by the End of Grade 9 Pass Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Passed | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 20 | 38 | $52.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 26 | 41 | $63.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 28 | 45 | $62.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 25 | 46 | $54.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 22 | 30 | $73.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 255 | 353 | $72.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 286 | 353 | $81.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 311 | 367 | $84.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 320 | 369 | $86.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 336 | 375 | $89.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 1,006 | 3,104 | $32.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 1,563 | 3,264 | $47.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 1,646 | 3,246 | $50.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 1,790 | 3,327 | $53.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 1,914 | 3,305 | $57.9 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 3,094 | 4,727 | $65.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 3,605 | 4,587 | $78.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 3,538 | 4,296 | $82.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 3,134 | 3,819 | $82.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 2,991 | 3,652 | $81.9 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 84 | 188 | $44.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 123 | 208 | $59.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 139 | 231 | $60.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 173 | 264 | $65.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 200 | 313 | $63.9 \%$ |

Table 1.11.1 Fine Arts Pass Rate

| Year | Passed Fine Arts | Enrollment | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 6,985 | 7,425 | $94.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | 7,330 | 7,843 | $93.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | 7,508 | 8,080 | $92.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | 7,650 | 8,291 | $92.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | 7,102 | 7,695 | $92.3 \%$ |

Table 1.12.1 Class of 2009 Algebra/Data Analysis HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9th Grade | 5,618 | 8,687 | $64.7 \%$ |
| 10th Grade | 5,933 | 7,807 | $76.0 \%$ |
| 11 th Grade | 6,044 | 7,166 | $84.3 \%$ |
| 12th Grade | 6,265 | 7,107 | $88.2 \%$ |

Table 1.12.2 Class of 2009 Biology HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10th Grade | 5,590 | 7,807 | $71.6 \%$ |
| 1 1th Grade | 5,800 | 7,166 | $80.9 \%$ |
| 12th Grade | 6,057 | 7,107 | $85.2 \%$ |

Table 1.12.3 Class of 2009 English HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10th Grade | 5,432 | 7,807 | $69.6 \%$ |
| 11 th Grade | 5,777 | 7,166 | $80.6 \%$ |
| 12 th Grade | 6,102 | 7,107 | $85.9 \%$ |

Table 1.12.4 Class of 2009 Government HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9th Grade | 6,038 | 8,687 | $69.5 \%$ |
| 10th Grade | 6,223 | 7,807 | $79.7 \%$ |
| 11 th Grade | 6,370 | 7,166 | $88.9 \%$ |
| 12 th Grade | 6,599 | 7,107 | $92.9 \%$ |

Table 1.12.5 Class of 2010 Algebra/Data Analysis HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9th Grade | 5,839 | 8,943 | $65.3 \%$ |
| 10th Grade | 6,229 | 8,146 | $76.5 \%$ |
| 11 th Grade | 6,256 | 7,381 | $84.8 \%$ |

Table 1.12.6 Class of 2010 Biology HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 th Grade | 5,682 | 8,146 | $69.8 \%$ |
| 11 th Grade | 5,935 | 7,381 | $80.4 \%$ |

Table 1.12.7 Class of 2010 English HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10th Grade | 5,420 | 8,146 | $66.5 \%$ |
| 11 th Grade | 5,893 | 7,381 | $79.8 \%$ |

Table 1.12.8 Class of 2010 Government HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9th Grade | 5,923 | 8,943 | $66.2 \%$ |
| 10 th Grade | 6,456 | 8,146 | $79.3 \%$ |
| 11 th Grade | 6,504 | 7,381 | $88.1 \%$ |

Table 1.12.9 Class of 2011 Algebra/Data Analysis HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9th Grade | 5,631 | 8,600 | $65.5 \%$ |
| 10th Grade | 6,129 | 7,970 | $76.9 \%$ |

Table 1.12.10 Class of 2011 Biology HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10th Grade | 5,521 | 7,970 | $69.3 \%$ |

Table 1.12.11 Class of 2011 English HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10th Grade | 5,190 | 7,970 | $65.1 \%$ |

Table 1.12.12 Class of 2011 Government HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9th Grade | 5,776 | 8,600 | $67.2 \%$ |
| 10th Grade | 6,193 | 7,970 | $77.7 \%$ |

Table 1.12.13 Class of 2012 Algebra/Data Analysis HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9th Grade | 5,630 | 8,331 | $67.6 \%$ |

Table 1.12.14 Class of 2012 Government HSA Percentage Passed

| End of | Passed | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 th Grade | 5,387 | 8,331 | $64.7 \%$ |

Table 1.13.1 AP Participation Rate - Schools Meeting or Exceeding National Average

| Year | Exceeding | Schools | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 13 | 24 | $54.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | 14 | 24 | $58.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | 15 | 24 | $62.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | 16 | 24 | $66.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | 17 | 24 | $70.8 \%$ |

Table 1.13.2 AP Participation Rate

| Year | AP Participation | Enrollment | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 3,279 | 32,027 | $10.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | 3,491 | 32,530 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | 3,882 | 32,561 | $11.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | 4,008 | 31,808 | $12.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | 4,376 | 30,879 | $14.2 \%$ |

Table 1.13.3 AP Participation Rate by Student Group

| Year | Student Group | AP Participation | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 196 | 6605 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 276 | 8142 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 362 | 8329 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 433 | 8748 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 532 | 9336 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 3,245 | 8194 | $39.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 3,465 | 8965 | $38.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 3,856 | 9275 | $41.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 3,992 | 9687 | $41.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 4,358 | 10293 | $42.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 2 | 363 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | LEP | 4 | 318 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | LEP | 0 | 335 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | LEP | 0 | 411 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | LEP | 1 | 384 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | Special Education | 22 | 3048 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 19 | 3111 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 22 | 3155 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 14 | 3092 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 19 | 2936 | $0.6 \%$ |

Table 1.13.4 AP Participation Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | AP Participation | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 11 | 144 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 9 | 139 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 12 | 148 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 20 | 136 | $14.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 14 | 131 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 323 | 1,530 | $21.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 345 | 1,512 | $22.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 363 | 1,529 | $23.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 429 | 1,582 | $27.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 445 | 1,603 | $27.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 443 | 11,152 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 485 | 11,800 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 626 | 12,156 | $5.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 694 | 12,382 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 804 | 12,375 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 2,446 | 18,517 | $13.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 2,587 | 18,278 | $14.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 2,805 | 17,845 | $15.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 2,774 | 16,696 | $16.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 3,005 | 15,673 | $19.2 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 46 | 684 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 56 | 801 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 66 | 883 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 83 | 1,012 | $8.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 99 | 1,097 | $9.0 \%$ |

Table 1.14.1 AP Pass Rate - Percentage of Schools with at least 70.0\% Pass Rate

| Year | Schools <br> Exceeding <br> $70.0 \%$ | School <br> Count | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 11 | 24 | $45.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 10 | 24 | $41.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | 9 | 24 | $37.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | 10 | 24 | $41.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | 9 | 24 | $37.5 \%$ |

Table 1.14.2 AP Pass Rate

| Year | Passing | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 4,877 | 6,893 | $70.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 5,208 | 7,352 | $70.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5,532 | 8,052 | $68.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | 5,667 | 8,043 | $70.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | 6,164 | 9,002 | $68.5 \%$ |

Table 1.14.3 AP Pass Rate by Student Group

| Year | Student Group | Passing | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 149 | 346 | $43.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 201 | 502 | $40.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 232 | 628 | $36.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 288 | 713 | $40.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 384 | 929 | $41.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 4,861 | 6,853 | $70.9 \%$ |
| 2006 Gifted and Talented | 5,189 | 7,322 | $70.9 \%$ |  |
| 2007 Gifted and Talented | 5,519 | 8,023 | $68.8 \%$ |  |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 5,652 | 8,017 | $70.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 6,152 | 8,982 | $68.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2006 LEP | 3 | 10 | $30.0 \%$ |  |
| 2005 | Special Education | 22 | 33 | $66.7 \%$ |
| 2006 Special Education | 23 | 31 | $74.2 \%$ |  |
| 2007 | Special Education | 21 | 33 | $63.6 \%$ |
| 2008 Special Education | 9 | 19 | $47.4 \%$ |  |
| 2009 | Special Education | 14 | 28 | $50.0 \%$ |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.14.4 AP Pass Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Passed | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 13 | 25 | $52.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 10 | 18 | $55.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 16 | 23 | $69.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 27 | 43 | $62.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 22 | 29 | $75.9 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 586 | 799 | $73.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 588 | 816 | $72.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 624 | 888 | $70.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 796 | 1,049 | $75.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 815 | 1,135 | $71.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 295 | 763 | $38.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 316 | 854 | $37.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 342 | 1,013 | $33.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 386 | 1,086 | $35.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 567 | 1,378 | $41.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 3,933 | 5,220 | $75.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 4,218 | 5,549 | $76.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 4,476 | 6,004 | $74.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 4,325 | 5,679 | $76.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 4,641 | 6,267 | $74.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 46 | 73 | $63.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 71 | 103 | $68.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 71 | 114 | $62.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 124 | 168 | $73.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 113 | 182 | $62.1 \%$ |

Table 1.15.1 IB Percentage of Diplomas Awarded

| Year | Diplomas <br> Awarded | Diploma <br> Candidates | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 14 | 25 | $56.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | 8 | 18 | $44.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5 | 21 | $23.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | 14 | 44 | $31.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 2 | 19 | $10.5 \%$ |

Table 1.16.1 IB Pass Rate - IB Percentage of Exams Passed

| Year | Percentage <br> Passed |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | $63.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | $61.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | $44.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | $44.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | $49.7 \%$ |

Table 1.17.1 SAT Participation Rate - Percentage of BCPS Schools Exceeding National Average

| Year | Schools | Exceeding <br> National <br> Avg | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 23 | 13 | $56.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | 24 | 16 | $66.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | 24 | 17 | $70.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | 24 | 17 | $70.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 24 | 15 | $62.5 \%$ |

Table 1.17.2 ACT Participation Rate - Percentage of BCPS Schools Exceeding National Average

| Year | Schools | Exceeding <br> National <br> Avg | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 22 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | 24 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 24 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | 24 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 24 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

Table 1.17.3 SAT Participation Rate

| Year | Tested | Enrolled | Participation |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 4,086 | 7,402 | $55.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | 4,319 | 7,664 | $56.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 4,519 | 7,755 | $58.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | 4,449 | 8,003 | $55.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | 3,749 | 7,604 | $49.3 \%$ |

Table 1.17.4 SAT Participation Rate by Student Group

| Year | Program | Tested | Enrolled | Participation |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 394 | 1,078 | $36.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 596 | 1,389 | $42.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 670 | 1,506 | $44.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 741 | 1,937 | $38.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 649 | 2,108 | $30.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 2,264 | 2,537 | $89.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 2,511 | 2,872 | $87.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 2,634 | 2,953 | $89.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 2,765 | 3,050 | $90.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 2,538 | 3,272 | $77.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 1 | 16 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | LEP | 5 | 10 | $50.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | LEP | 3 | 17 | $17.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | LEP | 10 | 20 | $50.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | LEP | 12 | 38 | $31.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | Special Education | 77 | 627 | $12.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 59 | 683 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 96 | 689 | $13.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 96 | 663 | $14.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 75 | 667 | $11.2 \%$ |

Table 1.17.5 SAT Participation Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Tested | Enrolled | Participation |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 10 | 36 | $27.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 20 | 37 | $54.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 8 | 25 | $32.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 17 | 33 | $51.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 13 | 36 | $36.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 295 | 372 | $79.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 301 | 388 | $77.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 270 | 348 | $77.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 310 | 386 | $80.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 281 | 374 | $75.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 1,149 | 2,398 | $47.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 1,273 | 2,564 | $49.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 1,512 | 2,731 | $55.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 1,539 | 2,930 | $52.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 1,348 | 2,832 | $47.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 2,566 | 4,462 | $57.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 2,612 | 4,521 | $57.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 2,621 | 4,480 | $58.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 2,481 | 4,459 | $55.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 2,018 | 4,156 | $48.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 56 | 132 | $42.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 66 | 154 | $42.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 71 | 169 | $42.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 93 | 195 | $47.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 77 | 206 | $37.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.17.6 ACT Participation Rate

| Year | Tested | Enrolled | Participation |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 498 | 7,211 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | 512 | 7,664 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | 553 | 7,755 | $7.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | 618 | 8,003 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | 600 | 7,604 | $7.9 \%$ |

Table 1.17.7 ACT Participation Rate by Student Group

| Year | Program | Tested | Enrolled | Participation |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 78 | 1,056 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 91 | 1,389 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 79 | 1,506 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 97 | 1,937 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 106 | 2,108 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 277 | 2,480 | $11.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 284 | 2,872 | $9.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 352 | 2,953 | $11.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 413 | 3,050 | $13.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 427 | 3,272 | $13.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 0 | 16 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2006 LEP | 0 | 10 | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| 2007 | LEP | 0 | 17 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | LEP | 0 | 20 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | LEP | 0 | 38 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Special Education | 9 | 605 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 7 | 683 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 17 | 689 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 7 | 663 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 9 | 667 | $1.3 \%$ |

Table 1.17.8 ACT Participation Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Tested | Enrolled | Participation |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 0 | 34 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 1 | 37 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 2 | 25 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 3 | 33 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 1 | 36 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 24 | 371 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 33 | 388 | $8.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 28 | 348 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 29 | 386 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 33 | 374 | $8.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 224 | 2,394 | $9.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 239 | 2,564 | $9.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 215 | 2,731 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 245 | 2,930 | $8.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 220 | 2,832 | $7.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 245 | 4,282 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 224 | 4,521 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 305 | 4,480 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 322 | 4,459 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 335 | 4,156 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 5 | 128 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 9 | 154 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 3 | 169 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 7 | 195 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 11 | 206 | $5.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.18.1 SAT Combined Scores - Percentage of BCPS Schools Exceeding National Average

| Year | Schools | Exceeding <br> National <br> Avg | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 23 | 10 | $43.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | 24 | 11 | $45.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | 24 | 10 | $41.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | 24 | 10 | $41.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | 24 | 10 | $41.7 \%$ |

Table 1.18.2 ACT Composite Scores - Percentage of BCPS Schools Exceeding National Average

| Year | Schools | Exceeding <br> National <br> Avg | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$|$| 2005 | 22 | 8 | $36.4 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 24 | 8 | $33.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | 24 | 9 | $37.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | 24 | 10 | $41.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | 24 | 9 | $37.5 \%$ |

Table 1.18.3 SAT Combined Scores

| Year | Tested | Verbal | Math | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 4,086 | 509 | 516 | 1025 |
| 2006 | 4,319 | 497 | 506 | 1003 |
| 2007 | 4,519 | 491 | 497 | 988 |
| 2008 | 4,449 | 491 | 496 | 987 |
| 2009 | 3,749 | 496 | 502 | 998 |

Table 1.18.4 SAT Combined Scores by Student Group

| Year | Program | Tested | Verbal | Math | Combined |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 394 | 429 | 433 | 862 |
| 2006 FARMS | 596 | 427 | 425 | 852 |  |
| 2007 | FARMS | 670 | 419 | 419 | 838 |
| 2008 | FARMS | 741 | 422 | 418 | 840 |
| 2009 FARMS | 649 | 427 | 428 | 855 |  |
| 2005 Gifted and Talented | 2,264 | 559 | 570 | 1129 |  |
| 2006 Gifted and Talented | 2,511 | 541 | 556 | 1097 |  |
| 2007 Gifted and Talented | 2,634 | 536 | 546 | 1082 |  |
| 2008 Gifted and Talented | 2,765 | 534 | 543 | 1077 |  |
| 2009 Gifted and Talented | 2,538 | 536 | 544 | 1080 |  |
| 2005 LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| 2006 LEP | 5 | 328 | 406 | 734 |  |
| 2007 LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| 2008 LEP | 10 | 278 | 299 | 577 |  |
| 2009 LEP | 12 | 299 | 360 | 659 |  |
| 2005 Special Education | 77 | 430 | 410 | 840 |  |
| 2006 Special Education | 59 | 408 | 399 | 807 |  |
| 2007 Special Education | 96 | 422 | 405 | 827 |  |
| 2008 Special Education | 96 | 375 | 372 | 747 |  |
| 2009 Special Education | 75 | 377 | 375 | 752 |  |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.18.5 SAT Combined Scores by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Tested | Verbal | Math | Combined |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 10 | 534 | 523 | 1057 |
| 2006 | American Indian | 20 | 455 | 461 | 916 |
| 2007 | American Indian | 8 | 424 | 489 | 913 |
| 2008 | American Indian | 17 | 516 | 509 | 1025 |
| 2009 | American Indian | 13 | 458 | 424 | 882 |
| 2005 | Asian | 295 | 508 | 560 | 1068 |
| 2006 | Asian | 301 | 501 | 562 | 1063 |
| 2007 | Asian | 270 | 499 | 555 | 1054 |
| 2008 | Asian | 310 | 505 | 567 | 1072 |
| 2009 | Asian | 281 | 519 | 568 | 1087 |
| 2005 | African American | 1,149 | 437 | 422 | 859 |
| 2006 | African American | 1,273 | 430 | 417 | 847 |
| 2007 | African American | 1,512 | 422 | 403 | 825 |
| 2008 | African American | 1,539 | 419 | 400 | 819 |
| 2009 | African American | 1,348 | 426 | 414 | 840 |
| 2005 | White | 2,566 | 542 | 554 | 1096 |
| 2006 | White | 2,612 | 530 | 544 | 1074 |
| 2007 | White | 2,621 | 530 | 545 | 1075 |
| 2008 | White | 2,481 | 534 | 546 | 1080 |
| 2009 | White | 2,018 | 541 | 554 | 1095 |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 56 | 473 | 469 | 942 |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 66 | 468 | 478 | 946 |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 71 | 454 | 460 | 914 |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 93 | 477 | 488 | 965 |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 77 | 493 | 482 | 975 |

Table 1.18.6 ACT Composite Scores

| Year | Tested | Composite <br> Score |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 499 | 20.0 |
| 2006 | 512 | 19.6 |
| 2007 | 553 | 20.5 |
| 2008 | 618 | 20.3 |
| 2009 | 632 | 21.0 |

Table 1.18.7 ACT Composite Scores by Student Group

| Year | Program | Tested | Composite Score |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 78 | 16.0 |
| 2006 | FARMS | 91 | 17.0 |
| 2007 | FARMS | 79 | 17.0 |
| 2008 | FARMS | 97 | 18.0 |
| 2009 | FARMS | 106 | 17.0 |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 277 | 23.0 |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 284 | 22.0 |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 352 | 23.0 |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 413 | 22.0 |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 427 | 23.0 |
| 2005 | Special Education | 9 | 14.0 |
| 2006 | Special Education | 7 | 16.0 |
| 2007 | Special Education | 17 | 17.0 |
| 2008 | Special Education | 7 | 15.0 |
| 2009 | Special Education | 9 | 14.0 |

Table 1.18.8 ACT Composite Scores by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Tested | Composite <br> Score |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 24 | 23.0 |
| 2006 | Asian | 33 | 20.0 |
| 2007 | Asian | 28 | 22.0 |
| 2008 | Asian | 29 | 22.0 |
| 2009 | Asian | 33 | 23.0 |
| 2005 | African American | 224 | 17.0 |
| 2006 | African American | 239 | 17.0 |
| 2007 | African American | 215 | 17.0 |
| 2008 | African American | 245 | 17.0 |
| 2009 | African American | 220 | 17.0 |
| 2005 | White | 245 | 23.0 |
| 2006 | White | 224 | 23.0 |
| 2007 | White | 305 | 23.0 |
| 2008 | White | 322 | 23.0 |
| 2009 | White | 335 | 23.0 |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 5 | 22.0 |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 9 | 17.0 |
| 2007 | Hispanic | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 7 | 17.0 |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 11 | 21.0 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Note: * ${ }_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.19.1 Accuplacer English Placement - Percentage of Students College Ready or On Track

| Year | Ready/ <br> On Track | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 472 | 645 | $73.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | 884 | 1,217 | $72.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | 738 | 959 | $77.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | 592 | 691 | $85.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | 457 | 541 | $84.5 \%$ |

Table 1.19.2 Accuplacer English Placement - Percentage of Students College Ready or On Track by Student Group

| Year | Program | Ready/ <br> On Track | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 107 | 160 | $66.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 221 | 330 | $67.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 173 | 246 | $70.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 160 | 195 | $82.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 146 | 189 | $77.2 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 93 | 101 | $92.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 294 | 326 | $90.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 198 | 207 | $95.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 175 | 179 | $97.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 170 | 184 | $92.4 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2006 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | Special Education | 10 | 31 | $32.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 11 | 69 | $15.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 35 | 82 | $42.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 14 | 45 | $31.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 14 | 33 | $42.4 \%$ |

Note: * ${ }_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.19.3 Accuplacer English Placement - Percentage of Students College Ready or On Track by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Ready/ <br> On Track | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2006 | American Indian | 5 | 8 | 62.5\% |
| 2007 | American Indian | 6 | 6 | 100\% |
| 2008 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2009 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2005 | Asian | 10 | 12 | 83.3\% |
| 2006 | Asian | 19 | 35 | 54.3\% |
| 2007 | Asian | 16 | 23 | 69.6\% |
| 2008 | Asian | 20 | 23 | 87.0\% |
| 2009 | Asian | 18 | 26 | 69.2\% |
| 2005 | African American | 203 | 287 | 70.7\% |
| 2006 | African American | 330 | 460 | 71.7\% |
| 2007 | African American | 320 | 405 | 79.0\% |
| 2008 | African American | 237 | 271 | 87.5\% |
| 2009 | African American | 199 | 237 | 84.0\% |
| 2005 | White | 243 | 327 | 74.3\% |
| 2006 | White | 512 | 689 | 74.3\% |
| 2007 | White | 383 | 509 | 75.2\% |
| 2008 | White | 320 | 378 | 84.7\% |
| 2009 | White | 230 | 265 | 86.8\% |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 6 | 7 | 85.7\% |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 16 | 21 | 76.2\% |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 9 | 12 | 75.0\% |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 11 | 15 | 73.3\% |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 9 | 12 | 75.0\% |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.19.4 Accuplacer Reading Placement - Percentage of Students College Ready or On Track

| Year | Ready/ <br> On Track | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 346 | 645 | $53.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | 689 | 1,229 | $56.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | 464 | 916 | $50.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | 310 | 675 | $45.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | 259 | 521 | $49.7 \%$ |

Table 1.19.5 Accuplacer Reading Placement - Percentage of Students College Ready or On Track by Student Group

| Year | Program | Ready/ <br> On Track | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 74 | 160 | $46.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 154 | 337 | $45.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 91 | 233 | $39.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 76 | 191 | $39.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 77 | 187 | $41.2 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 80 | 101 | $79.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 264 | 328 | $80.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 149 | 199 | $74.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 126 | 176 | $71.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 120 | 179 | $67.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2006 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | Special Education | 6 | 31 | $19.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 11 | 69 | $15.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 13 | 81 | $16.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 7 | 45 | $15.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 3 | 31 | $9.7 \%$ |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.19.6 Accuplacer Reading Placement - Percentage of Students College Ready or On Track by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Ready/ On Track | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2006 | American Indian | 3 | 8 | 37.5\% |
| 2007 | American Indian | 2 | 6 | 33.3\% |
| 2008 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2009 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2005 | Asian | 5 | 12 | 41.7\% |
| 2006 | Asian | 12 | 35 | 34.3\% |
| 2007 | Asian | 10 | 21 | 47.6\% |
| 2008 | Asian | 12 | 23 | 52.2\% |
| 2009 | Asian | 6 | 21 | 28.6\% |
| 2005 | African American | 141 | 287 | 49.1\% |
| 2006 | African American | 231 | 472 | 48.9\% |
| 2007 | African American | 188 | 387 | 48.6\% |
| 2008 | African American | 112 | 263 | 42.6\% |
| 2009 | African American | 118 | 232 | 50.9\% |
| 2005 | White | 188 | 327 | 57.5\% |
| 2006 | White | 430 | 689 | 62.4\% |
| 2007 | White | 254 | 486 | 52.3\% |
| 2008 | White | 177 | 370 | 47.8\% |
| 2009 | White | 130 | 255 | 51.0\% |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 5 | 7 | 71.4\% |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 10 | 21 | 47.6\% |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 6 | 12 | 50.0\% |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 6 | 15 | 40.0\% |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 4 | 12 | 33.3\% |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.19.7 Accuplacer Mathematics Placement - Percentage of Students College Ready or On Track

| Year | Ready/ <br> On Track | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 177 | 618 | $28.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | 245 | 1,176 | $20.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | 124 | 847 | $14.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 76 | 581 | $13.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | 73 | 437 | $16.7 \%$ |

Table 1.19.8 Accuplacer Mathematics Placement - Percentage of Students College Ready or On Track by Student Group

| Year | Program | Ready/ <br> On Track | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 26 | 146 | $17.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 46 | 322 | $14.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 32 | 240 | $13.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 22 | 178 | $12.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 23 | 167 | $13.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | Gifted and Talented | 57 | 101 | $56.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | Gifted and Talented | 135 | 315 | $42.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Gifted and Talented | 59 | 187 | $31.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | Gifted and Talented | 36 | 141 | $25.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 41 | 144 | $28.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2006 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | Special Education | 3 | 29 | $10.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 2 | 67 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 3 | 80 | $3.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 1 | 43 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 3 | 27 | $11.1 \%$ |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.19.9 Accuplacer Mathematics Placement - Percentage of Students College Ready or On Track by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Ready/ <br> On Track | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2006 | American Indian | 0 | 8 | 0.0\% |
| 2007 | American Indian | 0 | 5 | 0.0\% |
| 2008 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2009 | American Indian | * | * | * |
| 2005 | Asian | 6 | 12 | 50.0\% |
| 2006 | Asian | 12 | 34 | 35.3\% |
| 2007 | Asian | 2 | 13 | 15.4\% |
| 2008 | Asian | 4 | 17 | 23.5\% |
| 2009 | Asian | 3 | 12 | 25.0\% |
| 2005 | African American | 65 | 267 | 24.3\% |
| 2006 | African American | 53 | 442 | 12.0\% |
| 2007 | African American | 65 | 382 | 17.0\% |
| 2008 | African American | 25 | 240 | 10.4\% |
| 2009 | African American | 33 | 206 | 16.0\% |
| 2005 | White | 99 | 321 | 30.8\% |
| 2006 | White | 176 | 668 | 26.3\% |
| 2007 | White | 52 | 433 | 12.0\% |
| 2008 | White | 45 | 306 | 14.7\% |
| 2009 | White | 36 | 208 | 17.3\% |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 4 | 6 | 66.7\% |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 3 | 20 | 15.0\% |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 2 | 11 | 18.2\% |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 1 | 15 | 6.7\% |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 1 | 10 | 10.0\% |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.
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Table 1.20.1 Career and Technology - Overall GPA Percentage Meet or Exceed State Standards

| Year | Meet or Exceed | C\&T Students | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 1,020 | 1,675 | $60.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | 868 | 1,410 | $61.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | 972 | 1,556 | $62.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,323 | 1,824 | $72.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,029 | 1,635 | $62.9 \%$ |

Table 1.20.2 Career and Technology - Overall GPA Percentage Meet or Exceed State Standards by Student Group

| Year | Program | Meet or Exceed | C\&T Students | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 151 | 317 | $47.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 191 | 343 | $55.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 182 | 375 | $48.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 284 | 452 | $62.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 258 | 534 | $48.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | LEP | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| 2007 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | LEP |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Special Education | 73 | 180 | $40.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 86 | 197 | $43.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 71 | 182 | $39.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 89 | 186 | $47.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 74 | 218 | $33.9 \%$ |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.20.3 Career and Technology - Overall GPA Percentage Meet or Exceed State Standards by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Meet or Exceed | C\&T Students | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 4 | 12 | $33.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 8 | 12 | $66.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 4 | 8 | $50.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 8 | 11 | $72.7 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 58 | 79 | $73.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 55 | 63 | $87.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 59 | 73 | $80.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 38 | 45 | $84.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 20 | 24 | $83.3 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 353 | 713 | $49.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 293 | 564 | $52.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 352 | 673 | $52.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 483 | 689 | $70.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 320 | 595 | $53.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 587 | 842 | $69.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 490 | 733 | $66.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 535 | 769 | $69.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 778 | 1,058 | $73.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 661 | 971 | $68.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 18 | 29 | $62.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 22 | 38 | $57.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 22 | 33 | $66.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 21 | 29 | $72.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 20 | 34 | $58.8 \%$ |

Table 1.20.4 Career and Technology - Technical GPA Percentage Meet or Exceed State Standards

| Year | Meet or Exceed | C\&T Students | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 1,192 | 1,663 | $71.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | 996 | 1,396 | $71.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | 1,099 | 1,531 | $71.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,571 | 1,869 | $84.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,301 | 1,686 | $77.2 \%$ |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.20.5 Career and Technology - Technical GPA Percentage Meet or Exceed State Standards by Student Group

| Year | Program | Meet or Exceed | C\&T Students | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | FARMS | 202 | 316 | $63.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | FARMS | 232 | 342 | $67.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | FARMS | 219 | 369 | $59.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | FARMS | 362 | 464 | $78.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | FARMS | 401 | 552 | $72.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | LEP | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | LEP | 3 | 5 | $60.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | LEP | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | LEP |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Special Education | 88 | 178 | $49.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | Special Education | 120 | 197 | $60.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Special Education | 96 | 174 | $55.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | Special Education | 133 | 197 | $67.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 149 | 225 | $66.2 \%$ |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.20.6 Career and Technology - Technical GPA Percentage Meet or Exceed State Standards by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Meet or Exceed | C\&T Students | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 10 | 12 | $83.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 9 | 12 | $75.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 5 | 8 | $62.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 10 | 11 | $90.9 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 63 | 78 | $80.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 56 | 63 | $88.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 63 | 73 | $86.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 45 | 49 | $91.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 21 | 24 | $87.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 449 | 709 | $63.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 369 | 556 | $66.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 422 | 661 | $63.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 568 | 712 | $79.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 457 | 625 | $73.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 647 | 835 | $77.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 537 | 727 | $73.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 586 | 756 | $77.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 933 | 1,075 | $86.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 787 | 990 | $79.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 23 | 29 | $79.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 25 | 38 | $65.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 23 | 33 | $69.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 22 | 30 | $73.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 26 | 36 | $72.2 \%$ |

Note: * indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 1.21.1 Attendance for All Schools - Percentage of Schools Meeting or Exceeding State Standard

| Year | Met Attendance <br> Rate | Total <br> Schools | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 130 | 165 | $78.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 137 | 165 | $83.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 136 | 166 | $81.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | 136 | 168 | $81.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 139 | 170 | $81.8 \%$ |

Table 1.21.2 Attendance for Elementary Schools - Percentage of Schools Meeting or Exceeding State Standard

| Year | Met Attendance <br> Rate | Total <br> Schools | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$|$| 2005 | 99 | 103 | $96.1 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 104 | 104 | $100 \%$ |
| 2007 | 102 | 104 | $98.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | 102 | 104 | $98.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | 104 | 107 | $97.2 \%$ |

Table 1.21.3 Attendance for Middle Schools - Percentage of Schools Meeting or Exceeding State Standard

| Year | Met Attendance Rate | Total Schools | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 19 | 28 | 67.9\% |
| 2006 | 21 | 28 | 75.0\% |
| 2007 | 21 | 29 | 72.4\% |
| 2008 | 22 | 29 | 75.9\% |
| 2009 | 25 | 29 | 86.2\% |

Table 1.21.4 Attendance for High Schools - Percentage of Schools Meeting or Exceeding State Standard

| Year | Met Attendance <br> Rate | Total <br> Schools | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$|$| 2005 | 10 | 26 | $38.5 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 10 | 26 | $38.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | 11 | 26 | $42.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | 10 | 26 | $38.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | 9 | 26 | $34.6 \%$ |

Table 2.1.1 ESOL LAS-Links Grades K-12 - Percentage Met Exit Criteria

| Year | Proficient | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 410 | 536 | $76.5 \%$ |

Table 2.1.2 ESOL LAS-Links Grade K-12 - Percentage Met Exit Criteria by Student Group

| Year | Program | Proficient | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | FARMS | 212 | 291 | $72.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | Gifted and Talented | 21 | 24 | $87.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | LEP | 402 | 528 | $76.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | Special Education | 7 | 21 | $33.3 \%$ |

Table 2.1.3 ESOL LAS-Links Grade K-12 - Percentage Met Exit Criteria by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 184 | 219 | $84.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 61 | 80 | $76.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 48 | 55 | $87.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 116 | 178 | $65.2 \%$ |

Note: * ${ }_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 2.2.1 ELL - Reading Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 279 | 584 | $47.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 347 | 670 | $51.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | 467 | 816 | $57.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 471 | 808 | $58.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | 454 | 787 | $57.7 \%$ |

Table 2.2.2 ELL - Reading Proficient or Advanced by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 127 | 253 | $50.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 168 | 278 | $60.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 214 | 297 | $72.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 177 | 257 | $68.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 163 | 245 | $66.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 35 | 80 | $43.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 50 | 102 | $49.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 62 | 122 | $50.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 84 | 136 | $61.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 83 | 139 | $59.7 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 40 | 73 | $54.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 45 | 73 | $61.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 46 | 81 | $56.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 40 | 67 | $59.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 41 | 62 | $66.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 76 | 177 | $42.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 84 | 215 | $39.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 143 | 314 | $45.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 170 | 347 | $49.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 167 | 341 | $49.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Note: * indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 2.2.3 ELL - Mathematics Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 307 | 602 | $51.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | 389 | 695 | $56.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 554 | 844 | $65.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 534 | 827 | $64.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | 535 | 807 | $66.3 \%$ |

Table 2.2.4 ELL - Mathematics Proficient or Advanced by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 160 | 264 | $60.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 206 | 284 | $72.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 259 | 305 | $84.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 222 | 268 | $82.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 200 | 252 | $79.4 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 31 | 81 | $38.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 49 | 106 | $46.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 65 | 125 | $52.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 79 | 135 | $58.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 85 | 142 | $59.9 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 52 | 78 | $66.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 48 | 77 | $62.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 61 | 86 | $70.9 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 51 | 70 | $72.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 46 | 63 | $73.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 64 | 178 | $36.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 85 | 226 | $37.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 167 | 326 | $51.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 182 | 353 | $51.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 204 | 350 | $58.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Note: $\boldsymbol{*}_{\text {indicates student counts of less than five, and therefore, not reported as the Maryland State Department of Education does }}$ not report data for student groups of fewer than five students.

Table 2.2.5 ELL - English Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 82 | 205 | $40.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 45 | 128 | $35.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 4 | 21 | $19.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | 58 | 83 | $69.9 \%$ |

Table 2.2.6 ELL - Algebra/Data Analysis Proficient or Advanced

| Year | Proficient or <br> Advanced | Tested | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 67 | 176 | $38.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | 75 | 165 | $45.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | 45 | 55 | $81.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | 73 | 89 | $82.0 \%$ |

Table 3.1.1 Percentage of Highly Qualified Teachers

| Year | Highly Qualified | Total Teachers | Percent Highly <br> Qualified |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 6,236 | 7,167 | $87.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | 6,534 | 6,957 | $93.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | 6,779 | 7,120 | $95.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | 6,787 | 7,100 | $95.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | 6,842 | 7,095 | $96.4 \%$ |

Table 3.1.2 Percentage of Highly Qualified Paraprofessionals

| Year | Highly Qualified | Total Teachers | Percent Highly <br> Qualified |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 762 | 946 | $80.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | 847 | 956 | $88.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | 905 | 981 | $92.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | 938 | 992 | $94.6 \%$ |
| 2009 | 969 | 1,009 | $96.0 \%$ |

Table 3.3.1 Percentage of Highly Qualified Middle School Mathematics Teachers

| Year | Total Teachers | Highly Qualified | Not Highly Qualified | Percent Highly Qualified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 247 | 196 | 51 | 79.4\% |
| 2006 | 237 | 198 | 39 | 83.5\% |
| 2007 | 250 | 236 | 14 | 94.4\% |
| 2008 | 274 | 267 | 7 | 97.4\% |
| 2009 | 269 | 266 | 3 | 98.9\% |

Table 3.4.1 Percentage of Highly Qualified Title I Teachers

| Year | New Highly Quali- <br> fied | Total New Teach- <br> ers | Percent Highly <br> Qualified |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 203 | 241 | $84.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | 187 | 192 | $97.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 224 | 231 | $97.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | 178 | 180 | $98.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | 147 | 147 | $100 \%$ |

Table 4.1.1 Safety and Security - Percentage of Participating Schools

| Year | Program | Schools | Participating | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | Conference | 161 | 161 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | Conference | 163 | 162 | $99.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | Conference | 164 | 163 | $99.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | Conference | 166 | 165 | $99.4 \%$ |
| 2009 | Conference | 167 | 167 | $100 \%$ |
| 2005 | E-Plan | 161 | 161 | $100 \%$ |
| 2006 | E-Plan | 163 | 163 | $100 \%$ |
| 2007 | E-Plan | 163 | 163 | $100 \%$ |
| 2008 | E-Plan | 165 | 165 | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 E-Plan | 167 | 167 | $100 \%$ |  |
| 2005 | Security | 162 | 141 | $87.0 \%$ |
| 2006 Security | 163 | 143 | $87.7 \%$ |  |
| 2007 Security | 164 | 150 | $91.5 \%$ |  |
| 2008 Security | 166 | 159 | $95.8 \%$ |  |
| 2009 Security | 167 | 167 | $100 \%$ |  |

Table 5.1.1 Graduation Rate

| Year | Dropouts | Graduates | Graduation <br> Rate |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 1,291 | 7,190 | $84.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 1,548 | 7,327 | $82.6 \%$ |
| 2007 | 1,486 | 7,415 | $83.3 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,669 | 7,532 | $81.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,432 | 7,362 | $83.7 \%$ |

Table 5.1.2 Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Dropouts | Graduates | Graduation <br> Rate |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 15 | 48 | $76.2 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 13 | 42 | $76.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 9 | 24 | $72.7 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 13 | 25 | $65.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 10 | 30 | $75.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 29 | 361 | $92.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 38 | 387 | $91.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 39 | 350 | $90.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 38 | 373 | $90.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 29 | 373 | $92.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 424 | 2,363 | $84.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 556 | 2,422 | $81.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 579 | 2,574 | $81.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 715 | 2,707 | $79.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 615 | 2,724 | $81.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 780 | 4,295 | $84.6 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 829 | 4,326 | $83.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 794 | 4,307 | $84.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 858 | 4,238 | $83.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 738 | 4,043 | $84.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 43 | 123 | $74.1 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 37 | 150 | $80.2 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 65 | 160 | $71.1 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 45 | 189 | $80.8 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 40 | 192 | $82.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2.1 Dropout Rate

| Year | Dropouts | Enrollment | Dropout <br> Rate |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 1,636 | 37,323 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | 1,560 | 37,817 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 2007 | 1,290 | 37,968 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,626 | 37,520 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,347 | 36,036 | $3.7 \%$ |

Table 5.2.2 Dropout Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| Year | Race/Ethnicity | Dropouts | Enrollment | Dropout <br> Rate |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | American Indian | 12 | 206 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | American Indian | 12 | 188 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | American Indian | 13 | 198 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | American Indian | 15 | 182 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | American Indian | 15 | 167 | $9.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Asian | 36 | 1,676 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | Asian | 39 | 1,678 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | Asian | 23 | 1,695 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Asian | 27 | 1,761 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | Asian | 29 | 1,770 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | African American | 661 | 13,619 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | African American | 629 | 14,380 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | African American | 546 | 15,016 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | African American | 684 | 15,377 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | African American | 611 | 15,201 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | White | 882 | 20,981 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | White | 832 | 20,609 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | White | 657 | 19,981 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | White | 850 | 19,003 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | White | 649 | 17,582 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2005 | Hispanic | 45 | 841 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | Hispanic | 48 | 962 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | Hispanic | 51 | 1,078 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | Hispanic | 50 | 1,197 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2009 | Hispanic | 43 | 1,316 | $3.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.3.1 University System of Maryland or Career and Technology - Percentage of Students Meeting Requirements

| Year | Completed | Graduates | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 6,281 | 7,240 | $86.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | 6,404 | 7,372 | $86.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | 6,233 | 7,472 | $83.4 \%$ |
| 2008 | 6,352 | 7,570 | $83.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | 6,535 | 7,380 | $88.6 \%$ |

Table 5.3.2 University System of Maryland - Percentage of Students Meeting Requirements

| Year | Completed | Graduates | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 5,352 | 7,240 | $73.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | 5,528 | 7,372 | $75.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 5,343 | 7,472 | $71.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | 5,820 | 7,570 | $76.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | 6,047 | 7,380 | $81.9 \%$ |

Table 5.3.3 Career and Technology Requirements - Percentage of Students Meeting Requirements

| Year | Completed | Graduates | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 2,729 | 7,240 | $37.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | 2,680 | 7,372 | $36.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 2,582 | 7,472 | $34.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,612 | 7,570 | $21.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,566 | 7,380 | $21.2 \%$ |

Table 5.3.4 University System of Maryland and Career and Technology Requirements - Percentage of Students Meeting Requirements

| Year | Completed | Graduates | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 1,800 | 7,240 | $24.9 \%$ |
| 2006 | 1,804 | 7,372 | $24.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | 1,692 | 7,472 | $22.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1,080 | 7,570 | $14.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1,078 | 7,380 | $14.6 \%$ |

Table 6.1.1 Percentage of Schools that Met Indicator

| Year | School Count | Met Indicator | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 163 | 163 | $100 \%$ |
| 2008 | 166 | 166 | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 | 168 | 168 | $100 \%$ |

Table 6.2.1 Percentage of Schools that Met Indicator

| Year | School Count | Met Indicator | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 163 | 151 | $92.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 166 | 166 | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 | 168 | 168 | $100 \%$ |

Table 6.3.1 Percentage of Schools that Met Indicator

| Year | School Count | Met Indicator | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 163 | 163 | $100 \%$ |
| 2008 | 166 | 166 | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 | 168 | 168 | $100 \%$ |

Table 6.4.1 Percentage of Schools that Met Indicator

| Year | School Count | Met Indicator | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 163 | 161 | $98.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | 166 | 166 | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 | 168 | 168 | $100 \%$ |

Table 6.5.1 Percentage of Schools that Met Indicator

| Year | School Count | Met Indicator | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 163 | 163 | $100 \%$ |
| 2008 | 166 | 166 | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 | 168 | 168 | $100 \%$ |

Table 6.6.1 Percentage of Schools that Met Indicator

| Year | School Count | Met Indicator | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 163 | 163 | $100 \%$ |
| 2008 | 166 | 166 | $100 \%$ |
| 2009 | 168 | 168 | $100 \%$ |
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## BLUEPRINT FOR PROGRESS

## PERFORMANCE GOALS

Performance Goal 1-By 2012, all students will reach high standards, as established by the Baltimore County Public Schools and state performance level standards, in English/ reading/ writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.

Performance Goal 2 - By 2012, all English language learners will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards in English/ reading/ writing, mathematics, science, and social studies.

Performance Goal 3 - By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.
Performance Goal 4-All students will be educated in school environments that are safe and conducive to learning.
Performance Goal 5 - All students will graduate from high school.
Performance Goal 6 - Engage parents/ guardians, business, and community members in the educational process.
Performance Goal 7 - Involve principals, teachers, staff, stakeholders, and parents/ guardians in the decision-making process.

Performance Goal 8 - All students will receive a quality education through the efficient and effective use of resources and the delivery of business services.
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